This dissertation has been
microfilmed exactly as received
69-1456
SNOW, Robert Jospeh, 1926-
THE MANUSCRIPT STRAHOV D.G.IV. 47.
University of Illinois, Ph.D., 1968
Music

# University Microfilms, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan 

COPYRIGHT
by
ROBERT JOSEPH SNOW
1969

# THE MANUSCRIPT STRAHOV D.G.IV. 47 

BY<br>ROBERT JOSEPH SNOW<br>B.Mus., Indiana University, 1949<br>M.A., Indiana University, 1956

## THESIS

Submitted in parcial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Musicology in the Graduate College of the
University of Illinois, 1968

Urbana, Illinois

## UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS <br> THE GRADUATE COLLEGE

August, 1967

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THAT THE THESIS PREPARED UNDER MY SUPERVISION BY__ ROBERT JOSEPH SNOW ENTITLED_THE MANUSCRIPT STRAHOV D.G.IV. 47

BE ACCEPTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF


Aware A Branigan
Head of Department


Committee
on
Final Examination $\dagger$

D517

## ACKNOWLLHDGMENTS

The author is indebted to many people, without whose aid, advice and encouragement this dissertation would not bave been completed. All cannot be listed here; two cannot go unnamed. One of these-is Dragan Plamenac, who first brought the Strahor manuscript to my attention and who not only directed the initial stages of my study of it but who also continued to assist me generously in a multitude of ways after he had retired and the task of directing the study had passed to another. The other is Charles Ham, who assumed the direction of the dissertation upon the retirement of Dr. Plamenac and whe was equally kind, generous, helpiul and, above all, patient during the long period of time it took to complete it and who never ceased to encourage me. I am most grateful to both of them and to all others who helped me in any way.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
I. THE MANUSCRIPT: ITS CONSTRUCTION AND CONTENTS .....  1
II. RELATED SOURCES ..... 43
III. THE COMPOSERS ..... 45
IV. MASS PROPERS ..... 49
.V. MASS ORDINARIES ..... 66
VI. PRE-MASS CERFMONIAL ITEMS ..... 120
VII. OFFICE HYMNS ..... 125
VIII. MAGNIFICAT SEITINGS ..... 129
IX. SEITINGS BASED ON OFFICE gEXXIS AND CHANIS ..... 133
X. MOTETS ..... 139
XI. CANTIONES AND TROPES ..... 146
XII. CONTRAFACTA ..... 155
XIII. TEXILESS ITHMS ..... 159
XIV. NON-LITURGICAL ITEMS ..... 161
BIBLIOGRAPHY ..... 163
VITA ..... 169
APPENDIX I: TRANSCRIPTIONS ..... 171
APPENDLX II: THEMATIC INDEX ..... 482

## I. THE MANUSCRIPT: ITS CONSTRUCTION AND CONTENTS

The codex Strahov D.G.IV. 47 is a late fifteenth-century music manuscript written in white mensural notation and preserved in the library of the former Strahov monastery. Once a house of the Praemonstratensian order, the monastery is now a cultural institution devoted to the preservation and cultivation of Czech arts and letters and is administered by the National Literary Academy. ${ }^{1}$ The manuscript was first brought to the attention of the musicological world well over fifty years ago by Dobroslav Orel, who examined it during the preparation of his doctoral dissertation on the somcalled Speciálník Codex, Ms. II.A. 7 of the Museum at Hradec Králové. 2 The Strahov manuscript has, however, remained almost totally unknown despite the fact that Orel later dealt with it in two published articles, one in Czech and one in German, ${ }^{3}$ and the only other attention it has received is that accorded it by Dragan Plamenac, who discussed the German songs in it in a paper delivered at the International Musicological Congress in Cologne, in 1958, ${ }^{4}$ and certain other aspects of it in an article published in 1960.5

General Description

The manuscript consists of 307 numbered paper folios measuring 22 $x 18 \mathrm{~cm}$. and is bound in buckskin-covered wooden boards attached to the spine with metai hinges and provided with metal clasps. Nothing is known of its provenance or history. The style of the literary hand,
definitely Germanic, and the presence of three German songs and of hymns proper to the feasts of St. Procopius and St. Wenceslaus, as well as settings of various paraliturgical texts popular in Bohemia, suggest the eastern area of Silesia, near the Bohemian border, or Bohemia itself, as the probable place of origin. ${ }^{6}$

Foliation occurs in the upper rightmand corner of the recto side of each folio. Two errors were made when the foliation was entered. The first of theise, which remains uncorrected, was the use of the number 68 twice in succession. The other consisted in the change from foliation to pagination beginning at fol. 100v and continuing through what is now fol. 123r. At this latter point the person entering the numbers realized his error and corrected his mistake so that the foliation now continues consistently and without further error from fol. $68^{\text {bis }}$ to the end of the manuscript. Folio citations given later always refer to the corrected numbering.

The manuscript also has received pagination, with these numbers being placed at the bottom of each page, in the center. In this numeration the numbers 91,235 , and 247 each occur twice and these errors remain uncorrected. The manuscript thus consists of 614 pages. Of these, 38 were lined but never received any music and nine remain totally blank. The manuscript originally contained perhaps as many as 17 other folios but these were cut or torm out before the foliation and pagination were entered.

On the basis of the arrangement of the contents, almost exclusively liturgical in nature, the manuscript may be divided into five distinct sections, each containing primarily items of the same kind.

Each serion is composed of a varying number of fascicles consisting of a varying number of foldings, six being the number most frequently found.

## Scribes

The majority of items in each of the five sections is in the hand of a single scribe, designated here as scribe l, whose original plan for the arrangement of contents within each section is still evident. His work was continued by scribe 2, who not only inserted items on pages left empty by scribe 1, but also prepared several fascicles of his own. It was also scribe 2 who assembled and arranged the various fascicles into the form of the completed manuscript as we know it today. A small number of items in the hand of a third scribe, scribe 3, are to be found scattered throughout the manuscript; these undoubtedly were added after the manuscript had been assembled by scribe 2 .

Two other hands occur in the manuscript, each limited to its own individual fascicle in section 2. These hands are closely related to each other in style but differ considerably from the three other hands mentioned above; they will be designated as hands 4 and 5. A sixth hand can also be identified in a textless fragment that was entered into the fascicle written by scribe 4. Unquestionably, the fascicles written by scribes 4 and 5 were prepared in a scriptorium different from that in which the other fascicles of the Strahov manuscript were copied. They perhaps were brought to the place where the remainder of the manuscript originated by some singer or composer when he entered
upon saployment there.
Facsimiles 1 throuch 5 contain representative examples of the work of the five principal scribes. The confident and precise character of hands 1, 4, and 5 suggest that these scribes were professional copyists although the large number of notational errors to be found in the work of scribe 1 raises the question as to how knowledgeable he was musically. Scribe 2 probably was a singer or composer rather than a scribe and a number of corrections to the work of scribe 1 appear in his hand. Scribe 3 probably was a singer also; in any case, the crudity of his writing makes it evident that he was not a professional scribe.

## First Section

The first section of the manuscript is devoted exclusively to Introit settings and extends from fol. 1 through fol. 46. These folios are grouped together into five fascicles, the first three of which each consist of a gathering of six foldings, the fourth of a gathering of two, and the fifth of a gathering of three. The first three fascicles constitute the original nucleus of this section and contain 36 Introits for the more important feasts of the temporal and sanctoral cycles of the liturgical year. Only 25 of these, however, are in tine hand of scribe 1, who originally prepared these three fascicles. These are Nosi. 2-8, 10-13, 15, 16, 18-22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, and 35 of the complete inventory. With but two exceptions, these settings occur in exactly the same order as that required by the liturgical year, with the temporal and sanctoral cycles merged together.

## Facsimile 1



Strahov Ms. D.G.IV.47, 258v (515); notated by Scribe 1


Strahov Ms. D.G.IV.47, 46r (9la); notated by Scribe 2


Strahov Ms. D.G.IV.47, 32v (64); notated by Scribe 3


## Facsimile 5



Strahov Ms. D.G.IV.47, 132r (265); notated by Scribe 5

Fascicle 1 originally opened with two settings of De ventre matris meae, for the feast of St. John the Baptist, Jume 24, Nos. 2 and 3, and continued with Nunc scio, for the feast of Sts. Peter and Paul, June 29, No. 4, and Scio cui credidi, for the Cormemoration of St. Paul, June 30, No. 5. The remainder of the fascicle is devoted to Introits from the Common of the Saints; these are arranged in a hierarchical order, according to the dignity of the category of saints on whose feasts they are to be used. First, there are two settings of Salve sancta Parens (Blessed Virgin), Nos. 6 and 7; and one setting each of Mihi autem (Apostles), No. 8; Statuit ei Dominus, No. 10; Lretabitur justus (Martyr-bishop), No. 11; Sapientiam sanctorum (Several martyrs), No. 12; and Os justi (Confessor, Abbot), No. 13. Between Nos. 9 and 10, on fol. 8 v , occurs the textual incipit only of still another Introit from the Comon of the Saints, In virtute tua (Martyr not a bishop).

In fascicle 2 the items in the hand of seribs 1 are Nos. 15, 16, 18-22, 24 and 26. No. 15, Gaudeamus amnes, is ased on various Marian feasts and on those of several other female saints. No. 16, Rorate caeli, now used on the Wednesday of the Ember Week in Advent, and on the Fourth Sunday of that season, was, in the fifteenth century, often used on all four Sundays in Advent. 7 Then, as now, it also was used for votive Masses of the Blessed Virgin in the period extending from the First Sunday of Advent through February 2, the feast of the Purification. Nos. 18 and 19, Puer natus est, are settings of the Introit for the Third Mass of Christmas. Nos. 20 and 21 are the Introit for Epiphany, Ecce ddvenit, and No. 22, Suscepimus, is for the Purifica-
tion, February 2. The remaining items, Nos. 24 and 26 , are settings of Resurrexi, for Easter Sunday.

Those Introits by scribe 1 in fascicle 3 are Nos. 28, 29. 31, 32 and 35. No. 28, Terribilis est, is from the Common of the Dedication of a Church and No. 29, Protexisti, is from the Camon for feasts of One Martyr in Paschaltide. Nos. 31 and 32 are settings of the Pentecost Introit, Epiritus Domini, and No. 35, Cibavit eos, is for Corpus Christi, the Thursday of the week following Pentecost Week. Scribe 1 also intended to include the Intrcit for Ascension Thursday, Viri Galilaei, on fol. 27v, between Nos. 29 and 31, its proper place liturgically, and the Introit for Trinity Sunday, Benedicte sit, on fol. $32 v$, between Nos. 33 and 35 , its proper place. This is evident from the presence of the textual incipits of these two Introits on the folios mentioned. No music has been entered on fol. 27 v and the following threa pages left empty by scribe 1 . On fol. 32v, however, scribe 3 partially erased the incipit Benedicta sit Sancta Trinitas and added there and on the following page a setting of Spiritus Domini, for Pentecost (see Facsimile 3).

This survey of the original contents of these three fascicles reveals that undoubtedly the intent of scribe 1 was to provide a complete yearly cycle of Introits for the principle feasts of both the temporal and sanctoral cycles, arranged in the order in which they would be used during the course of the liturgical year. Certain details of the arrangement, however, raise several questions. Why do two Introits, Gaudeamus omnes, No. 15, fol. 13r, and Terribilis est, No. 28, fol. 2.5r, occur out of their proper liturgical
order? Why does the cycle begin with the feast of St. John the Bapw tist, June 24, instead of with Advent? Why were so many folios left blank by scribe 1 ? Why are a large number of Introits from the Common of the Saints, as well as a small number from the regular sanctoral cycle, not found here? The following hypotheses are offered as possible answers to these questions.

Scribe I intended to prepare a complete cycle of Irtroits for the church year. With this in mind he originally planned four fascicles, of which we have here only the first three. In each of these the first and last pages were originally left empty and were not intended to contain any music. In fascicle $1, f a l s, l r$ and 12 V were indead left empty by scribe 1 ; the same is true of the last page of fascicle $2,24 \mathrm{v}$ and of fascicle $3,36 \mathrm{v}$. The opening page of fascicle 2, 13r, however, contains the Introit Gaudeamus ormes, and the first page of fascicle 3, $25 x$, contains Terribilis est. These are the only Introits out of proper sequence and probably were added by scribe 1 only after he had abandoned his intention to prepare a fourth fascicle, where they would have occurred in their proper place in relation to the tatal cycle.

In regard to the order of the fascicles, the oxiginal intontion of scribe 1 probably was that the fascicles follow each other in the sequence of 2-3-1 rather than in the sequence in which they occur here. Thus, assuming that Gaudeamus omes, the first item in fascicle 2, is a later addition, the cycle of Introits would open with the Advent Introit Rorate caeli, 13v, and proceed through fascicle 2 with those for Christmas, Epiphany, Puxification and Easter.

Fascicle 3 would have followed this fascicle, as it does now. If the opening item of this fascicle, Terribilis est, is also considered a later addition, we find this fascicle originally had as its first item Protexisti, fols. 26v-27r. This Introit is traditionally assigned to the Common of Saints for use on the feast of One Martyr in Paschaltide; thus, it occurs exactly at its correct place in regard to the liturgical year since the preceding fascicle closed with a setting of the Introit for Easter Sunday. The Introit Protexisti would have been used for the Feast of St. Mark on April 25 and for various martyrs whose feasts occur in Paschaltide, the most popular of which, in fifteenth-century Bohemia, was St. Adalbert, April 23.

The item that scribe 1 next wished to include was the Introit for Ascension Thursday, as can be seen from the textual incipit on fol. 27 v , Viri galilei Quid adriramini. That he did not include a setting undoubtedly was because he did not have one available at the moment. The fascicle continues with two settings of the Pentecost Introit, after which is found the textual incipit only of the Introit for Trinity Sunday, Benedicta sit Sancta Trinitas, and concludes with a setting of Cibavit eos, for the feast of Corpus Christi. Thus, it was intended to contain all the additional material needed in Paschaltide, as well as settings of the Introits of the only two postPaschaltide feasts of the temporal cycle that would have been called for by the liturgical practices of that time. 8

The first important feasts of the sanctoral cycle that occur after Corpus Christi, which may be celebrated as early as May 24 and as late as June 21, are those of St. John the Baptist, June 24; Sts,

Peter and Paul, June 29; and the Coumemoration of St. Faul, June 30. Signifirestly, fascicle 1 of the present arrangement of the manuscript ofeginally opened with Introits for precisely these three feasts on fols. It through 4r. Therefore, it must be assumed that scribe 1 intended this fascicle to follow the fascicle concluding with Chbavit eos. Thus, the proper sequence of fascicles should be 2-3-1, rather than that which occurs in the manuscript.

That these conjectures are not only reasonable but probably correct is made more evident, perhaps, by Table 1, which presents in schematic form the contents of the three fascicles in their rem arranged order. The Introits entered by scribe 1 are listed in the first colum whereas column 2 contains suggestions as to which Introits he may have intenaed to enter, when settings of them became available, on the pages he criginally left empty. The items entered by scribes 2 and 3 are indicated in columns 3 and 4, respectively, in order to show the manner in which they supplemented the work of scribe 1.

Fron the manner in which scribe 1 arranged the Introits in these three fascicles it is obvious that his original intention was to compile a complete cycle for the entire liturgical year. Whether or not he ever realized this intention through the preparation of the necessary additionsl fascicle it is impossible to say. Nevertheless, it is evident that the fascicle would have contained primarily the remaining Introits from the Common of the Saints: In medio, Sacerdotes tui. Justus ut palma, Clamaverunt justi, Multae tribulationes, Loquebar, Me exspectaverunt, Dilexisti and Cognovi.

| Folio | Scribe 1 | Left blank by 1 for: | Scribe 2 | Scribe 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fasc. 2 |  |  | : |  |
| 13 r | [Gaudeamus (15)] | - |  |  |
| 13v-14r | Rorate caeli (1.6) |  |  |  |
| 14v-15r |  | Dominus dixit |  |  |
| 15v-16r |  | Lux fulgebit | Gaudeamus (17) |  |
| $16 \mathrm{v}-17 \mathrm{r}$ | Puer natus est (18) |  |  |  |
| 17 v | Puer natus est (19) |  |  |  |
| 18 r |  | Etenim sederunt |  |  |
| 18v-19r | Ecce advenit (20) | . |  |  |
| 19 r | Ecce advenit (21) |  |  |  |
| 19v-20r | Suscepimus (22) |  |  |  |
| 20v-21r |  | Vultum tuum |  | Resurrexi (23) |
| 21v-22r | Resurrexi (24) |  |  |  |
| 22v-23r |  | Resurrexi | Resurrexi (25) |  |
| 23v-24r | Resurrexi (26) |  |  |  |
| 24 r |  | Resurrexi | Resurrexi (27) |  |
| 24 v |  |  |  |  |
| Fasc. 3 |  |  |  |  |
| $25 r$ | [Terribilis est (28)] | —— |  |  |
| 25v-26r |  | Nos autem, Benedicite, Sancti tui or Exclamaverunt |  |  |
| 26v-27r | Protexisti (29) |  |  |  |
| 278-28r |  | Nos autem, Benedicite, Sancti tui or Exclamaverunt |  |  |
| 28v-29r | Viri Galílaei <br> (incipit only) |  |  |  |
| 297 |  | Nos autem, Benedicite, Sancti tui or Exclamaverunt | Spiritus Domini (30) |  |
| $30 r$ | Spiritus Domini (31) |  |  |  |

28v-29r $\mid$ Viri Galilaei (incipit only)

297
$30 r$
30v-3ir
31v-32r
$32 \mathrm{v}-33 \mathrm{r}$
$33 v-34 r$
$34 v=35 r$
$358-36 r$
$36 v$

Fasc. 1
Ir
1v-2r
$2 \mathrm{v}-3 \mathrm{r}$
3v-4r
$4 r$
$4 v-5 r$
$5 v-6 r$
$6 v$
$7 r$
$7 v-8 r$
$8 v-9 r$
$9 \mathrm{v}-10 \mathrm{r}$
10v
$11 r$
11v. 12r
12 V
Spiritus Domini (31)
Spiritus Domini (32)

Benedicta sit
(incipit only)

Cibavit eọs (35)

De ventre (2)
De ventre (3)
Nunc scio vere (4)
Scio cui credidi (5)

Mihi autem (8)
\%
In virtute tua
(incipit only)
Statuit ei (10)
Laetabitur justus (11)
Sapientiam (12)
Os justi (13)

Nos autem, Benedicite,
Sancti tui or Exclama-
verunt

Salve sancta Pe, ens (6)
Salve sancta Parens (7)
cycle

Dibavit eos
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Spiritus Domini

| Item from sanctoral |
| :--- |
| cycle |

Spiritus Domini (33)
Spiritus Domini (34)

Salve sancta Parens (36)

Salve sancta Parens (1)

Mihi autem (9)
Spiritus Domini (30)

Os justi (14)

Several other items from the Sanctoral cycle might also have been in tended for inclusion here, such as the misplaced Gaudeamus and Terribilis est, on fols. 13 r and 25 r , as well as the Introit Confessio, for the feast of St. Lawrence, August 10, the only other important item that is unaccounted for.

The fourth and fifth fascicles of this section were prepared by scribe 2, whose principle of organization was a musical rather than a liturgical one. The first of these, consisting of two foldings, fols. 37-40, contains five Introits, Nos. 37-41, in the hand of this scribe, and in each of them the pre-existent chant occurs in a modernized form in the superius. In the other fascicle, however, which consists of three foldings, fols. 41-46, the six Introits given there, Nos. 44-49, all utilize the chant melody in the tenor in equal values and notated in its original neumes. That the intention of seribe 2 primarily was not to complete the cycle begun by scribe 1 but rather only to add those settings available to him can be seen from the fact that 211 but three of the 19 Introits he entered, either here or in one or another of the first three fascicles, textually duplicate items entered by scribe 1. Cnly No. 38, Etenim sederunt, for the feast of St. Siephen, December 26; No. 41, In medio, for the feast of St. John the Evangelist, December 27, and for Doctors; and No. 48, Nos autem gloriari, for feasts of the Holy Cross, May 3 and September 14, are settings of texts not to be found among the items already entered into the manuscript.

All five of the Introits entered by scribe 3 are textual duplicates. One entry by him appears in each of the first three fascicles
whereas the other two occur in succession, on the opening formed by the last page of fascicle 4 and the flrst page of fascicle 5, fols. 40v-41r. They are Nos. 14, 23, 34, 42 and 43 oi the complete inventory.

## Second Section

The second section of the manuscript contains, almost exclusiveIy, Mass Ordinary items. It can be dirided into five subdivisions, the first of which is composed of two fascicles, one a folding of six, fols. 47-58, and the other a folding of five, fols. 59-68. These two fascicles contain a total of 22 settings of the Kyrie, Nos. $51-67$ and 70-74, and one Kyriemgloria pair, Nos. 68-69. Another setting of the Kyrie, No. 50, a later addition by scribe 2 on the verso of the final folio of the Introit section, belongs with this group of pieces.

The first fascicle, prepared by the scribe $l_{0}$ opens with six Kyrie settings, Nos. 5l-56, in the hand of the scribe, and continues with seven settings, Nos. 57-63, in the hand of scribe 2. The last of these, begun on the verso of the final folio of this fascicle, is comm pleted on the recto of the first folio of the second fascicle, prepared by scribe 2. This fascicle continues with four more Kyrie settings, Nos. 64-67, and the KymemGloria pair, Nos. 68-69, all of which are also in the hand of scribe 2. It is interesting to note that here, as in the Introit section, scribe 2 has arranged his items on the besis of musical features. With but one exception, all the items he entered in the second fascicle. Nos. 64-69, utilise the premexistent chant in the tenor in equal values and notated in the original neumes. The rem maining five Kyrie settings in this fascicle, Nos. '...74, are in the
hand of seribe 3, who made no entries in the first faseicle. Fols. 50 r and 51 r in the first fascicle and fol. 68 v , the final page of the second fascicle, are ruled but contain no music; ail other pages in thess two fascicles have received music.

The second subdivision of this section consists of three fascicles prepared by scribe 1. All are gatherings of six; one folio, however, has been removed from the third fascicle. The first fascicle, fols. 68bis-79, contains a complete Ordinary by Touront, Nos. 75-78 and 81, and an anonymous Gloria-Credo pair, Nos. 79-80, which occurs between the Sancius and Âgnus Dei of the Touront cycle. All pages in this fascicle were used by scribe 1 . The second fascicle, fols. 80-91, opens with four anonymous settings of the Sanctus, Nos. 82, 84, 85 and 86, In the midst of which scribe 2 later added a sotting of Salve festa dies, No. 83. An anonymous Gloria-Credo-Sanctus-Agnus Dei cycle, Nos. 87-90, fills the remainder of this fascicle, with the conclusion of the Agnus Dei being notated on the recto of the first folio of the next fascicle.

The third fascicle of this subdivision, fols. 92-102, originally opened with three settings of the Alleluia of the Mass, Nos. 91-93. fols. $92 \mathrm{v}-95 \mathrm{r}$. These are also in the hand of scribe 1 but it is evident from the number of staves to the page-eight, in contrast to the nine found in the remainder of this fascicle and in the two preceding ones-mhat these three items were copied at some previous time and inserted here for no specific reason. The sheets on which they are written form the four outer foldings of this fascicle. To these scribe 1 added two inner foldings, bringing the total to six, and then entered,
inmediately after the Alleluia settings and without any attribution, a Kyrie and Gloria, Nos. 94 and 35, on fols. 950 -98r. The remaining nine pages of this fascicie, 900 -102v, were ruled by scribe 1 but rem ceived no music from his pen. Undoubtedly, his original intention was to add here the items noeded to complete the cycle he began on 95 v , which has been identified through concordance as the Missa Ayo Visto 10 mappa mundi, by Johannes Comago. Two of these folios, 99 v 100r, now contain, in the hand of scribe 2, the German song Kain mier oin trost, No. 97, and the final three, 101v-102v, contain the beginning of a Gloria. No. 98, aiso in the hand of scribe 2. Four pages, $98 \mathrm{v}-99 \mathrm{r}$ and $100 \mathrm{v}-101 \mathrm{r}$, remain without music.

The third subdivision consists of a series of 17 folios, 103-119, the contents of which are all in the hand of scribe 2. The exact manner in which the sheets constituting theso folios are folded together is not entirely evident from the microfilm of the manuscript. The first page contains the conclusion of the Gloria that scribe 2 began at the end of the preceding fascicle and the first opening has the beginning of a Credo that is paired with it. The following five items, Nos. 99-103, constitute a Mass-motet cycle by Philipi, complete except for the Kyrie. Five of the remaining items, Nos. 108, 104, 105, 107 and 109. form an anonymous cycle whereas No. 106 is an isolated setting of the Gloria. Three pages in this subsection have no music; two of these, 1040 and 1190 , are ruled, and one, $105 r$, remains completely blank.

The next two fascicles, fols. 120-131 and 132-139, constitute the fourth subdivision. Both of these stand apart fram the remainder of
the manuscript in that each is written in a hand distinctively different from those encountered elsewhere in it. The first fascicle, a gathering of six, contains an anonymous Gloria-Credo-Sanctus-Agnus Dei cycle, Nos. 110, 112, 114 and 116, in the hand of sexibe 4. Four other items have been added by scribe 2; these are two settings of the Sanctus, Nos. 113 and 118, an Agnus Dei attributed to Philipi ffrancis, No. 115, and a setiing of the antiphon Lamen ad revelationem, No. 117. On fol. 123r there is a textless fragment of a tenor part, No. 111, in a hand not found elsewhere in the manuscript, that of scribe 6.

The second fascicle of this subdivision is now a gathering of only four foldings. It contains, on fols. $132 \mathrm{r}-135 \mathrm{v}$ and in the hand of scribe 5, the Kyrie, Gloria and beginning of the Credo of a cyclic Ordinary, Nos. 119, 120 and 122. On the irmediately following follo, 136r, occurs the conclusion of the Hosanna I and all of the Bonodictus from the Senctus of the same cycle, No. 123. From this it is evident that two inner foldings on which were writton the remainder of the Credo and the beginning of the Sanctus were lost before this fascicle was incorporated into the manuscript. The cycle concludes with the Agnus Dei, No. 124. The four ramaining items of the fascicle, Lumen ad revelationen, No. 121; Israel os tu rox, No. 125; Jesus Christus, No. 126; and an incomplete Sanctus, No. 127, were all entered by scribe 2. The last page remains blank.

The final subdivision consists of three fascicles, aach a gathoring of six, and all items except for two added by scribe 2, Nos. 143 and 153, are in the hand of scribe 1. The first of these fasciclos, fols. 140-151, contains an anonymous Gloria-Credo-Sanctus-Agnus

Dei cycle, Nos. 128, 130, 131, and 132; the Gloria, Credo and Sanctus of a cycle by Pullois, Nos. 133-135; and an unrelated piece for Christmas, Procsdentem sponsum, No. 129.9 The second, fols. 152-163 contains an anonymous Mass-motet cycle that lacks the Kyrie, Nos. 136141, and a troped Marian Offertory, Recordare virgo mater, No. 142. The last page of the fascicle also contains the first of the two additions made to this section by scribe 2 , a setting of Salve festa dies, No. 143.

The final fascicle, fols. 164-175, opens with the Gloria, No. 144, of a Gloria-CredomSanctus-Agnus Dei cycle by Standly. The cycle, however, is interrupted by two settings of the Introit Gaudeanus cannes Nos. 145 and 146, both of which probably were copied at some earlier time and inserted here as the second and third sheets of this fascicle when it was constructal. The Credo of the cycle, No. 147, begins on the verso of the folio on which the second of the Introit settings conciuies and is immediaiely followed by the Sanctus and Agnus Dei, Nos. 148 and 149. This Agnus Del is followed by an Agnus socundum by Pullois, No. 150, which belongs with the Pullois cycle in the first fascicle of this group and it, in turn, is followed by an Agnus tertium, No. 15l, originally attributed to Standly, whose name later was crossed out, probably by the scribe who entered it. The remaining i.tems are a setting of the Agnus Dei and two of the Sanctus by "rlemmik," Nos. 152, 154 and 155, and the other addition made by scribe 2, Nos. 153, Ascendo ad Patrem, the Magnificat antiphon for Ascension Thursdey.

The third section of the manuscript contains a great variety of items intended for use in the Office Hours, at Marian devotions, in processions and, optionally, at various places in the Mass liturgy. It consists of 82 folios, Nos. 176-257, that are grouped together into oight fascicles. The first of these, fols. 176-183, originally Was a folding of six double sheets but the four last folios were torn out before the foliation was entered and thus it now consists of but two double sheets proceded by four single ones.

The first siz of the nine items in this fascicle are in the hand of scribe 1 and are for the feast of Chiristmas. The first three of these, Nos. 156-158, are settings a 3 of the lessons from the first nocturn of the Matins of Christmas, each of which opens with the blessing, also sat a 3, that was conferred upon those who sang these scripture readings. The next entry, No. 159, is a setting of a processional hymn for Christmas, Dies ost Iaetitiae, and this is followed by two Office antiphons, 0 sapientia, No. 160, and Haec est dies, No. 161. The first of these is one of the great "0" antiphons that were used with the Benedictus and Magnificat at Laudes and Vespers on the last several days before Christmas. In the late Middle Ages the one given here commonly was used on December 24, as the last of the series, although in earlier centuries and again today it occurs as the first and is now assigned to December 17. ${ }^{10}$ The other antiphon, Haec est dies, was, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, used in Vespers on two differont feasts, that of the Annunciation, March 25, and that of Christmas. 11

Here it is obviously intended for use on Christmas, perhaps as the Benedictus or Magnificat antiphon or as an optional motet.

The remaining three items in this fascicle, Nos. 162-1004, were all entered by scribe 2 and none pertains to the feast of Christmas. The first of these, 0 sacrum mysterium ( $=0$ sacrum convivium), is the Magnificat antiphon for Vespers of the feast of Corpus Christi and the second, Oploriosa regina, is a Marian item. The third is textIess.

The second fascicle was prepared by scribe 2 and originally consisted of two foldings. The first two folios were torn out, however, before the foliation was entered and thus it now consists of only two single sheets, fols. 184 and 185, and contains only three items, Nos. 165-167, all for Paschaltide. The first of these, a fragment, appears on the top staff of fol. 184 r and is the conclusion of the discantus part of a setting of the processional hymn Salve festa dies, the remainder of which must have been on the verso of the folio that originally preceded it, the second of the two folios torn from this fascicle. At the conclusion of this fragment there occurs a canontc inscription that reads "pausa unius temporis habebis secundum discantum super Salve dies." The second item, on fuss. 184v-185r, is a setting of Vidi aquam, the ceremonial antiphon used during the aspersion of holy water before the principal Sunday Mass during Paschaltide. The third item, on fol. 185\%, is another setiving of Salve festa dios.

The third fascicle of this section, fols. 186-197, was prepared by scribe 1 and consists of six foldings. It contains 13 items, all but two of which are for Easter Sunday or Paschaltide, a fact which
explains why scribe 2 inserted the small fascicle prepared by him immerietuly before this one. This fascicle opens with three settings of the Marian antiphon Regina ceeli, Nos. 168-170, in the hand of scribe l, the third of which is troped at the final alloluia. These are followed by another setting of Regina casli, a setting of Also hoilig ist der tag, another of Salve festa dies and one of Alle dei filius, all in the hand of scribe 2. The renaining six items, Nos. 175-180, are again in the hand of scribe 1. The first four of these are, respectively, a setting of the Sequence, Alleluia, Sequence and Cormunion for the Mass of Easter. The fifth is a setting of the Som quence Lauda Sion, for Corpus Christi, and the sixth is a setting of Bonum vinum cum sapore, the first versicle of the Sequence from a late medieval Mass parody in hunor of drinkers, the texts of which are somewhat in the tradition of the Carmina Burana. 12 The reason for the inclusion of this item here is not clear; it is not impossible, however, that this Kass parody was still used, perhaps during Easter week, for the celebration of a Feast of the Fools, since as late as 1435 the Council of Florence found it necessary to condemn such practices. 13

The sontencs of the fourth and fifth fascicles are almost all Marian items and all are in the hand of scribe 1 except for a single entry, 0 speciosa facta est, No. 192, added by scribe 2 on the opening formed by the last page of the first of these fascicles and the first page of the second. The first fascicle, fols. 198-212, consists of a folding of seven double sheets and one single one, fol. 199, which occurs between the first and second foldings, at the begin-
ning of the fascicle. This fascicle probably consisted originally of only six foldings, fols. 200-211, and contained only eight items, Nos. 186-193, the texts of all of which were used as antiphons for Marian Office Hours. The first four of these, 0 generosa nata David, 0 Iumen ecclesiae and two settings of 0 florens rosa, have poetic texts dating from the late Middle Ages, whereas the naxt three, Anima mea, Surge anica and Quam pulchra, are settings of texts taken from the Song of Songs. The last is a setting of Salve Regina.

The remaining six items, Nos. 181-185 and 194, constitute a separate group and can best be described as cantiones. They differ from the antiphons not only in the brevity of their texts but also in the voice combinations for which they are set. Three of them, Nos. 181, 182 and 185, are composed throughout for a textless lower part entitled contrapunctus and two texted discantus parts, one of which always begins a perfection later than the other and forms a canon at the unison with it for a considerable distance. Similar to these is No. 194, a four-part setting with two imitative discantus parts, and a textless contrapunctus and tenor. The other two, Nos. 183 and 184, are bipartite items, the second part of which seems to be a refrain whick, in each item, is also set for a sextless, lower contrapunctus and two texted imitative discantus parts. The first part of No. 183 also begins imitatively in the tenor and discantus parts. The fact that all of these items occur on the outer, seventh folding, fols. 198 and 212, on the single sheet, fol. 199, and on the recto side of the first folio of the six foldings containing settings of taxts of Marian Office antiphons, fol. 200r, suggests that the outer folding and the single sheet were lat-
er additions to an original folio of six foldings.
The second of the two fascicles devoted primarily to settings of Marian texts consists of six foldings, fols. 213-224, and contains ten entries, Nos. 196-205, in the hand of scribe 1. The first of these is the only item with 2 German text that was entered by scribe 1, Mern hrez freyden ist; the reason for its inclusion here is not evident. The next eight entries are all Marian items, the texts of four of which, Nos. 198, 201, 202 and 203, are from the Song of Songs. The other four are settings of medieval poetic texts. One of these, Nos. 199, Ave virgo speciosa, is the Magnificat antiphon for Second Vespers from a rimed Marian Office ${ }^{14}$ and the music to which it is set is that of Barbingant's Der pfoben swancz. Another, No. 200, is a setting of Flos de spina, by Johannes Pullois, a poetic text often used as an antiphon in Vespers of Marian Offices in Advent. 15 The two remaining items are No. 197, Alma redemptoris mater, which was still used as an Office antiphon in the fifteenth century, and No. 204, Ointemerata et in aeternum benedicta, perhaps based on a Magnificat antiphon. The fascicle concludes with No. 205, 0 Deus in quantis aviis, the liturgical usage of which is not known.

The sixth and seventh fascicles of this section seem to have been prepared as a unit and contain a variety of items, all in the hand of scribe $1 .{ }^{16}$ The first of these, a folding of six, fois. 225-236, opens with three entries, Nos. 206-208, the text of each of which originally was entered only at the botton of each page, at the conclusion of the contratenor part. The incipit of the first of these is illegible; the second reads 0 pastor aeterne. The text of the third, the
only one in which the text also has been underlaid in the superius part, opens with the words Ave gemna claxitatis and is taken from \& rimed Office antiphon for St. Catherine of Alexandria, November 25, the patron saint of scholars. The fact that the texts of all three of these items originally were entered only at the bottom of the pages and that the second of them also occurs in Munich 3232 (Schedel song book) with the text 0 beata Maria and in Trent 90 with the inscription De madame suggests that perhaps all three are contrafacta. The next two items, Nos. 209 and 210, the first of which has only an incipit, 0 rosa bella, ard the other no text at all, also may have been destined originally to be contrafacta that for some reason or another never received their new texts.

Item No. 211, Natum infantulum, is a cantio for Christmas; it is followed by what probably is a freely composed Marian motet, Sancta Maria tu dulcedo. These, in turn, are followed by three motets, each of which is bipartite in structure, with the prinia pars notated in tempus pexfectum, the secunda pars in tempus imperfectum diminutum. The first of these, Adest dies celebris--In diebus il1is, No. 213, is in honor of St. Augustine, August 28, which suggests that perhaps the manuscript was written for a cathedral where the canons followed the Augustinian rula, The second, Gaude flore Virginsli, is a Marian motet that utilizes part of a poem by St. Thomas Becket in honor of the seven heavenly joys of Mary. ${ }^{17}$ The third is a setting of the text of the Magnificat antiphon for Second Vespers of Corpus Christi, 0 sacrum convivium. That this item was intended for use as 2 motet and not as a Magnificat antiphon is evident from its lengthy bipartite structure and
from the fact that the chant melody traditionally used with the text is not incorporated into it, as it was in the other setting of it, No. 162. All three of these items were probably written for use in the Mass liturgy, either at the elevation during the Canon, during the distribation of Communion or at the conclusion of Mass. This is suggested by the fact that during the fifteenth century optional texts In honor of the Blessed Sacrament or the Blessed Virgin regularly were sung in the llturgy at the first two of these places and 2 motet in honor of the Blessed Virgin or of the saint whose feast was being celebrated normally concluded the service. 18 The fascicle continues with 2 Marian item for Christmas, Natum jam collaudemus, No. 216, and concludes with the beginning of one for general use on Marian feasts, Nobis instat carminis, No. 217.19

The seventh fascicle, on the first page of which is notated the remainder of Nobis instat carminis, consists of five foldings and one single sheet, fols. 237-247, and contains nineteen complete items, Nos. 218-236. Thirteen of these are textiess, which suggests that perhaps this fascicle was intended to contain primarily contrafacta. This conjecture is strengthened by several things. One is the identification of the music of one of the texted items, No. 221, Congratulamini mini, as that of the setting of Entrepris suis, by Bartolomeo Brolo. Another is the appearance of internal repeat signs not only in one of the textless items, No. 236, but also in the two lower parts of one of the texted ones, No. 220, Ornatam monilibus, even though the structure of the text in the superius does not permit a repeat at any point. The presence of these signs indicates that the music probably
was written originally for a secular text cast in one of the formes fixes; in these two instances the location of the repeat signs at about only onewfourth of the way through the pieces suggests that of the French ballade or German bar form. One of the texted items, Nos. 218, is for Christmas and three, Nos. 220, 221 and 222, are settings of Marian texts whereas No. 234, Nova instant cantica, is in honor of St. Nicholes and No. 235, Nunc instant collaudare, is for the feast of any bishop; whose name is to be inserted in the text at the point where the abbreviation $N$ (omen) occurs.

The eighth and final fascicle of the third section consists of five foldings, fols. 248-251, all but the last two of which are in the hand of scribe 1. The first item, No. 237, Beati qui esuriunt, is in the form of a responsorial hym and its text is derived from a cantio that is preserved only in Bohemian sources. 20 The content of the text and the fact that the following two items are in honor of St. Martin of Tours, November 11, suggest that perhaps this item also was intended for use on that feast. The text of the first of the two settings definitely in honor of St. Martin, Domine Deus noster, No. 238, is an oration written in the classic Roman form and styie. This, coupled with the fact that the tenor part is in the style of a sixthmode antiphon and is notated in equal values and consequently is probably a chant melody, makes it evident that the item likely was intended for use as a votive antiphon at special devotional services in honor of St. Martin, as a suffragium at the close of Office Hours or in the pre-Mass procession held on his feast. The text of the second item, Martinus nam pusillus, No. 239, conteins biographicei references and
is a cantio. It is set for two discantus parts, a tenor and a contram tenor, all of winich begin imitatively; stylistically it is related to the cantiones found in fascicle four of this section, Nos. 181-185 and 194.

The next item No. 240, is textless except for the inscription fortune in the tenor and perhaps was intended to be a contrafactum. It is followed by Videte, videte, which definitely is a contrafactum and occurs in the Glogau song book with the text ointemerata. The text used here is Deuteronomy 32; 39-40, two verses from the Canticle of Moses, the beginning of which, Attende, coelum, et loguor, was used in the medieval Holy Saturday liturgy after the eleventh prophecy. The liturgical usage of the two verses given here is not known. No. 242, 0 flos florens, is identical with No. 189, in the fourth fascicle of this section. No. 243, Viminibus cinge, the tenor part of which is notated twice, once in regular mensural notation and once with the text placed directly on the staff on the appropriate lines or spaces in a manner reminiscent of the notation used in the Musica Enchiriadis, is a cryptic Latin piece with magical overtones; it perhaps was intended for use at 2 non-liturgical New Year's celebration. No. 244, Speciosa facta est, is a Marian item, perhaps a motet, the text of which is, in part, identical with that of No. 195.

The remaining items entered by scribe 1, Nos. 245-249, are all for Paschaltide: The first is a setting of the ceremonial antiphon Vidi aquam, three are settings of Salve festa dies and the last is the antiphon Regina caeli, the fingl alleluia of which has the same trope as does No. 170. The fascicle concludes with two extremely brief en-
tries by scribe 2, each of which is for only two parts. They perhaps were intended for use as responses to monophonic versicles; if this is the case, they probably would have been followed by an oration. The entire text of the first, No. 250, is "Maria mater gratiae," that of the second, "Ave Maria, gratia plena, Dominus tecum."

## Fourth Section

The fourth section of the manuscript, fols. 258-286, is a collection of Office hymns and consists of three fascicles, the first two of which are composed of six foldings. The third originally was composed of five foldings but lost its last five folios before the foliation and pagination were entered and now consists of what seem to be five single sheets. The first two fascicles, fols. 258-269 and 270281, are primarily the work of scribe 1 , whose intention here, as with the Introita, was to compile a basic cycle for the entire church year. The third fascicle, fols. 282-286, was begun by scribe 2, who also made several entries into the two fascicles of scribe 1. The contribution of scribe 3 consists of one entry in each of the first two fascicles and two in the third.

The first fascicle opens with a setting, in the hand of scribe 1 , of the votive antiphon Da pacem, No. 252, which probabily was intended to serve as a kind of dedicatory item for this section. The next twelve items, Nos. 253-264, all but three of which are in the hand of scribe 1, are Office hymns and precede the basic cycle for the liturgical year, which begins only on fol. 264r with No. 265. The first of these is a setting of the even-numbered verses of the hymn Assunt feste
jubilea, for the feast of the Visitation of the Blessed Virgin, July 2. and the second is 2 setting of the evenwnumbered verses of Confessor Dei lucidus, here assigned to the feast of St. Procopius, July 8. The next two items, Nos. 255-256, are settings of the even-numbered verses of 0 sancta mindi Domina, for the reast of the Nativity of the Blessed Virgin, September 8. They are followed by another setting of the same hym which was entered by scribe 2 and which remains textless. No. 258 is a setting of the even-numbered verses of the Christmes hyran Veni Redemptor gentium. Next occur four settings of Quem terra, pontus, zethera, Nos. 259-262, a hymn that has been used for many different Marian feasts during the course of its history and for which no specific assigment is given here. These are followed by the hymn Dies venit Victorlae, No. 263, for the feast of St. Wenceslaus, September 28, the only hymn in the manuscript that provides a polyphonic setting for both the odd and even-numbered verses-mall others call for the use of chant in altermation with the polyphonically set verses. The final hymn of this group. Quod chorus vatum, No. 264, entered by scribe 2, is for the feast of the Purification, February 2.

The basic cycle for the liturgical year begins on 264 r , the righthand page of the middle opening of the first fascicle of this section, with 2 four-part setting of the odd-numbered verses of Veni Redemptor gentium, the Vespers hymn for Christmas at that time. It continues with a four-part setting, optionally 2 3, of the even-numbered verses of A solis ortus cardine, No. 266, for Leludes of the same fesst, after which there occur two thrse-part settings of the even-numbered verses of Veni Redemptor gentium, and two of the odd-numbered verses of $A$
solis ortus cardine. The last of these, entered on fols. $2650-266 \mathrm{r}$, is followed by an opening, $266 \mathrm{v}-267 \mathrm{r}$, both pages of which were ruled but never received any music. Scribe 1 may have intended to enter here, when one became 2vailable, a setting of the Vespers hymn for Epiphany, Hostis Herodes impie, since the next opening contains two settings of the cdd-numbered verses of the hymn for Vespers of Easter Sunday, Vita sanctorum, decus angelorum, Nos. 271-272. The final three pages of this fascicle, $268 v, 269 \mathrm{r}$ and 269 v , originally were left blank by scribe i, who continued his cycle in the next fascicle with settings of verses from an Ascension hymr, Festum nunc celebre. The first two of these pages later were utilized by scribe 1 himself, who added here another setting of the odd-numbered verses of Vita senctorum; ${ }^{21}$ the third page then was used by scribe 2 for another setting of Fostrm nunc celebre. Still later another addition was made by scribe 3, who entered on the lower half of fol. 269 r and uithout regard for the established arrangement a setting of the third-mode melody usually asm sociated with the hymn for Corpus Christi, Pange lingua gloxiosi, but which here erroneously is provided with the textual incipit of the hymn Veni creator Spiritus.

The second fascicle, fols. 270-281, conteins thirty-one itens, Nos. 276-316. Twenty-one of these were entered by scribe 1 as part of his basic cycle, in addition to which he entered two items extraneous to it. Scribe 2 made seven entries, five of which are Office hymns, and scribe 3 made one.

The first throe items in the fascicle are in the hand of scribe 1 and are settings of various verses of the Ascension hymn Festum nunc
celebre, Nos. 276, 277 and 279, in the midst of which, on fol. 271r, occurs the only item entered here by scribe 3, a setting of the oddnumbered verses of the Vespers hymn for Pontecost, Veni creator Spiritus, No. 278. These are followed, on $27 \angle x$, by a setting in the hand of scribe 2 of the even-numbered verses of the same hymn. Perhaps these two folios, 271r and 272r, origjnally were intended for settings of one or another of the Paschaltide hymns from the Common of the Saints, such as Deus tuorum militum.

The next five entries, Nos. 281-285, are again in the hand of scribe 1. The first of these is a setting of the even-numbered verses of Veni creator Spiritus, the next three are settings of the odd-num bered verses of the same hymn and the last is a setting of the oddnumbered verses of the hymn for Vespers of Trinity Sunday, 0 Iux beata Trinitas. The opening immediately following these items, fols. $274 \mathrm{v}-$ 275 r, was left empty by scribe 1 ; perhaps he intended to enter here later a setting of the Vespers hymn for the Sundays after Pentecost, Lucis creator optime. The first of these pages now contains another setting of the even-numbered easses of Veni creator Spiritus and the second a setting of the even-numbered verses of Pange lingua, the Vespers hymn for Corpus Christi, both in the hand of scribe 2. Next there occurs another setting of the even-numbered verses of the same hymn, No. 288, this one in the hand of scribe 1 . It is followed by a setting of the even-numbered verses of Ave Catherina, No. 289, a hymn in honor of St. Catherine of Alexandria; it is the only hymn in the cycle that scribe 1 entered in the wrong place--the feast occurs on November 25. The next four itams, Nos. 290-293, are settings of the
odd-numbered verses of Pange Iingua. All four were entered by scribe 1 and they conclude the temporal section of the cycle.

The sanctoral portion begins on fol. 278 r with a setting in the hand of scribe 1 of the odd-numbered verses of Exsultet caelum laudibus. No. 294, the Vespers hymn for the feasts of Apostles and Evangelists outside of Paschaltide, the contratenor secundus of which is ascribed to Dufay. At the botton of the same page there occurs the first of the two extraneous items entered by scribe 1 , Israel es tu rex, the first verse of the responsorial hymn, Gloria, laus ot he or. The next page, fol. 278 v , was ruled but contains no music. The sanctoral cycle continues on 279 r with a setting of the odd-numbered verses of the Vespers hymn Ut quarant laxis, No. 296, for the feast of St. John the Baptist, June 24. Immediately below it there occurs the other extraneous item entered by scribe 1, Procedentem sponsum, a Benedicamus Damino trope in the form of a cantio. The naxt pege, fol. 279\%, was left empty by scribe 1, who perhaps intended to enter here later a setting of Aurea luce, the Vespers hymn for the feast of Sts. Peter and Paul, June 29. It now contains a setting of verse 2 of Ut quaeant laxis, No. 298, in the hand of scribe 2, who also entered on the bottom three staves of this page and the next, 280r, a setting of the respond and verse of Gloria, laus st honor. The upper portion of fol. 280r contains, in the hand of scribe 1, a setting of Gaude visceribus. No. 300, a Marian hymn generally used on the feast of the Assumption, August 15, but often on other Marian feasts as well.

The next three items in this fascicle that are in the hand of scribe 1 are from the Common of Saints. These are Jesu corona virginum,

No. 301 (odd-numbered verses), for virgins; Iste confessor, No. 303 (odd-numbered verses), for confessors; and Urbs beata Jerusalem, No. 304 (second verse), from the Oftice of the Dedication of a Church. On the bottom of the page containing the first of these scribe 2 later entered a two-part setting of the verse of Gloria, laus et honor, No. 302, which is identical with the one he had entered earlier on fol. 137r, No. 125. The next item, No. 305, a later addition by scribe 1 , is a setting of the even-numbered verses of the Vespers hymn for the feast of All Saints, November 1, Christe Redemptor omnims. 22 The final item of the fascicle was entered by scribe 2 and is a setting of the even-numbered verses of Veni creator Spiritus.

The third and final fascicle of this section, fols. 282-286, contains ten items, Nos. 307-316, all but the last two of which are in the hand of scribe 2. The first three are settings of the odd-numbered verses of Vespers hymns from the Cormon of Saints: Deus tuorum militum (One Martyr in Paschaltide), Exsultet caelum laudibus (Apostles and Evangelists outside of Paschaltide) and Sanctorum meritis (Several Martyrs outside of Paschaltide). The fourth, No. 310, is a setting of the odd-numbered verses of the Vespers hymn for Trinity Sunday, 0 Iux beata Trinitas, and the two following are settings of the odd-numbered verses of Ave maris stella, used at Vespers on many of the lesser Marian feasts. . The next item, No. 313, is another setting of Exsultet caelum laudibus, this time of the even-numbered verses. No. 314, the last entry in the hand of scribe 2, is textless but on the basis of the chant melody that appears in a modernized form in the superius it can be identified as another setting of Sanctorum meritis.

In preparing this fascicle scribe 2 originally may have intended to complete the cycle of scribe $l$ as well as to enter other settings of texts already included in it since three of the five texts for which he provided settings ase not to be found in either of the first two fascicles. Scribe 3, however, again simply sems to have added whatever items happened to be at his disposai, in this instance two, Nos. 315 and 316. The first of these is a setting of the odd-numbered verses of Ave maris stella, a 4, erroneously given the incipit Ave Maria; the other is a setting of the even-numbered verses of Quem terra, pontus, zethera.

## Fifth Section

The fifth and final section of the manuscript, fols. 287-306, is devoted exclusively to settings of the Magnificat and consists of two fascicles. The first of these, fols. 287-298, has six foldings and contains seven complete settings, Nos. 317-323, and the beginning of the superius part of an eighth No. 324, all in the hand of scribe l. The second, fols. 299-306, now a folding of five with the last two folios torm out, opens with the conclusion of a Magnificat and continues with three complete ones, all in the hand of scribe l. These are followed by three more entries, Nos. 328-330, the last of which is incomplete; all are in the hand of scribe 2. That this fascicle also originally consisted of six foldings, the outer one of which was lost before the fascicle was bound into the manuscript, is evident from the fact that the superius for a verse 2 and part of a verse 4 on the last page of the first fascicle, fol. 298v, No. 324, and the incomplete
tenor part for verses 8 and 10 and the contratenor for verses 6 and 8 (verse 10 is a 2) on the first page of the second fascicle, fol. 299r, have been identified through a concordance as belonging to the same setting. Therefore, a folio must be missing, the racto of which would have contained the conclusion of the superius of verse 4 , the tenor of verses 2 and 4, and the contratenor of verse 2 (verse 4 is a 2); on the verso there would have been the superius of verses 6,8 and 10, and the beginning of the tenor part of verse 6. All parts of verse 12 are missing and one can on'y assume that these were never entered; logically they should have appeared on 299 v , where instead, one finds the beginning of the next setting, No. 325.

The first ten of the eleven settings entered by scribe 1 are arranged in a systematic manner in two groups of five each. In each setting in the first group, Nos. 317-321, verses 2 and 8, 4 and 10, and 6 and 12 are set to essentially the same musical material. The third one, however, No. 319, is somewhat of an exception in that whereas the superius parts for verses 8,10 and 12 are identical with those for verses 2,4 and 6, the lower parts are not. The same exception should be noted for verse 8, a 2, of No. 320, the latter half of the lower part of which differs slightly from the latter half of the same part in verse 2. In each of the five settings in the second group, Nos. $322-326$, each verse receives unique treatment. No. 325, however, light be an exception since settings for only three verses occur here, assigned respectively to verses 2,4 and 6 . One might conjecture that verses 8,10 and 12 were to be sung to the same three settings and that this Magnificat occurs out of proper order but one might also maintain
that the settings for verses 8,10 and 12 are missing because in every instance in which these verses were to be sung to the same music as were verses 2, 4 and 6, this fact was clearly indicated by the underlay of the full texts or at least of the incipits of both the texts beneath the one musical setting to which they belonged and this does not happen here.

Other principles of organization can also be detected. Within each of the two groups those settings that have the same sequence of mensurations for the various verses are grouped together and those settings that essentially are for three voices occur before those calling for four voices. Surprisingly, there seems to have been no attempt to arrange them, even within groups, according to the canticletone on which they are based.

The eleventh setting, in the hand of scribe 1, No. 327, stands outside the arrangement described above and probably was entered somewhat later but before the entries of scribe 2.23 It is a 3 and verses 2 and 8,4 and 10 , and 6 and 12 share the same music.

The remaining three settings, Nos. 328-330, are in the hand of scribe 2. The first seems to provide music only for verses 2,4 and 6 but, as in No. 325 , verses 8,10 and 12 may well have been sung to the same music. The second explicitly calls for this arrangement. The third is incomplete; the scribe simply stopped at the bottom of fol. 305 v and never continued on 306 r , the last folio of the manuscript. This setting, however, is preserved in its entirety in Trent 91, and there it has a separate setting for each of the six verses.

From this description of the contents of Strahov it is evident that the compilers succeeded in assembling in one manuscript polyphonic settings of almost all of the texts that would have been performed in this manner in the celebration of the liturgy in the Germanic areas during the latter half of the fifteenth century. The only missing item of any great importance is the Introit for Trinity Sunday, Benedicta sit, which at that time normally would have been used on all Sundays after Pentecost. Consequently, the Strahov manuscript is an excellent example of a late fifteenth-century polyphonic Liber Usualis and undoubtedly was used almost daily for several years toward the end of that century. Its withdrawal from use could have been prompted either by changing musical styles or by the oven more drastic changes in liturgical practices and devotional attitudes that resulted from the triumph of humanism at the beginning of the sixteenth century. Probably it was prompted by both.

[^0]5Dragan Plamenac, "Browsing Through a little-Known Manuscript," Journal of the American Musicological Society, XIII (1960), 102-11.
$6_{\text {Mrs. Jitka Snízková, of the Conservatory of Music in Prague, }}$ who kindly examined the manuscript in great detail for me and patiently answered many questions concerning its construction, has informed me that all of the identifiable watermarks in the manuscript can be traced to paper manufacturers active in south-eastern Germany in the last third of the fifteenth century, a fact that strengthens this assumption.

7 That this was the practice in Bohemia can be seen from the fifteenth-century Kuttenberg Graduale, Ms. 15501 of the Austrian National library. This manuscript gives but one basic formulary for use in all of Advent. This formulary contains only a single Introit, Offertory and Communion but provides several different Iesson chants, some of which seem to have been intended for use on the Sundays of the season, others for Marian votive Masses.
$8_{\text {Toward the end of the Middle Ages the use of votive Masses }}$ on Sundays as well as on week days had become a common practice. Thus, the Mass for Easter Sunday was used generally also on the five Sundays immediately following Easter, that of Ascension Thursday on the Sunday following that feast, and the Mass of Trinity Sunday or sometimes that of Pentecost on all of the Sundays after Pentecost. Similarly, the Mass of the Fourth Sunday of Advent normally was used on all the Sundays of that season. See Adolph Franz, Die Messe im deutschen Mittelalter (Freiburg, 1902), p. 151. From this fact it is evident that the cycles of Mass Propers found, for example, in Trent Mss. 88 and 90 are essentially complete cycles in terms of fifteenth-century practice.

A movement to limit the use of votive Masses on Sundays and to restore the traditional formalaries began at the very end of the fifteenth century. One of the most influential figures in this movement was the papal master of ceremonies, Johannes Burchardus, of Strassburg, whose important Ordo Missae appeared in 1502 and immediately received wide circulation; see Josoph A. Jungmann, The Mass of the Roman Rite, trans. by Francis A. Brunner (New York, 1951-55), I, 135-36. The early sixteenth-century cycles of Mass Propers such as that by Isaac and those found in some of the Jena manuscripts reflect this change in liturgical practice and probably were called forth by the need for settings of many formularies not in use in the preceding century.

9only a textual incipit appears here; presumably it calls for the same text as that which appears in full in No. 297.
${ }^{10}$ Antiphonale Romanum (Rome, 1912), pp. 205-08.
${ }^{11}$ See below, Chapter VI.
${ }^{12}$ The text of the entire parody is given in Franz, Die Messe, p. 755.

13Joannes Dominicus Mansi, ed., Sacrorum Conciliorum Nova et Amplissima Collectio, XXIX (Paris, 1904), 108.

14 See Chapter XII for details.
${ }^{15}$ See Chapter X for details.
${ }^{16}$ During the course of these two fascicles certain calligraphic features characteristic of scribe 1 undergo gradual changes until at the end of the second fascicle the hand is hardly recognizable as his. Three other items entered by him, Nos. 273, 305 and 327, also exhibit the same features as found at the conclusion of the second of these two fascicles. Because one can deduce from their location within the manuscript that these three items are later additions, one may assume that these two fascicles were written somewhat later than were tle others he prepared.

17Ses Chapter X for details.
${ }^{18}$ Jungmann, The Mass of the Roman Rite, I, 133-34; II, 210, 214 16, 404-06 and 457-58. See also Jules Houdoy, Histoire artistique de la cathédrale de Cambrai (Paris, 1880), p. 87; and Frank Ih. Harrison, Music in Medieval Britain (Iondon, 1958), p. 228.
${ }^{19}$ An alternate text, Stella caeli extirpavit, is given at the end of the tenor part.
${ }^{20}$ Analecta hymnica, I, No. 13.
$21_{\text {See above, }}$ n. 16.
$22_{\text {See above, }}$ n. 16.
${ }^{23}$ See above, n. 16 .

## II. RELLATED SOORCES

The total number of entries in Strahov is 330 but since one of these, No. 165, is a fragment and another, No. 111, consists of but a single voiceopart the actual number of complete compositions is 328. Nine items, however, appear twice and thus the number of different complete works is only 319, 212 of which nre unica. Consequently, the manuscript substantially adds to the rapertory dating from about 14451485.

The remaining 107 different items are also preserved in one or more of 29 other manuscripts. As can be seen in table 2, the majority of the concordances exist in one or another of eleven manuscripts of south-German origin, conclusive proof that Strahov beluggs to this tradition. There are almost no concordances in manuscripts known to be of Bohemian origin except, of course, for the Specialnik codex, and it can hardly be regarded as a typical Bohemian source. There is no real relationship, either, between Strahov and the manuscripts of Italian or French origin aince most of the concordances found in these are limited to a few exceptional pieces that enjoyed unusually widespread popularity. Thus, it is evident that the compilers of the Strahov manuscript followed the normal practice of the time and assembled the music needed for the celebration of the liturgy of their church from sources most readily accessible to them.

## 㠸

| Manuecript | Total | Numbers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German |  |  |
| Tr88* | 37 | 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 18, 29, 31, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 110 , ${ }_{259}^{112, ~ 114, ~} 265,266,128,130,131,132,135,152,154,188$, 320. |
| Tr90 | 24 | 8, 10, 26, 28 (twice), 30, 35, 59, $66(=70), 86,133$, 134 (twice), 135, 145, 150, 189 ( $=242$ ), 200, 207, 245 , 274 ( $=290$ ), 289, 304, 312, 326, 327. |
| Tr89 | 23 | $6,36(=42), 52,76,77,78,81,86,103,104,108,136,$ 137, 238, 139, 14c, 142, 155, 199, 289, 317, 319, 324. |
| Tr93 | 21 | 8, 10, 26, 28, 30, 35, 133, 134 (twice), 135, 145, 150, 175, 245, 260, 262, 268, 271, 284, 291, 300, 326. |
| Spec | 14 | 53, 77, 78, 105, 135, 142, 154, 155, 182, 186, 199, 204, 233, 288. |
| Tr91 | 6 | 36 ( $=42$ ), 82, 84, 163, 304, 330. |
| Sched | 6 | 189 (=242), 199, 201, 207, 221, 241. |
| Glog | 6 | 142, 177, 199, 221, 243, 307. |
| Tr87 | 4 | 133, 134, 135, 150. |
| Mu3154 | 3 | 17 ( $=146$ ), 59, 268. |
| Bux | 4 | 207, 221, 241, 288. |
| Bohemian |  |  |
| Fran | 1 | 53. |
| Prag | 1 | 135. |
| Italian-French |  |  |


| Tr91 | 6 | $36(=42), 82,84,163,304,330$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sched | 6 | 189 (=242), 199, 201, 207, 221, 241. |
| Glog | 6 | 142, 177, 199, 221, 243, 307. |
| Tr87 | 4 | 133, 134, 135, 150. |
| Mu3154 | 3 | 17 ( $=146$ ), 59, 268. |
| Bux | 4 | 207, 221, 241, 288. |
| Bohemian |  |  |
| Fran | 1 | 53. |
| Prag | 1 | 135. |
| Italian-French |  |  |
| Mod456 | 5 | 136, 137, 138, 139, 140. |
| Esc | 1 | 207. |
| FMag112bis | 1 | 315. |
| $0 \times 213$ | 1 | 221. |
| Q 16 | 3 | 163, 186, 221. |
| Per | 2 | 163, 322. |
| Cas, FPan, P767, Pix, Ricc2, Ser, Ver | 1 | 163. |
| Mi2269 ( 1 ) | 2 | 141, 200. |
| B. 80, CS15 | 1 | 200. |

* For explanation of manuscript sigla, see page 486.


## III. THE COMPOSERS

The majority of the items in Strahov appear there anonymousiy. Thirty-three, however, are attributed to one or another of seven composers, in addition to which the composers of twelve more entries can be identified through concordances. The composer represented by the largest number of settings is Johannes Touront. Ten items are ascribed to him, four anonymous settings can be attributed to him on the basis of other sources, and a fifth may possibly be his. Nothing is known about his life but in view of the origin of the two principal sources for his works--Strahov and the Speciálník codex-one might conjecture that he was a Czech. He may have been blind since the most popular of his compositions, 0 gloriosa regina mundi, preserved in eleven manuscripts, is attributed to a "Cecus" in Codex 431 of the Biblioteca Comunale of Perugia. Those ascribed to him in Strahov include a Mass Ordinary cycle, Nos. 75-78 and 81; 0 generosa nata David, No. 186; 0 florens rosa, No. 188; Nova instant cantica, No. 234; and two textless items, Nos. 233 and 236. The fous items appearing anonymously in Strahov but attributed to him elsewhere are Recordare virgo, no. 142; 0 gloriosa regina mundi, No. 163; a setting of the hymn Pange lingua, No. 288; and Ave virgo gloriosa, No. 182. No. 322, a Magnificat that is anonymous in Strahov, may also be by him since it is ascribed to "Cecus" in Perugia Cod. 431, the source that designates his 0 gloriosa regina mundi in the same manner.

A Mass-motet cycle, Nos. 99-103; an alternate setting for the first invocation of an Agnus Dei, No. 115; and a Regina caeli, No. 171. are indicated as being by a certain Philipi, Philipi ffrancis or simply Phi. Nothing at all is known of this composer; perhaps he is identical with the Phillipon of the Specialnik Codex, whom Orel identifies with Basiron. ${ }^{2}$

Five items are ascribed to Johannes Pullois, whose neme appears as pilois Johannes in Strahov, a relatively well-known composer who spent a number of years in the Papal Chapel. Four of these are Mass Ordinary items, Nos. 133, 134, 135 and 150, and the fufth is a setting of Flos de spina, No. 200. A sixth tiem, Opastor aeterne, No. 207, has been identified through concordances as a contrafactum of his chanson De madame, which appears in one source with the text 0 beata Maria. ${ }^{3}$

Standly, an obscure English composer previously known only from a Mass Ordinary in Trent 88 and one or two motets, is represented in Strahov by another Mass Ordinary, items Nos. 56, 144, 147, 148 and 149. An Agnus tertium No. 151, also was attributed to him originally but his name has been crossed out here, seemingly by the scribe who entered it. The stylistic discrepancy between this item and the othors attributed to Standly make it unlikely that it is a work of his. 4

Two settings of the Sanctus, Nos. 154 and 155, and one of the Agnus Dei, No. 152, are ascribed to a composer simply called "flemmik," a designation which undoubtedly is an indication of national origin--flemish-rather than a proper name. The two Sanctus settings also occur in both the Specialnik Codex and Trent Ms. 88, in the latter of
which the Agnus also appears, paired with the first of the Sanctus settings. In Specialnik the Sanctus settings are both attributed to "flemmik" but they, as well as the Agnus Dei, appear anonymously in Trent Ms. 88.

Two items, Nos. 168 and 169, settings a 3 and a 4, respectively, of the antiphon Regina caoli, are attributed to Batty (Batten?), another composer about whom nothing is known. A three-part Sanctus attributed him in Specialnik also appears anonymously in Prague Ms. VI.C.20a, fol. 94r. Orel and Plamenac have suggested that he might possibly be identical with the H. Battre, several of whose works appear in the final fascicle of Trent Ms. 87.5 This is not impossible although there are considerable stylistic differences between the two settings of Batty and those of H. Battre. The Batty items are in a style approaching that of the early Josquin generation and must date from about thirty years later than those attributed to H. Battre in the Trent manuscript.

One textless two-part item is ascribed to a "watlin frew," who has been identified by Plamenac as Walter Frye. ${ }^{6}$ Another of Frye's works, 0 florens rosa, appears twice in Strahov, Nos. 189 and 242, anonymously both times.

Dufay's name also appears in Strahov, where it is spelled duffay. It occurs, however, neither at the top of the page on which the composition it accompanies is entered-Nos. 294, a four-part sotting of the hymn Exsultet caelum laudibus-nor at the superius or tenor part but rather at the beginning of the contratenor secundus, immediately after the designation of this voice-part. Plamenac has examined this item in detail and convincingly shown that only the contratenor secundus
can be attributed to Dufay. ${ }^{7}$
The composers of five other works have been identified on the basis of attributions found only in other manuscripts. Rarbingant is represented by No. 199, Ave virgo speciosa, a contrafactum of his popular Der pfoben swancz, and Bartolomeo Brolo by No. 221, Congratulamini mihi, a contrafactum of his Entrepris suis par grant lyesse. Nos. 94 and 95 have been identified as the Kyrie and Gloria of the Missa Ayo visto 10 mappa mundi by Johannes Cornago and No. 82, which appears as an isolated Sanctus in Strahov, proves to be from a complete cyciic Ordisary by Johannes Vincenet in Trent Ms. 91. Thus, 45 of the 319 different compositions in Strahov (as well as one voice-part of another) can be definitely assigned to a composer, leaving a total of 274 anonymous ones.

```
    \(1_{\text {Peter Güllke, "Johannes Touront," MGG, XIII, 592-93. }}\)
    2orel, "Der Mensuralkodex," p. 90.
    \({ }^{3}\) Peter Giilke, "Johannes Pullois," MGG, X, 1955-57.
    \({ }^{4}\) Peter Gülke, "Standly," MGG, XII, 1171.
    \(5_{\text {Plamenac, "A Little-Known Manuscript," p. 103; see also Orel, }}\)
"Der Mensuralkodex," p. 292.
    \({ }^{6}\) Flamenac, "A Little-Known Manuscript," pp. 104-07; see also Sylvia Kenney, Walter Frye and the "Contenance Angloise" (New Haven, 1964), p. 28.
7Plamenac, "A Little-Known Manuscript," pp. 107-08.
```


## IV. MASS PROPERS

Sixty of the items in the Strahov manuscript are Mass Propers. All originelly appeared anonymously but a modern hand has written the name of Touront above No. 142, the Offertory Recordare virgo mater. Also, with but a single exception, all concordances are anonymous. The one exception is the concordance to the above-mentioned Offertory that occurs in Hradec Králové Ms. II.A.7, the somcalled Speciálník Codex, where this item is ascribed to Touront. The attribution of this iten to Touront in this manuscript can be accepted as correct because it is one of the principal sources for this composer's music. Since Dobroslav Orel examined the Strahov manuscript during the course of his study of the Hradec Králové codex it was probably he who entered Touront's name above the same item in Strahov. ${ }^{1}$

According to one writer there is a possibility that six of the Strahov Propers, Nos. 9, 11, 12, 13, 29 and 31, are the work of Dufay. These six items also appear in Trent Ms. 88 and are among the large number of Mass Propers there that Iaurence Feininger believes to have been composed by him. His arguments, briefly set forth in the preface to his edition of these settings, Monumenta polyphoniae liturgicae Sanctae Ecclesiae Romanae, Series II, I, are based on stylistic considerations. 2 They are not totally convincing, however, and it is safer to consider these items as anonymous. Thus, only a single item, No. 142 , can, with confidence, be attributed to a composer.

The actual number of musically difforent settings of Propers is only 57 since two items appear twice and a third is a contrafactum. No. 2 is nothing more than a corrupt version of No. 3, one setting of the Introit Gaudeamus was entered once by scribe 1 (No. 146) and again by scribe 2 (No. 17), while No. 42, a 4, Ecce advenit, entered by scribe 3, is a contrafactum of No. 36, a 3, Salve sancta Parens, entered by scribe 2.3

Thirty-six of these 57 different settings are unica. Of the 21 which are also preserved in one or inure additional sources, the concordances for 18 occur exclusively in one or another of the five later Trent manuscripts, Nos. 88, 89, 90, 91 and 13, while concordances for the other three also occur in Berlin Ms. 40098 (Glogau song book), Munich Mis. 3154 or Hradec Kralove Ms. II.A.7.

The vast majority of the sixty entries, fifty, in fact, are Introits, 26 of which were notated by scribe 1,19 by scribe 2 and five by scribe 3. The remaining ten items are one offertory, two Comunions, three Sequences and four Alleluias, all of which were copied by scribe 1.

Each of the settings utilizes in some manner, in one voice or 2nother, the chant melody traditionally associated with each of the texts. In 44 of the 57 musically different settings it occurs in a modernized form in the superius or, if the superius is silent briefly, in the contratenor, which is then the uppermost sounding voice. In two settings it occurs in the tenor with a similar treatment while in nine it appears in the tenor in equal note-values. In the latter case it is sometimes notated in its original chant form, sometimes all
in breves in what might be described as white chant notation. In either case each individual note represents a durational value equal to that of the tactus of the other parts. Finally, in two instances, the chant is used in a modernized form in one voice in one section of the setting and in another voice in another setting, sometimes in a transposition.

A detailed presentation of the facts on which these summary statements are based is given in Table 3. Here are indicated the items entered by each scribe, which items are unica, the sources for the concordances for the others and the manner in which the chant is utilized in each setting. Unless otherwise indicated ( $A=A l l e l u i a, S=S e-$ quence, $0=$ Offertory, $C=$ Commion), all items are Introits. The sign * indicates that the item is given in transcription in Appendix I, the sign $\frac{\pi}{\pi}$ that it has been published in Monumenta polyphoniae Iiturgicae Sanctae Ecclesiae Romanae, Series II, I.

An examination of all the Mass Propers reveals certain significant differences between most of those entered by scribes 1 and 2 . Before discussing the various stylistic aspects of the contributions of each scribe, however, a few comments need to be made concerning basic approaches cormon to all the settings in their use of pre-existent material. For the moment, therefore, it will be sufficient to state that the items entered by scribe 1 date, for the most part, from about 1440 to 1460 while those by scribe 2 were probably written between about 1455 and 1475 (see below, pp. 60-64). Thus, the Mass Propers date from two different style periods; those copied by scribe 1 are of the middle to late Dufay-Binchois period whereas most of those copied by
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scribe 2 are representative of the early Busnois period and the transitional stage leading to it.

As mentioned above, the premexistent material is treated in three different manners. The most frequent, by far, is that in which the chant is modernized, that is to say, reshaped according to the prevailing melodic style, and placed in the superius. 4 The procedure by which this was done, whether the composer was of the Dufay or Busnois generation, was a relatively simple one. Firgt, the basic musjco-textual phrases were separated from each other by the inseriion of resis; occasionally, these basic phrases were themselves subdivided into smaller phrases when the textual syntax permitted. Then, simultaneously with the modernizing of the chant in the superius, the tenor was added. Only after these two parts wore completed was the contratenor written.

In reworking the chant the composer usually, but not always, retained all the notes of the original; if any notes were omitted it was generally from cadential passages. For the most part only sligint modifications were made in the chant at the beginnings of phrases, with more extensive ones often being introduced as the phrases progressed. Also, the notes of the original chant were usually allotted Ionger durational values than were the notes added by the composer. The result of all these changes was a melodic line that was completem ly contemporary in style yet obviously patterned on a chent melody.

In adding the tenor to the modernized chant in the superius the composer generally observed two principal rules. The first of these was that the tenor should always cadence at the octave below the su-
perius at the end of each phrase. The other was that each phrase, other than the initial one, preferably should begin with an interval other than that of the octave, usually a third or a sixth, between the superius and tenor. The interval between the superius and tenor at the beginring of the initial phrase generally was an octave, sometimes a fifth. The decision as to which of these two intervals to use here does not seem to have been influenced by the degree of the scale occupied by the first note of the superius, even though that pitch was but rarely that of the final of the mode. See, for example, transcriptions 2 and 12 (Strahov Nos. 5 and 39), both of which are settings of the Introit Scio cui credidi. The first note in the superius of each setting is an $\underline{a}^{1}$, the fifth of the mode of the chant, yet in the first of these the tenor is an octave below it, in the other a fifth. Illustrations of the other points made above can be found in any of the transcriptions in which the chant is moderinized and in the superius.

The text usually appears in full in the superius of settings utilizing the chant in that voice part. It is never underlaid in full in a lower part in a setting of this kind; no more than a brief identifying incipit is ever given for a tenor or contratenor part. In a few instances the text of the superius is incomplete (Nos. 39, 40, 41) or only an incipit is present (Nos. 9, 11, 38) while one setting is completely textless (No. 23). These exceptions undoubtedly are the result of nothing other than scribal carelessness.

The normal performance practice of most of the items in this style calls for the use of a chant intonation and in every instance
but two in which this is the case the intonation is notated inmediately before the beginning of the superius part. Often it appears without a clef sign. When this situation occurs sometimes it must be read as if it had the same clef sign as the superius part but frequently it must be read as if notated with a tenor or some other clef. In any case, it probably was sung by the singer who performed the chant-bear:ing superius. The two exceptions occur in Nos. 31 and 40. In each of these the intonation immediately precedes the tenor part but in both instances it must be read as if it had the same clef sign as does the superius part. In Nos. 15, 23, 24 and 27 the intonation inadvertently has been omitted whereas in No. 142 the composer treated the traditional incipit polyphonically.

In the 11 settings which utilize the chant melody in the tenor two different types of treatment occur. In two settings, Nos. 16 and 36 ( $=42$ ), the chant is used in 2 modernized form in the tenor and it is interesting to note that both of these are in four parts. 5 Here a procedure analogous to that used when the chant was to be placed in the superius was followed. The phrases were separated by rests and reworked to bring the melodic line into conformity with the style of a tenor part of the time and the superius was added in such 2 way that it usually cadenced at the octave above simultaneously with the tenor phrases. In NO. 16, transcription 6, the superius has brief rests after its cadences with the tenor whoreas in No. $36(=42)$ it continues its movement without any interruption. ${ }^{6}$ On the basis of harmonic style one can assign these two pieces to about 1455-1460.

In the remaining nine settings, Nos. 18, 26, 30 and 44-49, the chant appears in the tenor without any changes whatsosver. All note values are equal and no rests are inserted to articulate the phrases. All the composer did to prepare his basic framework was to compose the superius above it. 7

Two different approaches in the writing of the superius can be found in the settings of this type. In the stylistically earlier examples the superius usually cadences at the octave above the tenor at the end of each of its phrases in one of two ways. If the penultimate note of the tenor phrase is a step higher than the ultimate note the superius usually has a 7-6 suspension-resolution figure or similar melodic pattern over the penultimate note and then moves to the octave above the ultimate note. If, however, the ultimate and ponultimate notes occur on the same pitch, the 7-6 suspension-resolution or similar figure in the superius occurs over the antepenultimate note of the tenor, provided it has the necessary $2-1$ melodic relationship with the penultimate note, and the cadence concludes at the penultimate note of the tenor. The superius then rests over the ultimate note of the tenor phrase. Examples of this can be found in No. 26, transcription 9, measures 10-11, 16-17, 28 and 31-32. An example of the manner in which the superius may be treated when a phrase of the chant does not cadence in a 2-1 stepwise fashion either at the ultimate or penultimate note cen be seen in measures 22-23 of the same setting. In settings with this kind of treatment the original phrases of the chant melody are delineated by means of these cadences, both through the melodicline of the superius and the harmonic constructs that result from the relation-
ship between the superius and tenor.
A different treatment is used in three of the settings that have the chant in the tenor in equal values. In Nos. 47,48 and 49 the composer deliberately negated or at least obscured the cadential implications of the various chant phrases by the manner in which he constructed his other voice parts. Never do the other voices harmonically or melodically cadence simultaneously with the tenor phrases. See, for example, No. 48, transcription 14. Significantly, the three settings in which this kind of treatment is used are stylistically the most recent of the settings and probably date from about 1470-1475. Strahov No. 46, transcription 13, has both kinds of cadential treatment. In measures 7-8 and 20-21 there occurs the type of treatment described above as typical of the earlier settings while in measures 15-16 the cadence is obscured in a manner typical of the later settings.

The situation in regard to text is more complex in this group of settings than it was in those that used the chant in the superius. In Nos. 16 and $42(=36)$, both of which are a 4 and use the chant in a modified form, the text occurs only in the superius whereas in No. 36 (=42), a 3, no more than the incipit appears but it is found in both the superius and tenor. ${ }^{8}$ Also, in No. 18 , the only setting a 4 that uses the tenor in equal values, the text appears only in the superius. It should be noted that this setting is of the same style as Nos. 16 and 36 ( $=42$ ).

The remaining eight items that have the chant in the tenor in equal values, Nos. 26,30 and 44-49, are all a 3 and in a slightly
later style than the others. In each of these the text appears in full in the tenor, in addition to which it also appears in full in the superius of No. 49 and partially in the superius of No. 30. It is interesting to note that in the stylistically most advanced items, Nos. 44-49, the tenor is given in its original chant notation while in all the other settings it is notated in white breves.

In only six of the 11 settings with the chant in the tenor, all of which are Introits, is there the customary soloistic intonation and, surprisingly, this intonation is assigned to the superius in every instance but one. The exception is No. 36, where it occurs before the beginning of the tenor part, even though in No. 42, the contrafactum of this setting, it occurs in the superius. 9 In the rem maining five items, Nos. 18, 26, 45, 46 and 47 , polyphonic treatment begins with the initial note of the chant.

The twe settings in which the chant is used in more than one voice and in a transposition as well as at the original notational level are No. 176, the Alleluia Pascha nostrum, and No. 179, the Sequence Lauda Sion. In the first, transcription 17, the chant is always used in a modernized form. In the respond it occurs in the superius at the original notational level, in verse 1 a portion is transposed and in verse 2 it migrates. Verse 1 opens with two-part writing between the superius and contratenor and continues with two-part writing using the contratenor and tenor and in both of these sections the chant appears in the higher-sounding voice. In the next two-part section, using the superius and contratenor, part of the chant used in the preceding section is repeated in the superius at a fourth below the notational
level at which it normelly would appear. In the concluding three-part section it appears in the superius at the correct level. In verse 2 the chant, except for a very brief migration to the upper voice, occurs in the contratenor, which here is essentially a true middle voice because it but rarely moves below the tenor. Undoubtedly, the use of the chant in the contratenor in verse 2 and its brief appearance in 2 transposed position in the superius in verse 1 was prompted not by $a$ desire for variety of treatment but simply by the excessively high range of the chant, which extends up to an $a^{\prime}$ notationally.

Whenever the chant appears in the highest-sounding voice in this setting this voice part and the tenor form the basic structural framework and are related in the manner described above in the discussion concerming the use of the chant in the superius. When, however, the chant appears in the contratenor in a three-part section this relationship often is transferred to the contratenor and superius and these constitute the basic framework to which the tenor is then added. See, for example, measures 65, 81-82 and the final measure of the transcription of this item, No. 17 in Appendix I.

In No. 179, transcription 18, the chant occurs only in the two lower voices and because of its essentially syllabic character it was subjected to a minimal amount of modification, much of which was restricted to the cadences of the various versicles. In the second pair of versicles it is quoted with no changes whatever except for the insertion of one semibreve rest. ${ }^{10}$

In the first two pairs of versicles the chant is assigned to the contratenor at its normal notational level. In the remaining versicles
it appears in the tenor, transposed either to the lower fifth or the upper fourth. Here, as in No. 176, this movement of the chant material from one voice to another and its transposition undoubtedly was prompted by considerations of range for it is precisely those versicles with a higher range that appear at the lower fifth, those with a lower range that are raised a fourth and those with a middle range that are untransposed and assigned to the contratenor. It is interesting to note that in versicles $1-2$ and $3-4$, where the chant appears untransposed and in the contratenor, the final note of the chant, g, is used as the fifth, not the root, of the ultimate chord. By means of this treatment the composer brought these two pairs of versicles into tonal conformity with those in which the chant was transposed down a fifth or up a fourth and in which the final of the chant, now a $\subseteq$ rather than a g , always served as the root of the final chord.

On the basis of melodic and harmonic features those settings entered by scribe 1 can be assigned to the years about 1440-1460. Threepart settings in the style of the Burgundian chanson predominate and give an admirable view of the evolution of this compositional approach. In several items in this style the tenor frequently moves in parallel sixths with the superius, the contratenor in fourths, and only the skillful use of anticipations and suspensions in one or the other of the upper voices disguises the harmonic simplicity of these pieces. In other settings the tenor again moves to a great extent in parallel sixths with the superius but the contratenor tends to avoid parallel movement and freely crosses below the tenor. In what must be the most recent of the settings in this style the tenor also tends to avoid par-
allel movement with the superius except at cadences and the contratenor appears below the tenor with great frequency and often moves by leaps of a fourth or fifth.

The two principal harmonic cadential formulae used are the VII ${ }^{6}$-I and octave-leap cadences. These basic harmonic constructs are melodically ornemented in the various ways usual in this type of writing. Of particular interest in this regand is the use of the "under-third" melodic formula in the contratenor when it does not appear simultaneously in the superius. This figure normally is found in the superius alone or in both the superius and contratenor but rarely in the contratenor only. An example of its use in this manner may be seen in Strahov No. 10, transcription 5, measure 11 of the original contratenor; uther settings in which it occurs are Strahov Nos. 3(=2), 8 and 22.

Several of the settings, including some of those also found in Trent Ms. 88, closely approximate the style of Dufay. Most of the other items differ from these primarily in their use of a simpler, less fluid and supple melodic line in the superius; also, parallel fifths occasionally can be found in them.

Imitation occurs in only three of the items in this group. In two of these, Nos. 3 (=2) and 32, both of which are Introits, it occurs but briefly. In the third item, however, No. 179, the Sequence Lauda Sion, imitation occurs at the beginning of six pairs of versicles and usually all three voices participate, even if only for two or three notes. In a few of the Introits there are short passages in two parts only (Nos. 4, 8, 11, 12, 13 and 25), and in three of the four

Alleluias in the manuscript (Nos. 91, 92 and 176) there are to be found rather lengthy sections of two-part writing. In two items, Nos. 11 and 22. there is 2 brief section in 2 differant mensuration or proportion; both of these are Introits.

The four settings a 4 entered by scribe 1, Nos. 7, 16, 18 and 142, date from about 1455-1460. Although the melodic configuration of the superius parts of these items is not too different from that of many of the other items entered by the same scribe, they lie outside of the Burgundian chanson style by virtue of their thicker texture and the use of a second, lower contratenor part that often functions as a true bass even though it does not yet descend into the lower ranges. See, for example, transcriptions 3 (Strahov No. 7) and 6 (Strahov No. 16). Imitation is absent from Nos. 7, 16 and 18 but occurs with considerable frequency in No. 142, sometimes with 211 four voices participating.

A few of the items entered by scribe 2, Nos. 17 ( $=746$ ) and 27, transcription 10, for example, are in the style of many of those in the hand of scribe 1. The majority of them, however, exhibit features more characteristic of the Busnois generation and must date from about 14651475. In these settings an equally florid type of writing tends to be used in each of the parts (with the exception, of course, of those items in which the tenor states the chant in equal values), and parallel tenths and sixths between any two of the voices occur with considerable frequency. Imitation is used occasionally and in one setting, Strahov No. 1, transcription 1, there is 2 long melismatic passage in one voice against notes of long duration in the other two. The total range is extended downward and in many of the items there is much use
of non-quartal harmony. 17
Three new cadential formulae also are to be found.

## Example I

a) "Authentic"
b) "Deceptive"
c) "Plagal"


The first of these performs the some basic function as do the VII ${ }^{6}-I$ and octave-leap cadences and occurs with great frequency in those settings which utilize the lower range. It is the only type of cadence used in Strahov No. $36(=42)$ but its exclusive use is exceptional; generally the VII ${ }^{6}$-I and octave-leap cadences continue to appear along with it in these later items. What is of particular significance is the tendency to limit the use of all three of these cadences to the ends of larger sections and to use the two other new formulae, the "deceptive" and "plagal," at the conclusion of shorter phrases where a less definitive, more tentative feeling of rest is desired. This use of cadences capable of conveying a greater or lesser degree of finality and the judicious placing of them is one of the more important features of the newer style. These various stylistic features may be seen in Strahov Nos. 1, 46 and 48, transcriptions 1, 13 and 14.12

A few of the items entered by scribe 2 are transitional in nature and contain elements of both the earlier and later styles. See, for example, Strahov No. 9, transcription 4; here the cadences used are
those typical of the earlier period but the use of the lower range as well as the parallel tenths between the superius and contratenor in measure 8 are characteristic of the later period.

The four items entered by scribe 3 are not particularly distinctive and belong to the transitional period.
$I_{\text {See above, p. }}$ I.
$2_{\text {Laurence Feininger, ed., Monumenta polyphoniae liturgicae Sanctae }}$ Ecclesize Romanae, Series II, I (Rome, 1947).

3The nature of the relationship between the two pieces can be seen by comparing them with their two concordances in Trent Mss. 89 (242v243 r ) and 91 ( $215 \mathrm{v}-216 \mathrm{r}$ ). In both of these sources the piece appears 24 and with the text Salve sancta Parens. A contrafactum is possible here because the chant melodies associated with the two texts are themselves essentially identical, that of Salve sancta Parens being no more than a slightly modified version of Ecce advenit, the original of the two plainsong settings.
${ }^{4}$ For a detailed discussion of this manner of treating a chant melody in a polyphonic setting in the second quarter of the fifteenth century see Edgar H. Sparks, Cantus Firmus in Mass and Motet, 1420-1520 (Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1963), pp. 43-65.

5 For a discussion of this type of treatment in the second and third quarters of the fifteenth century see ibid., pp. 65-68 and pp. 191-92.
${ }^{6}$ The first seven measures of this item, as found in Trent Ms. 89, are printed ibid., p. 192.
$7_{\text {A discussion }}$ of this type of treatment appears ibid., pp. $91-93$ and pp. 193-94. Among the firteenth-century theorists who treat of it are Guilielnus Monachus. De preceptis artis musice (C.E.H. Coussemaker, ed., Scriptorum de Musica medii aevi, Nova series, Vol. III, p. 293 and p. 295) and Johannes Tinctoris, Liber de arte contrapuncti. Iib. II, Cap. 21 (Coussemaker, Seriptorum, Vol. IV, pp. 130-31),

8 The full text appears in the superius in both of the concordances in the Trent Mss.

9The intonation precedes the superius in both of the Trent concordances.
$10_{\text {These }}$ statements are based on a comparison made with the version of the melody common to fifteenth-century chant manuscripts such as the Kuttenberg Graduale and St. Gall Ms. 546, not with the version found in the Solesmes editions.
${ }^{11}$ For a discussion of non-quartal harmony in secular music in the latter half of the fifteenth century see Charles Warren Fox, "NonQuartal Harmony in the Renaissance," Musical Quarterly, XXXI (January, 1945), 33-53.
$12_{\text {For more detailed information concerning the use of these and }}$ other cadences of the eamy Renaissance see Robert W. Wienpahl, "The Evolutionery Significance of 15th Century Cadential Formulae," Journal of Music Theory, IV (November, 1960), 131-52.

## V. MASS ORDINARIES

Fighty-eight of the entries in Strahov are Mass Ordinary items but because one Kyrie is entered twice ( $66=70$ ) the number of different compositions is only 87. Twentymine of these entries are plainsong settings that utilize a chant melody in some manner or other whereas 54 belong to one or another of the 16 musically unified cycles that are represented in the manuscript by one or more items. The five remaining entries do not seam to be based on a chant melody nor have they been identified as members of a cycle.

Settings Based on Plainsongs

Twenty-two of the plainsong items are Kyrie settings, one is 2 Gloria, five are settings of the Sanctus and one is an Agnus Dei. Table 4 indicates which of these were entered by each scribe, which are unica, the sources in which concordances for the others may be found, the cantus firmus for each, the voice-part(s) in which it occurs and the manner in which it is treated. The underscoring of an inventory number indicates that the item is troped whereas an asterisk after 2 number indicates that the item appears in transcription in Appendix I. In the column headed Cantus Firmus the siglum MEL refers to the catalog of medieval Kyrie melodies by Margareta Melnicki, Das oinstimmige Kyrie des lateinischen Mittelalters; ${ }^{1}$ BOS indicates the catalog of Gloria melodies by Detlev Bosse, Untersuchung einstirmiger mittelalterlicher

Table 4


Table 4


Melodien zum "Gloria in Excelsts Deo; ${ }^{2}$ and Til signifies the Sanctus catalog compiled by Peter Josef Thannabaur, Das einstimmige Sanctus der römischen Messe in der handschriftlichen Überlieferung des 11. bis 16 e Jahrhunderts. ${ }^{3}$ The roman numerals in parentheses in the same colunn are the numbers assigned to these melodies in $2 l l$ the various current editions of the Graduale Romanum and Liber Usualis. Unless otherwise indicated ( $G=$ Gloria, $S=$ Sanctus, $A=$ Agnus Dei), the items are Kyrie settings.

All of the settings based on plainsong are anonymous except for No. 154, attributed to "flemmik," and an alternate setting of the first invocation of an Agnus Dei, No. 115, which is ascribed to Philipi ffrancis. The majority 2lso are unica, suggesting that many of them are the products of musicians active at the place where Strahov was compiled. Almost all exhibit the same general compositional approach, stylistic features and methods of cantus firmus treatment as do the Moss Propers and, as before, settings entered by scribes 1 and 3 are in a somewhat earlier style than those entered by scribe 2 , many of the entries of scribe 2 utilize the chant in the tenor in equal values and it is interesting to note that all but one of the settings of this kind entered by him, No. 61, have the plainsong notated in its original neumes. ${ }^{4}$

Only a few settings stand apart from the others by reasor of the rather free manner in which the premexistent material is treated. One of these is the first of the Kyrie settings entered by scribe 1, No. 51, transcription 19. Here the chant melody, appears in the opening section in a modernized form in the superius but the treatment is ax-
tremely free and the chant is clearly identifiable only in the first nine or ten measures and in the last three or four. The remaining five or six internal measures do not seem to utilize it at all. The second section begins with the chant clearly present in the tenor in long notes but once its identity has been established the composer makes almost no further reference to it. The final section is probably freely composed although certain motives found in both the tenor and superius may have been derived consciously from the chant melody; see, for example, measures 6 and 7 of the tenor part of this section, the motive of which may have been based on notes 4 through 9 of the original chant for this Kyrie.

Two other interesting examples are Nos. 85 and 154. both of which are four-part settings of the sanctus. In each of these the pre-existent material moves rather freely between the tenor and superius and appears in long notes as well as in a modernized form. No. 85, transcription 20, serves as an example of this kind of treatment.

A limited number of chant melodies is utilized in this group of items. This is particularly true of the Kyrie settings, 19 of which are based on one or another of only five different plainsongs drawn from the more ancient and widely used portion of the Gregorian repertory. One of these, MEL 39 (I), serves as the basis for three settings, Nos. 51,59 and 61, none of which is troped. This chant melody traditionally was reserved for use on Easter and the immediately following Sundays and undoubtedly the three Strahov settings based on it also were intended for use on the same occasions.

The formal structure of the chant melody is AAA-BBB-CCC', with $C^{1}$
differing from $C$ only through the use of a few introductory notes. This form is reflected in the polyphonic settings. Two of these, Nos. 51 and 59, each consist of three sections and each section utilizes respectively a singie statement of $A, B$ and C. In No. 51 the mensuration of the sections is $C, \notin$ and 0 whereas in No. 59 all are notated in $\&$. No. 61 consists of four sections, the fourth of which is a setting of $C^{\prime}$, and here the mensurations used are Kyrie (A): 0 , Christe (B): $\not \subset$, Kyrie (C): 0 and Kyrie ultimum ( $C^{1}$ ): 0 .

Five other Kyrie settings, Nos. 52, 53, 62, 65 and 71, utilize MEL 48 (II), which during the later Middle Ages most frequently was used for the greater feasts of the temporal cycle and sometimes also on the principal Ifarian feasts. In current liturgicel books it has the form of $A A A-B B B-C C C^{\prime}$, with $C^{\prime}$ differing from $C$ only in that it contains a repeat of the initial phrase. Its original form, however, must have been $A A A-B B B-C^{\prime} C^{\prime} C^{\prime}$. This is evident from the earliest tropes written for use with this melody. In them the texts to be inserted into the final three Kyrie invocations all have the same number of syllables and this number calls for form $C^{\prime}$ rather than $C$.

Four of the five polyphonic settings based on this chant melody, Nos. 52, 53, 62 and 65, are each composed of three sections, the first of which always uses $A$, the second $B$ and the third $C^{\prime}$. In No. 52 all three sections are notated in 0 and in No. 53 all are in \&. Nos. 62 and 65 both have their three sections notated respectively in $0, \phi$ and 0. No. 71 differs from the other four in that it has two settings for each section: $A^{I}$ (a 4): $C ; A^{2}$ (a 3): $0 ; B^{I}$ (a 4): $C ; B^{2}$ (a 3): $0 ; C^{1}$ (a 2) : $C$ : and $C^{12}$ (a 3): 0.5

All five of these settings are troped. The text for Nos. 52, 62, 65 and 71 is Fons bonitatis, ${ }^{6}$ in addition to which No. 52 has a second text, Sacerdos summe, ${ }^{\text {S }}$ given at the end of its tenor part. The text of No. 53 is Virginitatis amator. ${ }^{8}$ In the late Middle Ages Fons bonitatis generally was sung at Masses in honor of the Holy Trinity and thus the polyphonic settings with this trope probably were intended for use on the Sundays after Pentecost since in the fifteenth century a votive Mass in honor of the Holy Trinity usually was celebrated on those days. During the same period Sacerdos summe was used at the first Mass of a newly-ordained priest ${ }^{9}$ and Virginitatis amator was one of the tropes used on the greater Marian feasts.

That these liturgical assigments wero followed in Bohemia in the fifteenth century can be seen from a manuscript Graduale of the period that was written for use in Kuttenberg, a city located a fow miles east of Prague. This manuscript, preserved in Vienna at the Austrian National Library where it has the call number 15501, has on fols. 3v7r a large number of tropes, all of which are to be used with the same melody, MEL 48 (II). ${ }^{10}$ These are arranged in proper liturgical order and are assigned to the principal feasts of the temporal cycle, beginning with Christmas, and to the more important Marian feasts. Among them one finds Fons bonitatis with the inscription "Sancta Trinitate," Virginitatis amator with the heading "De BMV quando placet" and Sacerdos summe with the designation "Novi Sacerdotis in Prima Missa."

In all troped Kyrie settings in Strahov the text of but a single invocation is given for each of the three sections. Thus, the texts of all the tropes in Strahov (and the same generally is true of those
found in similar fifteenth-century polyphonic manuscripts such as the Trent codices) consist of but three rather than nine versicles. ${ }^{11}$ Even in No. 72, which has two settings each for $A, B$ and $C^{\prime}$, the same versicles from Fons bonitatis are underlaid in each of the two settings in each section. The texts of the tropes that are used in Strahov with MEL 48 (II) are given below. It should be noted that the Cheiste versicle of Virginitatis amator differs substantially from all three of those found in the original; it is, in fact, largely taken from the Christe trope of Fons bonitatis.

## Fons bonitatis

Kyrie, fons bonitatis, pater ingenite
a quo bona cuncta procedunt, eleison. Christe, unice Dei patris genite, quem de virgine nasciturum mundo mirifice sancti praedjxerunt prophetae, eleison. Kyrie, ignis divine, pectora nostra succende, ut digni pariter proclamare possimus semper, eleison.

## Sacerdos summe

Kyrie, sacerdos summe, hunc novum respice sacerdotem solemnizantem, eleison.

Christum hodie in altari cernite
tali specie sicut vere die parasceves pro nobis pependit in cruce, eleison.

Kyrie, qui tibi novum elegisti sacerdotem, fac ipsum hodie corpus tuum digne tractare, eleison.

## Virginitatis amator

Kyrie, virginitatis amator inclite, pater et creator Mariae, eleison. Christe, hagie gigas fortis gemine, quem de virgine nasciturum mundo mirifice sancti praedixerunt prophetae, eleison. Kyrie, qui incarmatus de Mariae ventre natus sub nostra specie corpus elegisti Mariae, eleison.

Six entries, Nos. $54,64,66(=70), 67,70(=66)$ and 73, utilize HEL 18 (IV). The tropes Cunctipotens genitor and Rex virginum traditionally were used with this melody but none of the Strahov settings based on it contains any indication calling for these or any other tropes. Neither are any liturgical assignments given unless, perhaps, the puzzling inscription found in the superius of No. 70, "Summum," was intended to designate one. ${ }^{12}$ On the basis of traditional usage one may assume that these settings were used on various greater feasts of the temporal cycle and perhaps on some of the liarian feasts.

The form of MEL 18 (IV) as it occurs in current liturgical books is $A A A-B B B-C C C 1$, with $C^{2}$ differing from $C$ only in that it contains a repeat of the initial phrase of C. This seems to have been its original form since in the tropes used with this melody the number of syllables in the text of the ultimate invocation always demands the use of $C^{\prime}$ whereas the number in the texts assigned to the two preceding ones calls for $C$.

Two of the settings using this chant, Nos. 64 and 67 , consist of three sections, each of which uses, respectively, A, B and C; neither has a setting of $C^{\prime}$. In the first of these the mensurations are $0, \notin$ and 0 whereas in the second all are notated in 0 . No. 66 also consists of only three sections but at its second appearance, No. 70, it has an additional fourth section based on $C^{\prime}$ and labelled Kyrie ultimum. The mensurations used in No. 70 are $0,8, C 3$ and 0 . Interestingly, the Kyrie ultimum does not provide a different polyphonic treatment for the repeat of the initial musical phrase of $C^{\prime}$; rather, it sets it but once and then simply inserts a repeat sign in all parts.

No. 73 also consists of three sections, the third of which uses a repeat sign after the initial phrase and thus with one setting provides for both the $C$ and $C^{\text {l }}$ statements; the mensurations here are $0, \$$ and $C$. The final section of No. 54 is also constructed in the same manner but this entry differs from all the others based on MEL 18 (IV) in that it provides two different settings for the initial Kyrie. All sections are notated in 0 .

Four settings, Nos. 57, 63, 72 and 74, are based on MEL 78 (V), which has the form AAA-BBB-AAA, and all four use the trope Magne Dous. 13 In its original version this trope contained references to Christmas and Epipheny and generally was used throughout Christmastide. The polyphonic settings in Strahov probably were used on a variety of occasions, if one can judge from the assigrments given for Magne Deus in the Vienna Ms. 15501 , fol. Yr, which include St. Stephan, Circumcision, Holy Trinity (1), Corpus Christi and several saints of local importance such as Sigismund, Wenceslaus and Procopius. The use of this trope on
such widely divergent feasts was made possible by the fact that the shortened form of three versicles in which it generally was used in the fifteenth century lacked all references to Epiphany and most to Christmas. The text of this trope as it appears in Strahov is as follows. (It should be noted that ine Christe versicle in Strahov is not one of those originally forming part of this trope; it does, however, occur in many fifteenth-century chant sources, including Vienna MS. 15501.)

## Magne Deus

> Kyrie, magne Deus potentiae,
> liberator horainis transgressoris mandati, eleison. Christe genite, quem culpas Adae
> qui venisti solvere, eleison.
> Kyrie, cuius natus Emanuel
> restauravit quod Adam primus homo perdidit, eleison.

Three of the settings using MEL 78 (IV), Nos. 57, 63 and 72, consist of three different sections, that is to say, they provide a different setting for each of the two Kyrie sections and might be described as having the form $A-B-A^{2}$ in contrast to the form of the original chant, A-B-A; their mensurations are $C, \notin, 0 ; 0, \$, 0 ;$ and $0, C, 0$. No. 74, however, consists of but two sectiions since only a single setting of $A$ is used for both Kyrie statements; this results in the form $A-B-A$, with the mensurations being $0, \&$ and 0 .

The fifth and last of the more ancient chant melodies used for plainsong Kyrie settings is MEL 171 (IX), on which two settings are based, Nos. 55 and 68. Its formal scheme is ABA-CDC=EDE', one of the
more complex to be found in the older repertory. Various Marian tropes were still used with this melody in the fifteenth century, including Cum fubilo, 14 the one originally associated with it, as well as other more recent ones such as Angelorum Domina. 15 Although none of these occurs with the settings in Strahov, it is evident that at least one of the settings in the manuscript, No. 68, was intended for use on Marian feasts because it is paired with a Gloria setting, No. 69, that contains the Marian trope Spiritus et alme.

One of the settings based on MEL 171 (IX), NO. 55, consists of but three sections, all of which are notated in $\phi$. Each incorporates, respectively, melodic units $A, C$ and $E$ of the chant melody, that is, the material of the first and third invocations of the first Kyrie and the Christe sections and that of the first invocation of the final Kyrie section. There is, however, nothing to indicate that the setting of the $A$ and $C$ material is to be sung twice, as there is in No. 68. In this entry, each section of which is notated in 0 , polyphony is provided for each invocation, with the sections using A and C clearIy labelled as serving for both Kyrie $I^{I}$ and $I^{3}$ and Cnriste $I^{1}$ and $I^{3}$. A single setting of melodic unit $D$ also serves for both Christe $I^{2}$ and Kyirie $I I^{2}$ although here the material is notated twice, once with the Christe text and once with the Kyrie text. Thus, this entry is the only setting in Strahov of a Kyrie based on a plainsong in which the performance practice is unambiguous, the only one in which it is fully evident as to how nine invocations are to be achieved. ${ }^{16}$

The remaining two Kyrie settings, Nos. 50 and 60, are based on late medieval melodies of Germanic origin, neither of which appears
in current liturgical books. MEL 126, used in No. 50, has been found in less than two dozen manuscripts, all of which date fran between about 1400-1600. 17 It does not seem to have been troped. ${ }^{18}$ In Vienna Ms. 15501, where it occurs on fol. 16r (incorrectly cited in MEL as on 15r), this chant has the form AAA-BBB-AAC, with $C$ being constructed from material derived from both $A$ and $B$. The rubric indicating its liturgical use reads "Canitur alternatim de damina ferialibus diebus et in octavis." The strahov setting based on this chant, which consists of three sections all notated in $\phi$, may well have been intended for use on the same occasions.

No. 60 is based on MEL 111. This melody has been found in but about 60 manuscripts and was in use only slightily longer than MBL 126-from approximately 1400 to 1700.19 Also preserved in Vienna Ms. 15501, 18r-19r (not 17r, as cited in MEL), it has there the inscription "De domina incidentale et de virginibus quum placet." In this source it is also provided with the trope Clementissime, only one of at least six that were associated with this chant. 20 It has the form AAA-BBB-CCD, with $D$ using in a transposed position material from A. The Strahov setting based on this chant, No. 60, consistis of three sections, all notated in $\notin$ and utilizing respectively $A, B$ and D. Surprisingly, the D material appears here a fifth lower than its normal position in the chant melody, resulting in a final cadence for this section that is a fifth lower than those of the first and second sections. Undoubtedly, the entire third section must be read a fifth higher than notated.

The only plainsong setting of the Gloria in Strahov is No. 69 and, as mentioned above, it is paired with Kyrie No. 68. It is based on

BOS 23 (IX), one of the oldest of the Gloria chants, and contains the Marian trope usually associated with this chant melody, Spiritus ot alme. 21 It is cast in the bipartite form frequently used for Gloria settings of the period, with the second section beginning at Qui tollis. Both sections are notated in 0 . In style it is identical with Kyrie No. 68.

Three of the five plainsong Sanctus settings, Nos. 85, 113 and 118, are of particular interest because they all are based on a specific variant of TH 32 (XVII) that is cited on page 118 of the Thannabaur catalog and listed as being preserved only in the Kuttenberg Graduale, Vienna Ms. 15501. The inscription found with this chant in this manuscript, on $48 v-49 r$, is "Sanctus de martiribus." The original form of the chant, however, is a very ancient one and has been used on a great variety of feasts, as can be seen from the various tropes for it. ${ }^{22}$ The fact that three different settings based on the variant were included in the Strahov manuscript makes it seem unlikely that the compilers of Strahov intended it to have as limited an application as that called for by the Kuttenberg Graduale.

Two of these settings, Nos. 113 and 118, are simple and unprem tentious pieces that are not particularly distinguished by imaginative writing nor are they devoid of awkward passages. No. 85, transcription 20, however, is the work of a skillful composer who treats the pre-existent chant in a greater variety of ways than is to be found in any other plainsong Ordinary item. Regrottably, it is anonymous because it is one of the better settings of this entire group. Its style, in fact, is very similar to that of the four-part works by

Johannes Touront, such as No. 142, Recordare. All that can be safely said at the manent, however, is that, because it is an unicum and because the exact form of the melody on which it is based has, to date, been found only in a Bohemian source, it may well have been composed by a (Czech?) musician active at the place where the Strahov manuscript was compiled.

TH 49 (IV), the most widely used and most troped of all the Sanctus chant melodies during the Middle Ages, serves as the basis for but one setting, No. 154, the only plainsong item attributed in its entirety to a composer, namely "flemmik." This setting is an una usually long and elaborate one and closely resembles No. 85 stylistically. Undoubtedly, it was intended to be sung, as was the chant on which it is based, only on the greater feasts of the church year.

The fifth of the Sanctus settings, No. 127, is based on TH 185 , a melody not found in current liturgical books but which occurs in the Kuttenberg Graduale with the trope Deus pater judex justitiae. 23 No indication is given there as to its intended liturgical usage kut the text of the trope suggests that at Kuttenberg it probably was sung on feasts of the temporal rather than sanctoral cycle. Unfortunately, the Strahov setting based on it is incomplete; it lacks the Benedictus and, seemingly, the second Hosanna. It is possible, however, that here, as in all the other Sanctus settings in Strahov that are based on plainsongs, the first Hosanna section simply was repeated after the Benedictus because in TH 185, as in TH 32 (XVII) and TH 49 (IV), the same melody is used for both Hosanna statements.

The only Agnus Dei setting in Strahov that is based on a plainsong, No. 115, uses the chant that occurs in Mass XVII in current liturgical books. 24 This chant, A-B-A in form, is related musically to Sanctus TH 32 (XVII) in several ways and from about the middle of the fourteenth century to the end of the sixteenth it was paired with it in chant manuscripts. ${ }^{25}$ In view of this and because No. 115 has the same kind of cantus firmus treatment and style as do two of the Sanctus settings based on TH 32 (XVII), Nos. 113 and 118, and because all three of these settings were entered by scribe 2 in as close a proximity to each other as possible in the fascicle prepared by scribe 4, one may safely assume that the Agnus Dei setting was intended to be used on the same occasions as were the Sanctus settings Nos. 113 and 118.

The Agnus Dei setting consists of the usual three sections. They are notated in $\&, \notin$ and 0 ; surprisingly, the text of all three reads "miserere nobis." It is possible that the original form of the setting consisted of only the first two sections since the superius and contratenor of the third section have inscriptions that read, respectively, "primum alterum" and "primum (se. Agnus) philipi ffrancis." Thus, what appears to be the third section of this section may have been intended to be an alternate setting of the first section and would thus be the only section that may be attributed to the composer named at the contratenor part.

Mass Cycles

On the whole, the Ordinary items based on plainsongs are of little interest musically since the vast majority of them are nothing more
than the most perfunctory kind of service music, another indication that most of them probably were the work of composers active only in the area where Strahor was compiled. This is not the case with the cyclic Masses, however, of which there are sixteen represented in Strahov by one or more items. These cycles are not only of musical but also historical interest because they exemplify most of the dif. ferent means whereby fifteenth-century composers established relation ships among the various items constituting the Ordinary of the Mass. In each, of course, is found the simplest, most obvious and unsophisticated method: the use of the same style and finalis in all movements. Additional means, however, are used in most of them and with but a single exception all of these methods are variants of but one: the use of some kind of common musical material in some stmilar manner or other in each of the movements. The exception, used with great frequency and usually in conjunction with same kind of common musical material, consists in casting corresponding subdivisions of the various items of a cycle in the same mensuration.

The items of each cycle represented in Strahov (including possibly related motets) are listed in Table 5, the sources of concordances to the cycles as a whole are given, the principal unifying devices are indicated and modern editions and transcriptions are cited. The cycles are listed not according to their appearance in Strahov but rather according to their unifying devices. DTOe refers to the series Denkmaler der Tonkunst in Österreich; MPL stands for Monumenta polyphoniae liturgicae Sanctae Ecclesiae Romanae, edited by I. Feininger; Gülke denotes the Opera Omnia of Johannes Pullois, edited by Peter Gülke and pub-

| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composer and Title | Items in Strahov | Concordances | Unifying Devices | Modern Edition |
| 1. Johannes Touront Sine nomine (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G-C-S-A } \\ & 75-78,81 \end{aligned}$ | Tr89; G-C-S-A | Style and finalis | Gottlieb |
| 2. Standly <br> Sine nomine (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{l}}, 2 \\ & 56,144,147-149 \end{aligned}$ |  | Mensurations | Appendix I |
| 3. Johannes Vincenet De madame (a 3) |  | Tr91; K-G-C-S | Mensurations, motto | Davis |
| 4. Anonymous Sine nomine (a 3 ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tr89; K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { Tr90; } \mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | Mensurations, motto | Gottlieb |
| 5. Johannes Pullois Sine nomine (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-C-S-A }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { 133-135, } 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 87 ; \text { K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { Tr90; G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { Tr93; G-C-S-A } \end{aligned}$ | Mensurations, motto | Opera Omnia |
| 6. Anonymous F-fa-ut (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-C } \\ & 97-98 \end{aligned}$ | Tr89; K-G-C-S-A | Mensurations, mottos initally and internally | GottIieb |
| 7. Anonymous <br> Meditatio cordis (a 4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-C-S-A } \\ & 87-90 \end{aligned}$ | Tr 88 ; M | Mensurations, tenor (modified) | Appendix I |
| 8. Anonymous Sine nomine (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & G-C \\ & 79-80 \end{aligned}$ |  | Mensurations, tenor (strict) | Appendix I |
| 9. Anonymous Sine nomine (a 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G-C-S-A }{ }^{I} \\ & \text { 108, 104, 105, 107, } 109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tr89; K-G } \\ & \text { Spec; C } \end{aligned}$ | Inconsistent use of mensurations; tenor at beginning and end of sections only, freely modified | Gottlieb |

8. Anonymous

Sine nomine (a 3)
9. Anonymous

Sine nomine (a 3)
10. Anonymous

Sig sald und heil (a 3)
11. Philipi

Hilf und gib rat (a 4)
12. Anonymous

O rosa bella (a 4)
13. Anonymous

Rozel im gorten (a 4)
14. Johannes Cornago

Ayo visto 10 mappa mundi
15. Anonymous

Veterem hominem (a 4)
16. Anonymous

Veni creator Spiritus (a 3)

| $79-80$ |  | (strict) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { 108, 104, 105, 107, } 109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 89 ; K-G \\ & \text { Spec; } C \end{aligned}$ | Inconsistent use of mensurations; tenor at beginning and end of sections only, freely modified | Gottlieb |
| $S$ 84 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 91 ; K-G-C-S-A \\ & \quad(24) \end{aligned}$ | Inconsistent use of mensurations; migrating melodic material used imitatively |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-C-S-A-M } \\ & 99-103 \end{aligned}$ | Tr89; M | Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified) | Appendix I |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G-C-S-A-M } \\ & 136-141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tr89; K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { Mod456; K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { Mi2269; M (dif. } \\ & \text { text) } \end{aligned}$ | Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified) | DTOe, 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & G-G-S-A^{3} \\ & 110,112,114,116 \end{aligned}$ | Tr 88 ; G-C-S-A | Mensurations, motto (modified) and tenor (greatly varied) | Appendix I |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G } \\ & 94-95 \end{aligned}$ | Tr88; K-G-C-S-A | Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-C-S-A } \\ & 128,130-132 \end{aligned}$ | Tr88; K-G-C-S-A | Mensurations, motto and tenor (strict) | MPL I-II |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-G-C-S-A } \\ & \text { 119, 120, 122-124 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mensurations, common polyphonic material; parody Mass (?) | Appendix I |

(strict)

Inconsistent use of of sections only, freely modified

Inconsistent use of mensurations; migrating melodic material used imitatively

Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified)

Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified)

Mensurations, motto (modified) and tenor (greatly varied)

Mensurations, motto and tenor (modified)

Mensurations, motto and tenor (strict)

Mensurations, common polyphonic material parody Mass (?)

Iished by the American Institute of Musicology, 1967; Gottlieb indicates Volume II of the unpublished doctoral dissertation of Louis Gottlieb, "The Cyclic Masses of Trent Codex 89," submitted to the University of Califomia (Berkeley) in 1958; ${ }^{26}$ and Davis signifies the dissertation of Bertran Earl Davis, "The Works of Johannes Vincenet," University of North Carolina, 1960.

The cycle by Johannes Touront is the least unified of all. It has neither tenor nor motto nor is there any correspondence between the sequences of mensurations used for the various subdivisions of any two items. One might even question whether all of the movements were written at the same time because, even though all end with the same finails, the Kyrie begins in an entirely different tonal area than do the other items. The absence of the Kyrie fram Trent Ms. 89, which contains the other items of the cycle, also suggests that it might have been composed independently of them. Nevertheless, the work is of considerable musical value and exemplifies one of the compositional approaches used for a cycle when a composer wished neither to base the various items on corresponding plainsongs nor to relate them through the use of some common material. 27

Two other Masses known to be by Touront are preserved in Trent Ms. 89, Nos. 531-535 (fols. 47r-56v), and Nos. 682-686 (fols. 258r273r), and it is interesting to note that in the first of these there also is no great degree of relationship among the various items whereas the second makes use of both a tenor and a motto.

The items constituting the Mass by Standly have only a slightly greater degree of relationship than do those of the Touront cycle.

This results primarily from the use of the same sequence of mensurations in most of the items. The Kyrie, Gleria and Credo are all cast in a tripartite form and in each the mensurations used are $0, \notin$ and 0 . Furthermore, the first section of each of these three items begins and ends with a harmonic construct on $g$ and the second opens with one built on $\underset{\sim}{c}$ and closes with one on $g$. The third section of the Kyrie and Gloria also open and close with chords on $g$ but the Credo deviates at this point and opens with one on $\underline{c}$ but concludes, of course, with one on g .

The Sanctus is divided into five sections, the first, third and fifth of which, Sanctus, Hosanna I and Hosanne II, are the principal ones and these are related to the three sections of the Kyrie, Gloria and Credo through the use of the same mensurations: $0, \$$ and 0 . The second and fourth sections, Pleni sunt and Benedictus, are a 2 and have the same mensurations as the sections that precede them. The first, fourth and fifth sections both begin and end with chords on $g$ whereas the second and third, which alse close on $g$, open, respective$I y$, with constructs on $\underline{d}$ and $\underline{I}$. The Agnus Dei may be incomplete since only two invecations are given, the second of which is a 2. Beth are notated in 0 and begin and end with chords built on g.

Despite the assertion contained in the article on Standly in Die Musik in Geschichte und Gegenwart, the Mass is not based on a tenor; 28 this is immediately evident from an examination of the transcription of the cycle given in Appendix I, Nos. 21-25. Neither has it a motto although the Gloria and Credo do have nearly identical beginnings. In this lack of a tenor and motto it is similar to the only other Mass
known to be by Stanaly. Preserved in Trent Ms. 88, Nos. 436-440 (fols. 314v-322v), it also uses neither of these devices; all of its items are cast in a bipartite form, with the first section of each always notated in 0 , the second in $C$. It is of interest primarily because in each movement the tenor and contratenor are always derived from a single notated part.

The other cycles in Strahov also make use, at least to some extent, of a sequence of mensurations in their items, in addition to which they employ other methods for strengthening the relationships among them. 29 Four of them use the same or similar musical material, a musical motto, at the beginning of each item. One of these is the three-part cycle by Johannes Vincenet, the Agnus Dgi of which is not preserved. The Kyrie is tripartite and notated in C, $\phi$ and 0 whereas the Gloria and Credo are bipartite and written in C and $\boldsymbol{A}$. The Sanctus is in three principal sections in C, O and O, between each successive two of which there is a section a 2, the first in $C$, the second in $\$$. Thus, the movements are not too closely related by the mensurations of the various sections. All of the items open, however, with the same musical phrase, the essential elements of which are the melodic figures in the superius and the tenor. The figure in the superius is inmediately recognizable, as is the one in the tenor because of the delayed entry of the superius, and consequently it is evident to the listener that the various items of the Ordinary are, indeed, intended to constitute a unified cycle.

Material derived from an opening motto may also serve to unify the various sections of an item and here the melodic figure in the superius is used for this. In each of the items this figure, sometimes
transposed down a fourth or up a fifth, appears in a modified form either at the beginning of the tenor or superius part of a second or third section and thus helps to unify the various sections within each item.

An opening motto may also serve a symbolic purpose if it is derived from a pre-existent work by calling to mind the text of that work. The motto here probably functioned in this manner for many fif-teenth-century worshippers. This Mass has always been considered to be freely composed and hence cited as a Missa Sine nomine. Even Davis, whose doctoral dissertation dealt with the complete works of Vincenet, says nothing more about this particular Mass than "insofar as is known, this is a through-composed Mass. Unity is provided through the use of head-motifs and the sections are united also with respect to mode. 1130 It is probable, however, that instead of being a Missa sine nomine it is a Missa De madame because the opening motives of the superius and tenor are clearly borrowed from the same parts at the beginning of Pullois' chanson De mademe au bien corps gentil. 31 The motives in both the superius and tenor of the Mass are, of course, commonly encountered in the music of the mid-fifteenth century and had but one or the other been used alone it would have been impossible to determine the specific source from which the borrowing might have taken place. The use of the two together, however, clearly points to the Pullois chanson as the source for the motto.

In all probability the Mass was intended for use on Marian feasts since the chanson on which it is based would have been used as a source for the motto not for musical reasons but because the text could be inm
terpreted as applying to Mary. Indeed, the first line of it says much the same thing as does the opening of one of the favorite antiphons of the period: "Tota pulchra es, amica mea." Thus, the motto would also have served to call to mind the text and thus the worshipper would have been constently reminded throughout the service of her in whose honor the Mass was being celebrated.

The anonymous threempart Missa Sine nomine, No. 4 of Table 5, of which only the Sanctus is in Strahov, is another of the Masses represented there that make use of a motto in addition to a sequence of mensurations. The first four movements are essentially tripartite and each uses the same sequence of mensurations: $0, \phi$ and $\phi$. a brief two-part section, however, occurs in both the Gloria and Credo between the second and third sections and in both of these items it is in the mensuration of the preceding principal section. The Sanctus, however, contains two brief twompart sections, one between the first and second and another between the second and third principal sections. Again, each of these two-part sections is in the same mensuration as the section it follows. In the Agnus Dei the first invocation is in 0 , the second, which is a 2, is in the same, and the third is in $\phi$.

All of the movements open with a motto in the superius that is varied slightly at each of its appearances; it is also used at the beginning of the tenor of both the Gloria and Credo but here it occurs with note-values much longer than those assigned to it in the superius. It is impossible to determine if the motto was borrowed or not because it is a figure only too common in the fifteenth century.

Still another Mass that adds a motto to mensurations is the Pullois Missa Sine nomine. Here the first three movements are tripartite, with mensurations being $0, \$$ and 0 ; the Gloria, however, has a short additional section a 2 between the first and second principal sections and, as usual, it is notated in the same mensuration as the preceding section. The three principal Sanctus sections are in 0 , $\varnothing$ and $\mathscr{A}_{;}$it deviates from the pattern in the first three movements because Hosanna I, in $\mathcal{A}$, is also used for Hosanna II. Only two invocations are given for the Agnus Dei, the first notated in 0 and the second, a 2, in $\ell$. If, however, the first also serves as the third, the sequence of mensurations again is $0, \phi$ and 0 .

Each of the movements opens with a motto consisting of material in all three voices. This motto appears in a somewhat different guise in each movement but retains sufficient identity to allow it to be easily recognized by a listener. It has the appearance of being borrowed from a chanson; if so, the source has yet to be identified.

The fourth Mass that uses a motto is the anonymous Missa ffaut, the title of which is derived from the first two notes of the tenor: f-c. It is the most tightly structured of the motto Masses in Strahov and perhaps of the whole period. Each movement consists of three principal sections notated in $0, \notin$ ( $\subset$ in the contratenor) and 0 and, in contrast to most motto Nasses, the second and third of these sections, as well as the first, have their own distinctive opening material in all three voices. Furthermore, the mottos for the three basic sections of each movement are related to each other by the use of but one melodic figure in the tenor, f-c-f; consequently, all sections in all
movements open with the same basic hamonic constructs as well as the same melodic movement in the tenor.

Still a further relationship is established by assigning, except in the Sanctus, a common motto to the two-part sections that occur between the first and second principal sections of a11 the movements but the first. 32 These sections, all of which begin imitatively except for that of the Gloria, use the mensuration of the preceding principal section whereas their motto resembles, to some extent at least, that of the following section. Only the two-part Benedictus section of the Sanctus is not related to some other section by a motive, probably for the reason that none of the other movements contains a two-part section between their second and third principal sections. It is, however, related to the section that imediately precedes it in the Sanctus by having the same mensuration.

The mottos for this cycle probably were freely composed. This is suggested not only by the designation that accompanies the Gloria and Credo in Strahov but also by the musical material itself. It hardiy seems possible that such a great variety of motival material could have been borrowed fram a preeexistent chanson or similar work and still be manipulated as it is in the Mass. Thus, the Mass probably was freely composed and not necessarily intended for use on any specific feast.

Three other cycles in Strahov use, in addition to mensuration, a common tenor in all movements as a unifying device instead of a motto. One of these is the four-part cycle that derives its tenor from the Introit of the Mass for the Friday after the Fourth Sunday of Lent,

Meditatio cordis. 33 Because this cycle is preserved only in Strahov a transcription of it in its entirety-mit has no Kyrie--is included in Appendix I, Nos. 26-29.

The Gloria, Credo and Sanctus are cast in a bipartite form, the first section of which is always notated in 0 , the second in $\mathcal{f}$. The plainsong appears in full in the tenor in each section of each of these itams and at its every appearance it is assigned different durational values and receives a minimal amount of different melodic coloration. Certain basic principals of treatment remain constant, however. In each of the three items the first section always opens with a much-delayed entry of the tenor (and the contratenor bassus) and the cantus flimus is interrupted twice, always at the same places, by rests of considerable duration. A similar pattern is found in the second section except there the cantus firmus is always interrupted three times.

A slightly different scheme is used in the Agnus Dei. The tenor of the first invocation, notated in 0 , consists of the first two portions of the cantus firmus that result from the insertion of the rests according to the pattern followed in the first sections of the other three movements. None of the cantus firmus found in the second invocation, which is a 2 and also notated in 0 , whereas the third portion of it is used for the final invocation, notated in $\$$. Thus, the entire Agnus Dei is based on but a single statement of the cantus firmus, two portions of which appear notated in 0 , one in $\ell$.

The text of the tenor of this Mass suggests that it was intended for Marian feasts. The full text, Meditatio cordis mei in conspectu tuo semper: Domine adjutor meus; et redemptor meus," is one that the
fifteenth-century mind could easily have applied to the Virgin. This, coupled with the fact that there are two scriptural passages that positively support such an application, leaves little room for doubt. The scriptural passages in question are both from the second chapter of the Gospel of St. Iuke. The first describes Mary's reaction to the visit by the shepherds at Bethlehem: Maria autem conservabat omnia verba haec conferens in corde suo," (verse 19); the second her response to the events that transpired during the visit to Jerusalem when Jesus was twelve years of age: "Et mater ejus conservabat cania verba haec in corde suo," (verse 51).

The conjecture is strengthened further by the presence of a large bipartite motet, Gaude Maria, in Trent Ms. 88, Nos. 416-417 (fols. 284v-286r). The text of this motet is based on that of a Responsory formerly used at Matins for the feast of the Assumption and that of the Tract of the Marian votive Mass for Lent ${ }^{34}$ and it has as the tenor of each of its two parts that portion of Meditatio cordis that serves as the tenor of the first invocation of the Agnus Dei of the Meditatio cordis cycle. In view of the fact that the tenor receives exactly the same kind of treatment in the motet as in the Mass and because both Mass and Motet are written in the same style and because some Mass cycles of this period are known to have included a motet (cf. infra), it is not impossible that this motet from Trent Ms. 88 belongs with the cycle in Strahov. Thus, if the motet does belong with the Mass Ordinary, the use of a Marian text for the motet would indeed support the proposal that the Meditatio cordis cycle was intended for use on certain Marian feasts and votive Masses.

There are some indications that this cycle might be the work of an English composer. The fact that the tenor is taken from the Gregorian repertory is one and the strict bipartite form of all its items except the Agnus Dei is another. Still another is the peculiar manner of notating certain cadential figures typical of a superius part. The normal manner of notating the figure in question is o.dd. (a) whereas in a great number of pieces known to be by Englishmen it is notated edteb(a). This figure, notated in the latter form, appears twice in the superius of the Credo; it also appears once in the Sanctus, however, notated in the usual form.

The absence of a Kyrie setting also has often been assumed to be a characteristic feature of Masses by insular composers but it is becoming increasingly evident that this may not necessarily be the case. Rather, it seems that it should be considered as indicative more of the time at which a cycle was written than of the composer's nationality. When the cyclic Mass was in its infancy the Kyrie tropes still enjoyed great popularity and consequently this item was viewed in much the manner as were the Mass Propers and the texts of the tropes were as closely associated with their melodies as were the Mass Proper texts with theirs. And, just as no fifteenth-century composers would have set the text of a Mass Proper without incorporating into the setting the melody traditionally associated with it, so too, it seems, they were almost as reluctant to disassociate the Kyrie tropes from their melodies. Thus, they often may have omitted the Kyrie from early cycles because of the impossibility of incorporating into the setting the melody traditionally associated with the trope. The argument that
the Kyries with its trope text, was omitted from early cycles because its presence would limit the occasions on which the Mass might be used is, of course, not a valid one. The vast majority of the cycles, both tenor and motto, that were composed before about 1475 were, in regard to their liturgical usage, as restricted by the symbolic implications of the pre-existent material on which they were based as they would have been by a trope text.

Around the middle of the century, however, the popularity of the Kyrie tropes began to wane rapidly under the ever-increasing influence of humanistic ideals. Thus, the composer gradually became free to include the Kyrie in the cyclic Mass; because he no longer had to include the trope text he no longer felt obliged to incorporate a premexistent chant in his setting. Consequently, the absence of a Kyrie primarily should be considered as indicative of the time at which a cycle was written and also, perhaps, of place because humanistic ideals first manifested themselves at different times in different places.

The fact that most of the cycles lacking Kyries are probably by Englishmen is explained by the fact that, during the first two or three decades of its history that transpired before the advent of strong humanistic impulses, it was primarily English composers who cultivated the cyclic Mass. Thus, certain cyclic Masses, particularIy those based on tenors, probably lack a Kyrie not necessar ly because they were composed by Englishmen but because of the time at which they were written. The lack of a Kyrie is not so much a characteristic of a school of composers as it is of a period.

Two more Ordinary items in Strahov are related by a common tenor as well as mensurations. These are the Gloria and Credo, Nos. 79 and 80; it is uncertain whether they once belonged to a full cycle or were never more than a pair because no other moverents based on the same tenor have been found. Both are preserved only in Strahov and are included among the transcriptions as Nos. 30 and 31.

Each of the items is cast in a bipartite form, with the first section of both notated in 0 , the second in $\&$. The tenor on which they are based has not yet been identified; all that can be said is that the melodic contour of the beginning does not suggest a plainsong. It appears but once in each movement in an almost identical form, with the only discrepancies between the two statements resulting from the different durational values assigned to its initial note and to the lengthy periods of rest that interrupt it once in each section. The tenor also is the lowest-sounding voice throughout most of each movement although the contratenor descends below it occasionally.

These various tenor features as well as the general harmonic and melodic style suggest that this pair might be by an English composer or written under the influence of a member of that school. One is reminded, in particular, of the Masses of Frye, especially his Missa Nobilis et pulchra, the tenor of which is also the lowest-sounding voice most of the time. It is, of course, impossible to determine the feasts for which this pair was intended since the source of the tenor is unknown.

The remaining cycle that uses a tenor as the principal unifying device is the Missa Sine nomine listed as No. 9 in the Table above. The treatment of the tenor material in this Mass as well as the appearance of a chant melody, MEL 18 (IV), in the superius of the Kyrie sets this cycle somewhat apart from the others in Strahov. It does, however, have a number of features in comon with the Missa sig sal.i. und heil. No. 10 of Table 5, and both may be by German composers. In any case, both stand outside of the English and Franco-flemish traditions in several respects.

Sequence of mensurations plays but a small role in this Missa sine nomine. The Kyrie is tripartite and the İirst section is notated in 0 , the second (2 2) in $\phi$ and the final one in C. The Gloria and Credo, both tripartite also, have identical mensurations in their three sections: $0, \phi$ and 0 . The sections of the Sanctus, a 3 throughout, are Sanctus: 0, Hosanna I: 02, Benedictus: $\mathcal{H}$, and Hosanna II (=I): 02. only a single invocation of the Agnus is given and it is notated entirely in 0. From the manner in which the tenor appears there, however, it does not seem to be incomplete; rather, the one invocation probably was intended to be repeated twice.

The basic tenor material consists of but two phrases, the first of which is used as the tenor of Kyrie I, the second as that of Kymie II (the tenor is silent during the Christe section). That is to say, one phrase appears at the beginning and the other at the end of the item. A similar disposition of the given material is followed in all the other items. Thus, the tenors of the first section of the Gloria, Credo and Sanctus all begin with a statement of the same basic melodic
material as was used in Kyrie I, after which each continues freely for the remainder of the section. The material used in the tenor of the second section of each of these three movements is freely invented in a different manner in each of them and it appears imitatively in both of the other voices in the Gloria and Credo. Each of these three movements then concludes with a third section, the tenor of which consists entirely of a statement of the second phrase of the given material. The tenor of the single Agnus Dei invocation uses both phrases of the pre-existent material. Here, however, the appearance of the first phrase is preceded by free material in the tenor rather than by a series of rests. (Essentially the same thing occurs in the Sanctus although there the material that precedes the initial phrase of the cantus firmus is notated as a contratenor secundus. Thus, the Sanctus and Agnus Dei both lack the initial two-part section that is present in the other three movements.)

Because the tenor material appears only at the very beginning and end of each item and always with different duraional values and melodic colorations its unifying role is considerably less than that which a tenor normally exercises. Other devices, however, compensate for this to some degree. Because the tenor line has essentially the same kind of melodic contour and movement as normally would be used in a superius part, motives derived from it can easily be used in the two other voices, as indeed they are, and thus the various sections within a movement are unified by the motival and imitative use of material derived from the cantus firmus. The various movements are also related to each other in the same manner and the result is, to modern ears at
least, a cycle in which the relationships among the various movements are more obvious than are those of a cycle in which the tenor appears in exactly the same form in all movements and the upper voices of one movement are melodically unrelated to those of another.

None of the manuscripts preserving movements from the cycle provide any clue as to the source of the cantus firmus. There is a slight possibility that it might be derived from a German monophonic song, the beginning of which appears as the last element in the tenor of two of the 0 rosa bella quodlibets found in the Glogau song book, Nos. 118 and 119 of the complete inventory. 35 The second of these uses a larger portion of the song from which it is derived than does the other and has the text "Ich far dahin and das muss sein." This text and melody are preserved in toto in the Locham song book on page 9.36

Unfortunately, however, the melody is notated in an extremely careless manner and differs enough from the one found in the Glogau quodlibets to suggest that the two sources represent variant forms of it; perhaps the Glogau phrases were borrowed from a polyphonic setting. Thus, on the basis of the evidence presently available it is impossible either to affirm or deny that the tenor of this Missa Sine nomine is based on "Ich far dahin."

The Missa Sig sild und heil, of which orly the Sanctus (without the contratenor primus found in Trent Ms. 91) appears in Strahov, is closely related to the preceding cycle both in style and in its imitative use intermally of motival elements derived from the pre-existent material as unifying devices. It cannot be described as a tenor Mass, however, because the pre-existent material, derived from a German song
preserved in the Schedel song book, 122v-123r, does not appear as a tenor in all the movements. Neither does it make much use of sequence of mensurations as a unifying device; only the Gloria and Credo have the same ones for their three principal sections: $0, \&$ and 0 . Thus, as mentioned above, it stands outside of the English and Francomflemish tradition of cyclic Mass composition in the same way as does the preceding one. Furthermore, it is interesting to note that the opening phrase of the tenor of the song from which it derives its prem existent material, Sig seld und heil, appears in one of the same quod11.bets in Glogau, No. 119, as does the opening phrase of Ich far dahin, and the opening phrase of Hilf und gib rat, still another German song that serves as a tenor for a cycle in Strahov.

The Mass based on Hilf und gib rat is one of five cycles in Strahov that use both a tenor and a motto in addition to a sequence of mensurations and is the only one of the five that is unique to this manuscript. It is attributed to Philipi and lacks a Kyrie but has appended to its Ordinary items a motet, Ogloriosa mater, that uses the same tenor and motto in the same way as they. The motet is not unique, however, since it is preserved also in Trent ins. 89 with the texts Salve regina and Gaude rosa speciosa but without the contratenor concordans cum amibus that is found in Strahov as an optional fifth part.

All of the items, including the motet, are bipartite, with the first section of each notated in 0 , the second in C2. A full statement of the cantus finmus, always with different durational values and melodic colorations, is allotted to each section of each movement. The motto that opens each movement consists primarily of the superius material
since the contratenor primus with which it forms the opening duo varies from movement to movement after the first few notes. The superius material is derived from the beginning of the tenor and this, in turn, seems to be derived from the German song mentioned above, Hilf und gib rat, only the incipit of which seems to have been preserved as the result of its use in the tenor of the Glogau quodlibet. The four Ordinary items and the motet are included among the transcriptions as Nos. 32-36.

Another of the Masses in this group also has a motet appended to it. This is the four-part Missa 0 rosa bella and the motet is 0 pater aeterne. The Ordinary items are well-known since they were published at the very beginning of this century in volume 22 of the Denkmaler der Tonkunst in Osterreich. ${ }^{37}$ This edition was a particularly interesting one because its format made inmediately evident the striking differences between the two versions of the Mass that occurred in the sources then known to preserve it, Modena Ms. 456 and Irent Ms. 89. The version preserved in the Modena manuscript seems to be essentially the original one whereas that found in Trent Ms. 89 is probably the result of an effort, by no means unsuccessful, to modernize the cycle by reworking portions of the contratenors so that one could be rowritten as a true contratenor bassus to as great an artent as possible. The only serious formal deviation from the Modena version that is found in the Trent manuscript occurs in the Agnus Dei. The Modena version provides a separate setting for all three invocations of this item, the tenor of the third being that portion of the cantus firmus that was not used in the first invocation. Thus, in the Modena

Agnus Dei the entire cantus firmus is utilized, just as it is in all of the other items. The version in Trent, however, has no separate setting for the thrd invocation; rather, it calls for a repeat of the first one and consequently the pattern established in the other four movements in regard to cantus firmus disposition is violated. Another significant difference between the two occurs in the second invocation; in the Trent version it is a 2 throughout whereas in the Modena setting it is a 3 to a great extent.

The first four items of the Strahov version of this cycle are in basic agreement with the Modena version although in a few instances certain specific melodic configurations, usually in the superius, are those of the Trent version. The Agnus Dei in Strahov, however, amits the third invocation and the second is a 2 throughout; thus, it basicalIy agrees with the Trent version. Interestingly, the Strahov Agnus Dei assigns the second of the two parts in the Agnus secundum to the contratenor primus rather then to the tenor, as Trent does, and consequentiy, is probably a more authentic version of the modernized form of this item than is the one in Trent Ms. 89.

From what has been said above it is evident that the relationships between the two versions and the three sources are complex ones that will be clarified in all their details only by a considerable amount of painstaking comparative study. Nevertheless, the basic relationships are obvious: the Modena version is the earlier, essentially original one, the Trent version is a later modernization and the one in Strahov is a mixture of the two and includes a motet which, although preserved in another source, Milan Ms. 2269 (Iibrone I), in but a sin-
gle version analogous to that of the Modena form of the Ordinary items.
A sequence of mensurations does not play too great a role in unifying this cycle. This Kyrie is tripartite and the mensurations used are $0, \mathcal{L}$ and 0 . The Gloria and Credo, however, are bipartite and the sections are in 0 and $\not \subset$. The Sanctus also consists of two principal sections, Sanctus and Hosanna I, in 0 and $\phi$, each of which is followed by a section in the same mensuration and in which the tenor is silent. Consequently, this movement is somewhat exceptional in that the concluding section, consisting of both the Benedictus and Hosama II, is a 3. In the original form of the Agnus Dei, the first invocation uses that part of the cantus firmus that is found in the first section of each of the preceding three items and in the Kyrie primum and Christe sections of the Kyrie whereas the third invocation uses the remaining portion (the tenor is silent in the Agnus secundum). The motet consists of but a single section notated in 0 .

The tenor and motto are, of course, derived from the tenor and superius of Dunstable's orosa bella, an obvious indication, confirmed by the presence of the Rex Virginum trope in the Kyrie, that the cycle was intended for Marian feasts. The tenor appears once in its entirety in each movement (except the Agnus Dei of the modernized version), always with different durational values and a slight amount of varying melodic coloration. The motto occurs at the beginning of aach movement but no use is made of any common material at the beginning of the second sections axcept in the Agnus secundum of the Modena version, which uses the opening motto in the superius and the first few notes of the beginning of the tenor in the contratenor primus.

Perhaps the most interesting aspect of this cycle, as it appears in Strahov, and of the preceding one, Hilf und gib rat, is the presence of the motets based on the same material. They are not the only examples of cycles with appended motets, however, since another occurs in toto in Trent Ms. 88, Nos. 490-496. This is W. de Rouge's Missa Esclave puist yl, the tenor and motto of which are derived from Binchois' chanson of the same name. F hermore, there are several other such cycles, the Ordinary items of mich are found in one source, the motet in another. One of these consists of the Missa Meditatio cordis discussed above and the related motet Gaude maria virgo, Trent Ms. 88, Nos. 416-417. Another is the Missa Soyez aprantiz (=So ys emprentid), the Ordinary items of which appear in Trent Ms. 90 and San Pietro Ms. B. 80 whereas the motet that uses the same premaxistent material in the same manner, Stella caeli extirpavit, occurs in Trent Ms. 88, No. 204. Still another example is the Missa Summe trinitati of Walter Frye, found in Brussels Ms. 5557, and the motet Salve virgo mater pia, Trent Ms. 88, No. 240.

The significant question in regard to these cycles is, of course, the nature of the relationship between the ordinary items and the appended motet. Three possibilities present themselves: 1) the Ordinary items are based on the motet; 2) the motet is based on the Ordinary items; 3) both the Ordinary itens and motet were written simule taneously and are constituent members of what may be called a Mass-motet cycle. Manfred Bukofzer, in his article on Walter Frye in Die Musik in Geschichte und Gegenwart, firnt called attention to the relationship between the Missa Summe trinitati cycle and the motet Salve

Virgo mater pia and, after assigning the motet to Frye because its tenor and motto were identical with those of the liass cycle, asserted that the motet undoubtedly served as a model for the Ordinary items and that consequently this was one of the earliest examples of the parody Mass. ${ }^{38}$ Sylvia Kenney, on the other hand, has suggested that the situation is just the reverse and that the motet is based on the Mass. 39 The present writer, however, favors the third hypothesis, namely that these Ordinary items and motets form Massmotet cycles that are the result of an experiment that took place primarily in the south-Germanic areas from about 1440-1455.40

What suggested the addition of a motet to the cycle of Ordinary items was, of course, the same thing that prompted the musical unification of the Ordinary items among themselves: emerging Renaissance esthetic concepts. Efforts at unifying both Ordinary and Proper items had taken place earlier and been abandoned, probably because of the close relationship that existed between the texts of the Proper items and their chant melodies. (It was suggested above that this was also the reason for the omission of the troped Kyrie fram many early cycles). Such early experiments include Dufay's Missa Sancti Jacobi, Liebertus' Marian cycle in Trent Ms. 92 and the series of loosely related Ordinary cycles, each preceded by an Introit, that occurs in the same manuscript. There existed, however, no relationships between texts and tradition chant melodies in the case of the optional motet customarily used at the end of liass and thus there was nothing to prevent the assimilation of these pieces into the cycle of Ordinary items. Consequently, for a brief period of time a
number of composers seem to have attempted this further unification of the various musical items of the Mass.

The third of the liasses that utilizes both a tenor and a motto, albeit a very free one, is the Missa Rozel im gort(e)n, which is prem served also in Trent Ms. 88 and appears in both sources without a Kyrie. Sequence of mensurations plays a substantial role in this cycle. The first three of the four items each have five sections, the second and fourth of which are for a reduced number of voices in all instances but one, the Pleni section of the Sanctus, and the mensurations for these are $0,0, \notin \not \subset$ and 0 . The Agnus Dei, however, is constructed somewhat differently. The first invocation is in 0 ; the second, for a reduced number of voices is in 0 and $\phi$; and the third is in $\ell$.

The source of the tenor is unknown and the only clue to its identity is provided by an inscription in the tenor part of the Gloria in the Strahov copy. This reads "Officium Rozel im gort(e)n andersch franczosch. $1^{41}$ This probably means that the music from which the tenor is derived usually was sung with a German text beginning "Rozel im gort(e)n" but that this text was only a translation of or substitute for an original French text. Because of the manner in which the material is used in the Mass it is impossible to determine if the original was monophonic or polyphonic. Indeed, it is even impossible to reconstruct with any real assurance the basic form that the melody had because in each movement the composer not only freely repeated phrases but also modified them to such a great extent by the use of melodic colorations and different durational values that one cannot always be
certain as to which phrase of the original is actually present. It is evident, however, that the same portions of the original melody are, for the most part, used in corresponding sections of various movements.

The extremely free treatment accorded the premexistent material in this cycle and the use of a melodic style similar to that of a superius part in both the tenor and contratenor secundus relate it to those other cycles in Strahov that draw their premexistent material from German sources and perhaps were written by German composers. It does, however, have one feature that sots it apart from all the other cycles in Strahov. This is the use of the tenor in each section of each movement except in the latter half of the Agnus secundum. In all other cycles the tenor normally is silent in sections calling for a reduced number of voices. In this cycle, however, the superius is silent and thus it is the tenor and contratenor primus instead of the customary combination of discantus and contratenor primus for which the sections a 2 are written and, in these sections as well as in the others, the tenor makes use of the pre-existent material.

Johannes Cornago's Missa Ayo visto lo mappa mundi is another of the cycles represented in Strahov that have both a tenor and motto. A sequence of mensurations is also used to an extent. The Kyrie, a 3 throughout, is tripartite and uses the meinsrations $0, \&$ and 0 . It is based on but a single statement of the cantus firmus, which is axtended to the required length by the use of melodic colorations. The Gloria and Credo are both bipartite, and the mensurations used are 0 and $d_{\text {; }}$ a single statement of the cantus firmus occurs in the first sec-
tion of each and two in the second, always with different melodic colorations and durational values. In the Sanctus the first section, which extends up to Hosanna I, is also in 0 and here, too, a full statement of the cantus firmus is given. Hosanna I is in $\&$ and uses only the first half of the premexistent material. The tenor is silent in the Benedictus, also in X, but it rementers at Hosanna II, still in $k$, with the second half of the cantus firmus. In the Agnus Dei the first invocation is in 0 and its tenor contains a full statement of the cantus firmus. The second invocation is a duo in and makes no use of the premexistent material but a full statement of it again occurs in the final invocation, also notated in $\not \subset$.

The motto used at the beginning of each movement seems to be freely invented. The tenor, however, is derived from a popular song, the Spanish text of which is found beneath the Latin text of the tenor of the Gloria and Credo in the Trent Ms. 88 copy: "Ayo visto lo mappa mundi / et la carta de navegare / che le me pare la piu bella / la piu bella de questo mundo." A superscription at the beginning of the Mass in Trent Ms. 88 also informs one of the liturgical use for which it was intended: "Apud Neapolim est la missa de nostra domina sanćtá maria."

Several writers have speculated about which fifteenth-century map may have inspired the popular song. Pirro has suggested one painted by Girolamo Bellavista for Pope Pius II in 1462-63, ${ }^{42}$ and Reese mentions that in 1480 Ferrante II, King of Naples, supplied linen for a map to the painter Giovanni di Giusto. 43 Both of these maps, however, seem to be of too recent an origin to have served as the inspiration
for a song that could have achieved sufficient popularity to warrant its use as the tenor for this cycle, which must date fram no later than about 1465-1470. A more likely candidate is the famous map painted by Jan van Eyck, about 1430, for Philip the Good. This map was well-known in the fifteenth century, and, because of its excellence, it was explicitly mentioned by Bartolomeo Fazio in his De Viris Illustribus when he discussed the work of van Eyck. This book was written at the court of Alfonso $V$ of Aragon, King of Naples, between 1453 and 1457, the very time that Cornago was employed there as a composer. The passage in question reads "His (Jan van Eyck's) is a representation of the world in circular form, which he painted for Philip Lord of Belgians, a work which is thought to be surpassed for perfection by none executed in our time. Here you can distinguish not only individual localities and the lie of the land areas but also, by measurement, the distance between localities. 144 Thus, in view of the fact that Jan van Eyck's works excited admiration throughout Europe-Fazio also informs us that Alfonso himself had in his private quarters a triptych by van Eyck consisting of a central panel depicting the Annunciation and two side panels picturing St. John the Baptist and St. Jeromemand that his map in particular was a celebrated work, one may with a certain confidence propose his painting as the one most likely to have prompted the composition of the song on which Cornago based his Mass in honor of Mary.

The fifth and last of the cycles using both a tenor and a motto is another well-known Mass, Veterem hominem, the Kyrie of which is lacking in Strahov and is separated from the other movements in Trent

Ms. 88. The first three movements are cast in a bipartite form, the first section of which is in 0 , the second in $\notin$. The cantus firmus, an antiphon from the Office for the Octave of Epiphany, occurs in its entirety in each section, always with one set of durational values in the first section of each movement and another in the second. 45 The other two movements depart only slightly from this bipartite scheme. The first section of the Sanctus, Sanctus....osloria tua, is in 0 and uses a complete statement of the pre-existent material with the same durational values as are used with it in the first section of the preceding movements. Hosanna I, a 3, is also in 0 and has as its tenor approximately the last third of the cantus firmus, again with the note values it had in the first section. The remainder of the Sanctus, Benedictus and Hosanna II, is written as one section in and uses another full statement of the cantus firmus, which here has the same durational values it had in all preceding second sections. A bipartite structure is also evident in the Agnus Dei since the first two invocations are both in 0 and share one full statement of the cantus firmus and the third invocation is in $\&$ and has a full statement. Again, the two statements of the pre-existent material have the same durational values in their respective sections as before.

Each movement opens with the same motto, which also appears at the beginning of Fosanna I in the Sanctus. The second sections also open with either one or the other of two related mottos, thus strengthening the relationships among the movements. The mottos must have been freely composed since they are not derived from the cantus firmus, which is of monophonic derivation.

This cycle, remarkably similar in its formal scheme to that of Dufay's Missa Caput, contains several features that suggest that it probably is the work of an English composer. The probability of its insular origin is strengthened by the use of an example from it in Thomas Mordey's Plaine and Easy Introduction to Practicall Musicke. This example, taken from the Kyrie, occurs in the section of the book entitled "Annotations" and is preceded by a remark to the effect that it was from among the "workes of our English doctors of musicke. 1146 Despite the assertion of some scholars to the contrary, however, the use of the chant Veterem hominem as the cantus firmus is not necessarily indicative of an English origin. 47 This chant was by no means unique to the Sarum rite; it was, in fact, used throughout the continent also and remained in general use there until well into the Baroque. 48 Its use as the tenor of the cycle is, however, indicative of the fact that the Mass undoubtedly was intended to be sung on Epiphany and throughout its octave.

The remaining cyclic Mass stands apart from all the others in Strahov because of its style, possible source of premexistent material and certain details in the construction of its various movements. Written primarily in a non-quartal harmonic style, it appears to take its pre-existent material for the first sections of each movement from a polyphonic setting of the Vespers hymn for Pentecost, Veni creator Spiritus. Because no setting of this hymn has been identified as the source for this material it is possible that the composer borrowed only the chant melody and constructed the lower voices in much the same manner in each movement, letting certain features of the Kyrie,
perhaps, serve as a model for those of other movements. Nevertheless, the opening motto in all three voices and certain internal relationships among the first sections of the movements are more easily explained by postulating a pre-existent polyphonic setting of Veni creator Spiritus to which reference occasionally was made. Whether this is a parody or a chant paraphrase Mass will be answered definitively only by the discovery of a polyphonic model. In either case, however, the Mass obviously was intended for use on Pentecost and throughout its octave and, perhaps, in votive Masses of the Holy Spirit as well. This is also suggested by the presence of its trope text Cunctipotens in the Kyrie, which was reserved for use only on the greatest feasts of the temporal cycle.

The morements are closely related to one another by mensurations as well as by common musical material. Although the conclusion of the Credo and the beginning of the Sanctus are missing as the result of the loss of the inner folding of the fascicle containing this Mass it is evident that all the movements except the Sanctus were constructed in the same way. The Kyrie, Gloria, Credo and Agnus Dei are all tripartite, with the three sections of each being notated respectively in $C$, $O$ and $C$, a sequence of mensurations found in no other cycle in Strahov. Furthermore, the second section of each movement is always subdivided into two smaller sections, still another feature not found in other cycles in the manuscript. All corresponding sections of each movement have similar musical materials (perhaps those of the second and third sections are also borrowed) and begin and end with the same harmonic constructs. Only the Sanctus deviates fran this plan; it
probably was quadripartite.
This cycle seems to be the most modern of those in Strahov. Bee cause it is preserved only in the one manuscript it is given in transcription as Nos. 37-41.

Unidentified Settings

The only remaining Ordinary itams in Strahov are those five that have not been identified either as paraphrasing a corresponding chant Ordinary melody or as being members of a cycle. One of these, an unicum, is No. 58, a Kyrie that probably once belonged to a cycle. It is in three sections notated 0, C2 and 0 and utilizes as its tenor, which is the lowest sounding voice most of the time, a melodic pattern that appears but once in the entire movement. Predominantly but not exclusively in a non-quartal harmonic style, it ranks among the better compositions in Strahov and is included among the transcriptions as No. 42.

Another of this group, also an unicum, is No. 106, a Gloria that also probably formed part of a cycle originally. It, too, is tripartite and its sections are notated in $0, \mathcal{d}$ and 0 . It is in a somewhat lighter style than most of the other Ordinary items and makes considerable use of imitative writing that often is based on triadic figures with repeated notes.

No. 155, a Sanctus that is attributed to "fleamik" in Strahov but appears anonymously in Trent Ms. 89 and in Specialnik; also may have been composed as part of a cycle since its tenor and contratenor bassus are constructed in the manner usually found in cycles such as Meditatio
cordis, 0 roaia bella and similar ones. In its general style it somewhat resembles No. 85, a Sanctus setting a 4 that is based on a plainsong sanctus; see transcription No. 20.

The other items in which the nature of the pre-existent material, if any, is not known, are two settings of the Agnus Dei. One of these, No. 152, is also attributed to "flemmik" in Strahov but appears in Trent Ms. 88 anonymously. Its style is similar to that of the Sanctus attributed to the same composer. The other is No. 151, the brief Agnus tertium with the crossed-out attribution to Standily. There are not sufficient indications in either of these to warrant a guess as to whether they ever formed part of a cycle.

This survey of the Mass Ordinary items in the Strehov manuscript was designed primarily to do three things: 1) enumerate the different kinds of settings included there; 2) reveal the variety of compositional approaches and general musical styles used for each kind of setting; 3) make evident the liturgical usage for which the items were intended. It also does a fourth thing, however; it suggests a profound difference in attitude on the part of the musicians of the third quarter of the fifteenth century toward plainsong settings of Mass Ordinary items on the one hand and cyclic settings based on a tenor, motto or similar device on the other. As has been seen, almost all of the plainsong settings are unica and most are probably the work of Iocai composers, many are rather inferior musically and all but one remain anonymous. This suggests that, the writing of settings incorporating plainsongs either in a modernized forn or in equal values had come to be viewed as hardly more than a form of ar-
ranging. It had to be done simply because one needed polyphonic settings for certain liturgical categories--Mass Propers, Kyrie settings, Magnificat antiphons-w, the texts of which were, from the point of view of that age, wedded to specific chant melodies. It was a task that aroused no great enthusiasm because it was not particularly challenging nor was its product highly respected. Indeed, almost no composers seem to have signed their plainsong settings after this kind of compositional approach ceased to be a novelty; only rarely does one find a plainsong setting written after about 1440 that is not anonymous. On the other hand, it is evident from the cyclic Masses represented in Strahov that this genre of composition was regarded as one of the most important. Eleven of the sixteen cycles represented there occur in other sources and form part of what one might designate as an international repertory, six of the cycles are attributed to composers and even those that are anonymous unica are of a rather high musical quality. Thus, the Ordinary items in Strahov not only serve as specific examples of the music of a period but, taken as a whole, also are indicative of certain attitudes of the times.

One final problem, more liturgical than musical, needs to be touched upon before turning to other items in the manuscript. This is the problem of the incomplete Credo texts that are so frequently encountered in polyphonic settings of this item in the fifteenth century. In Strahov, for example, only one of the twelve Credo settings, that of the Touront cycle, No. 77, unquestionably uses the full text. This problem was first attacked systematically sane fifteenth years ago by Ruth Hannas, who gathered together much use-
ful information (as well as much that is totally irrelevant to the problem) in an axticle entitled "Conceming Deletions in the Polyphonic Mass Credo."49 Her explanation for the phencmenon, however, namely that the deletions were primarily irenic gestures on the part of the Roman church toward the Greeks, Hussites and other schismatics and heretics of the period, was not universally well-received, and W.K. Ford attempted to refute her arguments through a different interpretation of some of the facts she had gathered. 50 The problem has not been discussed further in print but certain scholars have suggested, viva voce, that the answer may lie in the purely musical realm.

New evidence, however, points to liturgical practices of the fourteenth century or earlier as the source of the custom of deleting part of this text, practices that originally must have been prompted by considerations both practical and symbolic. First, there is a statement issued by the Council of Florence in 1435 that vigorously condemns several liturgical abuses of the period, including the practice of making deletions in the Credo. Since this Council was convened primarily to deal with the problem of reuniting the eastern and western branches of Caristendom it is obvious that Hannas' contention that the deletions were made in order to facilitate this reunion, among others, cannot be maintained. The text in question reads as follows.

Sessio XXI. Acta VIII. Abusum aliquarum Ecclesiarum, in quibus Credo in unum Deum, quod est symbolum et confessio Fidei nostrae, non complete usque ad finem cantatur, aut praefatio seu
oratio Dominica omittitur, vel in Ecclesiis cantilenae saeculares voce admiscentur, seu missa etiam privata, sine ministro, aut per secretas orationes ita submissa voce dicitur, quod a circunstantibus audiri non potest, abolentes, statuimus ut qui in his transgressor inventus fuerit a suo superiore debite castigetur.

The issuance of this condemnation by a council convened to deal with pressing matters of an entirely different nature implies that the abuses against which it was directed were widespread and of long standing.

An investigation of the chant manuscripts of the fifteenth century, now in its preliminary stages, tends to confirm this since it has revealed that many of them, particularly those of Germanic origin, contain shortened Credo settings. Various different forms of the text occur, often several in one manuscript, and the melodies to which they are set, in so far as can be determined at the present stage of investigation, seem to have been written specifically for the shortened version of the text. Furthermore, some of these melodies appear in a rather large number of manuscripts of divergent dates and origins, an indication of a tradition of some years duration. Nothing more can be said until further studies of the chant sources have been made.

[^1]5 In the manuscript the mensuration sign for $C^{2}$ is $\phi$ but the section must be read as if it were 0 .

6 Analecta hymnica, XIVII, No. 5.
7 Ibid., No. 101.
${ }^{8}$ Ibid., No. 9.
${ }^{9}$ See G. Vale, "II 'Kyrie de Novo Sacerdote'," Rassegna Gregoriana, II (July, 1903), 297-300.
${ }^{10}$ For a brief description of the manuscript, see Academia Caesarea Vindobonensis, ed., Tabulae codicum manu scriptorum praeter Graecos et orientales in Bibliotheca Palatina Vindobonensi Asservatorum, IX (Vienna, 1897), 1.
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Even the chant manuscripts of the late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries rarely provide all nine versicles. For example, in the Kuttenberg Graduale ten of the sixteen tropes used with MEL 48 (II) have but three; only the oldest and most venerable of the tropes appear in full.

12 The same inscription occurs with several of the items in the Kyriale sections of the Neumarkt Cantionale of 1474, Ms. 58 of the Breslau Diocesan Archives, and with some of the polyphonic settings in the Codex Specialnik.

13 Analecta hymnica, XIVII, No. 99.
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Ibid., No. 100.
${ }^{15}$ Ioid., No. 104.
None of the Kyrie plainsong settings in Strahov is supplied with a rubric concerming performance practices, but two possibilities immediately suggest themselves for those in which the form is basically AAA-BBB-CCC: one or another manner of alternatim performance with chant or a completely polyphonic performance with each of the sections being repeated the required number of times. Still another manner of performance might be possible, namely, one consisting oi but a single statement of each of the melodically different sections exactly as they appear in the manuscript, without repetitions or alternation with chant. At first this suggestion seems to be an impossible one because it is in conflict with the commonly accepted assumption that the Kyrie always had nine invocations. This certainly was true from at least the late eighth century through the thirteenth and from the Pian Missal of 1570 to the present, but whether or not nine were always sung everywhere during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries is another matter. During these two centuries too many liturgical practices are known to have deviated from those of earlier ones (many of which have
since been restored) to make it safe to assume that nine invocations were always used at that time in polyphonic settings, particularly in the Germanic areas. Whatever the performance practices may have been, they will be discovered only from a study of both the monophonic and polyphonic sources as well as of the ceremonial and rubrical books of that time.
${ }^{17}$ See Melnicki, Das einstimmige Kyrie, p. 17 and p. 23.
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Ibid., pp. 107-08.
${ }^{19}$ Ibid., p. 17 and p. 23.
20 Ibid. , p. 106.
${ }^{21}$ Olysse Chevalier, Repertorium Kymnologicum, II (Iouvain, 1897), No. 19312.
${ }^{22}$ See Peter Joseph Thannabaur, Das einstimmige Sanctus, pp. 124-25.
${ }^{23}$ Aralecta hymnica, XIVII, No. 321.
${ }^{24}$ Graduale Romanum (Tournai, 1945), p. 57*. No catalogue of Agnus Dei chants comparable to those listing Kyrie, Gloria and Sanctus melodies has been published.
${ }^{25}$ The earliest evidence for the pairing of settings of the Kyrie and Gloria and the Sanctus and Agnus Dei in the chant manuscripts dates from about 1200; the practice seems to have become wide-spread only after 1300. This development has received almost no attention, and the only study dealing with it is that by Dominique Catta, "Aux Origines du Kyriale," Revue Grégorienne, XXXIV (Sept.-Oct., 1955), 175-82.
${ }^{26}$ Unfortunately, this dissertation was unavailable through interlibrary loan, and I am indebted to Dr. Daniel Heartz, of the University of California, Berkeley, for the information that it contains transcriptions of the various cycles that occur both in Trent Ms. 89 and Strahov.
${ }^{27}$ The Credo of this cycle is the only one in Strahov in which the text unquestionably appears in full. All others seem to use either a shortened form or perhaps "telescope" it by assigning different portions of the text to different voices simultaneously.

28 Gülike, "Standly," MGG, XII, 1171.
${ }^{29}$ Concerning various methods employed in the fifteenth century see Charles Hamm, "The Reson Mass," Journal of the American Musicological Society, XVIII (Spring, 1965), 5-21; and Philip cossett, "Techniques of Unification in Early Cyclic Masses and Mass Pairs," ibid., XIX (Summer, 1966), 205-31.
$30_{\text {Bertran }}$ Earl Davies, "The Works of Johannes Vincenet" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of North Carolina, 1960), p. 10.
$31_{\text {Printed in Johannis Pullois, Opera omnia, ed. by Potrus Gülke }}$ (n.p., 1967), pp. 46-47.

32 In the Agnus Dei this two-part section constitutes the first half of the second invocation.
$33_{\text {Graduale Romanum, }}$ p. 148.
$34_{\text {See Variae Preces }}$ (Solesmes, 1901), p. 130 and Graduale Romanum, p. 78 .
${ }^{35}$ Heribert Ringmann and Joseph Klapper, ed., Das Glogauer Liederbuch, I (Kassel, 1954), p. 109; transcriptions of these items appear ibid., p. 42 and p. 40 respectively.
${ }^{36}$ See Walter Salmen, Das Lochamer Liederbuch (Leipzig, 1951), p. 28.

37 pp, 28-69.
38 MGG, IV, 1070.
${ }^{39}$ Kenney, Walter Frye, pp. 142-43.
${ }^{40}$ For a detailed discussion of all identified Mass-motet cycles see Robert J. Snow, "The Mass-motet Cycle: A Mid-Fifteenth-Century Experiment," Musicological Studies in Honor of Dragan. Plamenac, ed. Gustave Reese and Robert J. Snow, scheduled to be published by the University of Pittsburgh Press, 1968.
${ }^{41}$ Plamenac, in the paper he delivered at the Seventh International Musicological Congress in Cologne, 1958, suggested that the final word be read as "franczosel"; he now suggests the above reading. See Bericht, p. 215.
${ }^{42}$ Andre Pirro, Histoire de la musique de la fin du XIV ${ }^{e}$ siècle à 1a fin du XVI ${ }^{\text {e }}$ (Paris, 1940), p. 157, fn. 2.
$43_{\text {Gustave Reese, Music in the Renaissance, p. } 576 .}$
44 Quoted from Elizabeth G. Holt, ed., A Documentary History of Art, Vol. I: The Middle Ages and the Renaissance, Anchor Books (Garden City, 1957), p. 201.

45 Sparks, Cantus Firmus, is in error when he states on p. 135 that the tenor is stated but once in each movement.

46 The example was identified by Thurston Dart; see "Correspondence," Music and Letters, XXXV(April, 1954), 183.
${ }^{47}$ See Sparks, Cantus Firmus, pp. 134-35.
48See Joseph Fothier, "Sept Antiennes pour 1'Octave de I'Epiphanie," Revue du Chant Gregorien, X (January, 1902), 81-85; and Jacques Handschin, "Sur quelques tropaires grecs traduits en latin," Annales Mesicologiques, II (1954), 27-45.
${ }^{49}$ Journal of the American Musicological Society, V (Fall, 1952), 15586.
$50^{n}$ Communications," ibid., VII (Summer, 1954), i70-72.
$5_{\text {Mansi, Sacrorum Conciliorum, XXIX, }} 107$.

## VI. PREMMASS CEREMONIAL ITEMS

Strahov also contains music for four pre-Mass ceremonial items: two antiphons and two responsorial hymns. One of the antiphons, Vidi aquam; was used throughout Paschaltide to accompany the aspersion of holy water that imediately preceded the Sunday Mass. ${ }^{1}$ The liturgicom musical form of this itam is identical with that of the Introit and during the fifteenth century it received the same kind of musical treatment as was accorded to its formal model. Surprisingly, the manuscript contains no setting of the antiphon that was used for the same ceremony outside of Paschaltide, Asperges me.

Two settings of Vidi aquam appear in Strahov, Nos. 166 and 245, and both are a 3. The first is in the hand of scribe 2 and occurs in the small fascicle of Paschaltide items that he insexted between the Christmas and Easter fascicles of scribe 1 (see above, p. 23). In the antiphon the original chant melody occurs in the tenor in equal values In white chant notation, with one "breve" equalling one semibreve of the other two parts. The musical style is similar to that found in those Introits in the hand of scribe 2 that also have the chant melody in the tenor in equal values, for examples, Nos. 46 and 48 (cf. transcriptions 13 ard 142. No music is provided for the doxology but two settings are given for the Psalm verse. In the first of these the first half of the verse is set for two discantus parts, the second for a discantus, tenor and contratenor. The second setting, also in
the hand of scribe 2 but obviously a later addition, provides polyphony only for the latter half of the verse. The highest-sounding part in each verse setting is based on Introit-tone 8, the tone used with the original chant form of the antiphon.

The other setting, No. 245, also found in Trent Ms. 90, was entered by scribe 1 in what is now the final fascicle of the third section of the manuscript. The chant appears in the superius in a modernized form and the style is similar to that found in most of the Mass Propers entered by the same scribe. Polyphony is provided for the second half of both the Psalm verse and the doxology and, as in No. 166, the superius is based on Introit-tone 8.

The other ceremonial antiphon is Lumen ad revelationem, used on the feast of the Purification, February 2, during the distribution of candles before the Mass. ${ }^{2}$ Its form is similar to that of Vidi aquam except that here an entire canticle rather than a single Psalm verse is used. There are two settings of this text in Strahov, Nos. 117 and 121, both of which are later additions in the hand of scribe 2. No. 117 is a four-part setting. No. 121 also seems to be, at least optionally, a 4 since it has both a contratenor altus and a contratenor bassus, the latter of which is designated "ad placitum." In reality, however, the two contratenor parts do not seem to have been intended for use together and No. 121 should probably be viewed as a three-part piece that may be performed either with the contratenor altus, presumably its original form, or with the contratenor bassus, which produces a harmonically more modern version.

Both settings utilize the original chant melody in the tenor in equal values and in exactly the same form. Neither provides music for the verses, which must have been sung monophonically to psalmtone 8. The appearance of parallel tenths between the two outer voices in measures 2-3 and 9-10 of No. 117 (transcription 43) as well as the use of the octave-leap cadence in measure 6 and the momentary appearance of the third in the final chord suggest that it is considerably more recent than either version of No. 121 (transcriptions 44 a and 44b). Transcriptions of No. 117 and of both versions of No. 121 are given because they afford interesting examples of stylistically different treatments of a single tenor.

One of the responsorial hymns for which settings are provided is Gloria, laus et honor, sung during the procession that takes place in tho special pre-Mass service of Psalm Sunday. ${ }^{3}$ Three different settings of it occur in Strahov but only one, No. 299, entered by scribe 2, provides music for both the refrain and verses. In the respond, which is a 3, the original chant appears in the superius in equal values whereas in the two-part section for the verses it occurs in the same voice-part but in a modernized form. The two other settings provide music for the verses onily; when either of these was used the refrain undoubtedly was sung to the original chant melody. One of these, No. 295, entered by scribe 1, is for three voices and utilizes the chant in a modernized form in the superius. The other, entered twice by scribe 2, Nos. 125 and 302, is for two discantus parts only and also has a modernized form of the chant melody in the superius.

Salve, festa dies, the other responsorial hymn for which Strahov provides settings, usually was sung during the pre-Mass processions on Easter, Ascension, Pentecost, Corpus Christi, the annirersary of the dedication of the church and the feast celebrating the dedication of the Lateran Basilica, November 9. 4 The text of the verses differed on each of these occasions, as did the second line of the refrain. Eight entries in Strahov are settings of this hymn, in all of which the chant melody is utilized in the superius, usually in a modernized form. One, No. 165, entered by scribe 2, now consists of no more than the fragmentary conclusion of the superius part of the refrain since the preceding page of the manuscript has been torn out. Two others, also in the hand of scribe 2, Nos. 83 and 173, are copies of one and the same composition. This setting consists of only the retrain, a 3, and utilizes the chant melody in equal values. The only difference between the two entries is a notational one; in No. 83 the chant is notated in its original neumes and in No. 173 in white chant notation. In both it is given at its original pitch level but must be read in an octave higher.
-. Another setting also occurs twice, but in different versions. No. 143, in the hand of scribe 2, includes both refrain and verses, a 3. whereas No. 248 , entered by scribe 1 , is a four-part version of only the refrain of No. 143. No. 167, entered by scribe 2, consists of a three-part refrain and four-part verses. The remaining two entries, Nos. 246 and 247 , are both in the hand of scribe 1. In No. 247 the refrain and verse sections are both a 3, as is the refrain of No. 246; the verses of the latter are set for two discantus parts, however.

Most of the settings of Salve, festa dies and Gloria, laus ot honor are competently written but not particularly imaginative; in this they exemplify very well the kind of writing usually encountered in settings of the smaller liturgical forms written about 14451460. One setting of Salve, festa dies, however, No. 246, stands apart from the others because of its far greater musical quality, its skillful use of imitation in conjunction with non-quartal writing and its interesting use of voice-crossing in the verse section, It is given as transcription 45.

```
\({ }^{\text {IGraduale Romanum, }}\) p. \(2 *\).
\(2_{\text {Ibid. }}\) p. 428.
\(3_{\text {Ibid. }}\) p. 176.
\({ }^{4}\) Processionale Monasticum (Solesmes, 1893), p. 62.
```

Sixty of the entries in Strahov are settings of one or another of 24 different Office hymns, all but one of which probably were intended for use at Vespers. The exception is A solis ortus cardine, for Laudes of Christmas. Thirty-nine of these are in the hand of scribe 1, 17 in that of scribe 2 and four in that of scribe 3, one of which, No. 290, duplicates an entry of scribe 1, No. 274. Thirty-seven of the 59 different settings are unica. Of the 22 also preserved in other sources 21 occur in one of the Trent manuscripts, in addition to which one is also to be found in Munich Ms. 3154, another in the Specialnik codex and the Buxheim organ book and a third in Florence Ms. $112^{\text {bis. The only }}$ other source for the remaining setting is the Glogau song book.

Forty-nine of the settings utilize a modernized form of the original chant melody in the superius. Six others appear to do the same but because the chant melody that presumably is modernized in the superius has not been identified one cannot be certain. Two other settings, Nos. 261 and 315 , also use the chant in a modernized form but allot it, respectively, to the tenor and contratenor primus. Only two settings contain the original chant melody in equal values and in both it appears in the tenor. In one, No. 257, it is notated in its original neumes whereas in the other, No. 306, it is written in white chant notation; this latter setting also utilizes in the superius motives derived from the chant. Significantly, both of these setinings 125
were entered by scribe 2. The remaining setting, No. 288, imitatively uses in all four of its voices motives derived fram the pre-existent chant traditionally associated with its text. Since, as was mentioned above, only the contratenor secundus of No. 294 may be attributed to Dufay, No. 288 is also the only hymn that does not remain anonvmous since the two other sources for it both name Touront as the composer. Table 6 presents most of these facts in a systematic manner. It indicates which settings were entered by each scribe and which are unica and the concordance for those that are not. Also indicated are the number of voice-parts in each setting and the manner in which the pre-existent chant is treated. The presence of a question mark after an "x" in one of the columns in which cantus firmus treatment is indicated signifies that the manner of treatment indicated is only conjectural since the pre-existent chant material has not been identified. An asterisk after an inventory number indicates the item is included among the transcriptionsp an \# that it is published in DFOe, Vol. 53. Taken as a whole the hymns do not differ stylistically from the Mass Propers or from the plainsong settings of Mass Ordinary items although their musical quality certainly surpasses that of the Ordinary settings. As in these other categories of items, so among the hymns non-quartal writing is found to some extent; it is less frequent, however, thar mong the Mass Propers. No. 287, a setting of the evennumbered verses of Pange lingua, is an example of the predominant but not exclusive use of this kind of wxiting in a hymn; see transcription 46.
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Of particular interest are three settings that may be sung either a 4 or a 3. These are Nios. 266, 273 and 281, the first of which, a sotting of the even-numbered verses of A solis ortus cardine, appears as transcriptions 47 a and 47 b . It is not possible to say whether these three settings were written in this manner so that the entire hymn might be performed polyphonically, with the verses being sung alternately in three and four-part settings, or whether only one or the other of the two versions was to be used alternately with the original chant melody. The latter suggestion seems more probable.

It is also interesting to note that two of these three settings, Nos. 273 and 281, along with Nos. 259, 265, 279, 285, 303 and 315, all of which but two are a 4, appear as part of a group of 16 hymns that occurs in Trent Ms. 88, fols. 228v-240v (Trent Nos. 370-385). SimilarIy, seven other items, Nos. 260, 262, 268, 271, 284, 291 and 300, all a 3. also occur in a group of thirteen hymns that conclude Trent Ms. 93, fols. 376r-382v (Trent Nos. 1852-1864). These relationships are further evidence of the close connection existing between the peripheral Strahov manuscript and the central Prent codices.

## VIII. MAGNIFICAT SETIINGS

That the church for which the Strahov manuscript was prepared used polyphonic settings of the Magnificat as well as of the hymns at Vespers of greater feasts is evident from the inclusion of fourteen settings of this canticle in the codex. Eight of these, Nos. 317, 318, 319, 320, 324, 326, 327 and 330 , are also preserved in one or another of Trent Mss. 88, 89, 90, 91 or 93, whereas one, No. 322, is also in Perugia Codex 431. The remaining five, Nos. $321,323,325,328$ and 329 , are unica. Because the last of the settings, No. 330 , also the last item in the manuscript, was never finished it is possible that the original intention of scribe 2, who began it, was to include still other settings. This is also suggested by the fact that three of the tones, 3, 5 and 7, are not represented. It is possible, however, that there was no need for these since Magnificat antiphons in these modes may not have occurred on any of the feasts on which polyphonic settings were used.

Each of the settings utilizes one of the monophonic canticle-tones, to some extent, at least, in a modernized form in the superius. In certain of the settings motives derived from it sometimes also appear imitatively in the tenor. See, for example, verses 2, 8, 10 and 12 of No. 322 , transcription No. 48 , which also serves as an example of the general style employed in the Magnificat settings. As is evident from this example, the style is the same as that which predominates in the other plainsong items found in the manuscript.

All settings are for the even-numbered verses. In some the same music is utilized for verses 2 and 8,4 and 10 , and 6 and 12 whereas in others each of the six verses receives individual treatment. Usually a different number of voice-parts and different mensurations are used for different verses in order to achieve variety, no easy task since the same basic meiodic formula serves in the superius part of each verse. These mensurations and the number of voice-parts for the various verses of each setting, as well as the canticle-tone appearing the superius, are indicated in table 7. ${ }^{1}$

Table 7

| No. | Number of Voice-parts and Mensurations | Tone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 317 |  | 4 |
| 318 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 8 \end{gathered} a 3,0 ; \quad{ }_{10}^{4}: \text { a } 3, \not \subset ; \quad{ }^{6}: \text { a 3 }, 0 .$ | 6 |
| 319 | Note. The superius parts of verses 2 and 8,4 and 10, and 6 and 12 are identical whereas the lower voices are not. | 6 |
| 320 | Note. Part of the tenor of verse 8 differs from that of verse 2 . | 8 |
| 321 |  | 4 |

Table 7, continued

| No. | Number of Voice-parts and Mensurrations | Tone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { 2: a 3 }, 0 ; & 4: \text { a } 2,0 ; & 6: \text { a } 3,0 ; \\ \text { 8: a } 3, \phi ; & 10: \text { a } 2, \phi ; & 12: \text { a } 2, \phi ; \text { a } 3, \phi . \end{array}$ | 6 |
| 323 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 2: a 3 }, 0 ; & 4: \text { a } 2,0 ; \\ \text { 8: a 3 }, \phi & \text { a } 3,0 ; \\ \end{array}$ | 6 |
| 324 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { 2: a } 3,0 ; & 4: \text { a } 2, \phi ; & 6: \text { a } 3,0 ; \\ 8: ~ a ~ 3, ~ & 0 & \text { 10: a } 2,0 ; \\ \text { 12: a } 3, & 0 . \end{array}$ | 8 |
| 325 | 2: a 3, 0; 4: a 3, 0; 6: a 3, ly. <br> 8, 10, 12: either the same as 2,4 and 6 or missing. | 6 |
| 326 |  | 2 |
| 327 |  | 6 |
| 328 | 2: a 3, \&; 4: a 3, $0 \& 63 ; \quad$ 6: a 3, $\not \subset \& 3$. <br> 8, 10,12 : either the same a 2,4 and 6 or missing. <br> Note. At least two of the three clef signs are erroneous; thus, the tone is uncertain. | $6 ?$ |
| 329 | $\frac{2}{8}: \text { a } 4,0 ; \quad{ }_{10}^{4}: \text { a } 2, c ; \quad{ }_{12}^{6}: \text { a 3 , } 0 .$ | 8 |
| 330 | Incomplete | 1 |

Tho slightly different forms of the canticle-tones were in use in
the fifteenth century, one in the Germanic areas, the other in the
other parts of Europe. The differences between the two forms are
slight and except when these differences occur in the intonation for-
mula, as in tone 4 , they usually are obscured when the tones are in-
corporated into a polyphonic setting in any way other than as cantus
plani. Thus, it is impossible to say with certitude which form of a canticle-tone is used in some of the settings in Strahov.

For a comparison of the two forms of the tones, although a somewhat misleading one since the variant terminations are not given, see Carl-Heinz Illing, Zur Technik der Magnificat-Komposition des 16. Jahrhunderts (Wolfenbuttel, 1936), p. 22. This study by Illing is one of the principal works dealing with the Magnificat settings of the Renaissance. Two others are those by Josef Meinholz, Untersuchungen zur Magnificat-Komposition des 15. Jahrhunderts (Cologne, 1956), and Gustave Reese, Tithe Polyphonic Magnificat of the Renaissance as a Design in Tonal Centers," Journal of the American Musicological Society, XIII (1960), 68-78.

The most recent work is that by Winfried Kirsch, Die Quellen der mehrstimmigen Magnificat- und Te Deum-Vertonungen bis zur Mitte des 16. Jahrhunderts (Tutzing, 1966), which contains a thematic listing of all Magnificat settings from about 1400-1550.

## TX. SETTINGS BASED ON OFFICE TEXTS AND CHANTS

In Strahov there also are a number of three-part settings of texts from the Office Hours that utilize, either in the superius or the tenor, the chant melody traditionally associated with them. These fall into two groups. One consists of compositions that undoubtedly were intended for the same liturgical use as that assigned to the chants on which they are based whereas the other group contains those that seem to have been designed for a different use. All are in essentially the same style as those Introits entered by scribe 1 that treat the chant in the same manner.

In the first group there are three Magnificat antiphons, one votive antiphon and three lessons from Matins, all of which use the chant in the superius in a modernized form. The Magnificat antiphons are No. 153, Ascendo ad Patrem, for Ascension; ${ }^{1}$ No. 160, 0 sapientia, one of the great "O" antiphons used on the days immediately preceding Christmas; ${ }^{2}$ and No. 162, 0 sacrum mysterium, for the Second Vespers of Corpus Christi. 3 The votive antiphon is No. 252, Da pacem, which often served as one of the several suffragia that were always appended to each of the Orfice Hours in the late Middle Ages and early Renaissance. 4 It also frequently was used in the special devotional services characterized by acts of reparation and pleas for peace that were held with great frequency toward the end of the fifteenth century and at the beginning of the sixteenth in response to the ever-increasing danger
presented to Christendom by the Turks. It even may have been used in Mass, immediately before the Agnus Dei, since several late fifteenthcentury missals, in answer to the same danger, call for this text, an explicit prayer for external peace, in place of the traditional kiss of peace. ${ }^{5}$

The lessons from Matins are No. 156, Jube Domine; Consolamini; No. 157, Jube Domine; Ut Christum natum; and No. 158, Jube Domine; Primo tempore. The first and third of these, taken from the Book of Isaiah, chapters 40 and 9, usually served as the second and first readings, respectively, at the first nocturn of the Matins of Christmas and in the manuscript No. 156 is indicated as being the second reading. ${ }^{6}$ Presumably, No. 158 was intended to be sung as the first and No. 157, which has a brief poetic text resembling a cantio rather than the usual passage from Isaiah, chap. 53, as the third. In each of these items the scriptural text is preceded by a setting of the request for a blessing that the lector always addressed to the celebrant. In Nos. 156 and 157 the same music serves for the textual phrase that introduces the scripture: "Hoc (sic) dicit Dominus Deus vester." The music for the scripture text is then given, after which there is a short section for the lector's concluding versicle and the response to it. The setting concludes with a long textless passage designated "Cauda," a counterpart to the extended opening portion of the request for a blessing with which nothing but the initial word was sung. These opening and concluding melismatic passages and the term "Cauda" are reminiscent of the thirteenth-century conductus.

No. 156, transcription 49, serves as an example of these lessons. It, as well as the others, uses as its premexistent material the les-son-tone to which these readings would have been sung monophonically. The tone seems to have consisted of an intonation centering around $a$, a recitation tone on $c^{1}$, a mediant at some lower pitch, and a termination on f. The polyphonic settings of these same texts in the Glogau song book (Nos. 186-188) and in Trent Ms. 91 (fols. 131v-134r) also reflect the same formula, which is nothing other than a variant of the one used in current liturgical books for the singing of passages from the books of prophecy. 7

The second group of items consists of settings of six antiphons normally used in Vespers of one or another of the Marian feasts in the late Middle Ages. Beginning as early as the thirteenth century, however, these, as well as many other antiphons, began to be used also as optional motets in various Marian devotional services, at the conclusion of Mass, in the pre-Mass processions, etc., and the settings of these texts in Strahov probably were intended for use as motets in one or another of these services rather than as psalm antiphons at Vespers. Thus, they may be designated as antiphon-motets.

Three of these settings, No. 193, Salve regina; No. 197, Alma Redemptoris mater; and No. 249, Regina caeli, incorporate the chant melodies that are still associated with these texts. ${ }^{8}$ In the first two the appropriate melody occurs in the superius in $\varepsilon$ modernized form, in the third in the tenor in equal values. Another setting of Regina caeli, however, No. 171, which is attributed to Phi, has in its superius a modernized form of a different melody no longer in use.

This chant is preserved in several fifteenth-century manuscripts of Germanic origin, including Ms. 58 of the Diocesan Archives of Breslau, the Neumarkt Cantionale of 1474.9

The two other settings also are hesed on chent items no longer used. One of these is No. 191, Surge amica mea. This has in its superius a modernized form of another chant preserved in the Neumarkt Cantionale, on fols. 138v-139r, where it occurs as one of a series of Marian antiphons that lack specific indications as to liturgical usage. 10 The Strahov setting is cast in the form of a lengthy bipartite motet that, because of the delayed tenor entry, opens with a two-part introitus section. This formal scheme does not suggest the use of a modernized antiphon melody in the superius and raises the question of how many other fifteenth-century compositions presumed to be freely-composed motets may actually be based on premexistent chants.

The other setting based on an obsolete chant is No. 161, Haec est dies. The original antiphon occurs on fol. C.XI of a codex written in 1410, Hohenfurt Ms. H.42, as the Magnificat antiphon for First Vespers of the feast of the Annunciation, and on fol. $134 \mathrm{v}-135 \mathrm{r}$ of the Neumarkt Cantionale without any liturgical assignment. ${ }^{11}$ The Strahov setting, however, appears among items that definitely are for Christmas, suggesting that perhaps it was intended for use in the procession that preceded the Third Mass of Christmas or as an optional motet within the Mass itself. This suggestion is supported by the text, which is equally well suited for use on Christmas as on the feast of the Annunciation. In any case, it must have been a popular text in Germanic rem gions in the fifteenth century since polyphonic settings of it can be
found in other manuscripts of the period, such as the Glogau song book (No. 5l) and the Speciáník Codex (fol. f.18v).

The only other entry in Strahov that might fall in this group is No. 238, Domine Deus noster, a most puzzling item. The text is an oration cast in the classic form and style of those used in the Roman rite yet the tenor contains, in equal values, a melody constructed of motives commonly encountered only in sixth-mode office antiphons. Undoubtedly, the item was intended for use in special devotional services in honor of St. Martin of Tours but whether the setting is freely composed or based on a previously existing oration that was sung to an antiphon-like chant melody it is impossible to say. The latter seems more probable but as yet no model has been discovered in any of the chant manuscripts.
${ }^{1}$ Antiphonale Romanum, p. 414.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid., p. 205.
$3_{\text {Ibid. }}$ p. 448.
4 This antiphon does not appear in current liturgical books. A suffragium consists of an antiphon, versicle and response, and an oration; for an example see ibid., p. 43.

5 Jungmann, The Mass of the Roman Rite, II, 331, n. 59.
${ }^{6}$ For the texts currently used see Iiber Usualis (Tournai, 1954), pp. 375-r7.

7Graduale Romanum, p. 120*.
8 Antiphonale Romanum, p. 56, p. 54 and p. 56 respectively. 9Printed in Ringmann and Klapper, Das Glogauer Liederbuch, II, 77.
${ }^{10}$ The folios containing the antiphon have deteriorated greatly as a result of the corrosive action of the ink. Enough of the antiphon is legible, however, to enable one to affirm that it serves as the basis for the superius part of the Strahov setting.
${ }^{11}$ Printed in Ringmann and Klapper, Das Glogauer Liederbuch, II, 76. The antiphon is unusually long and often only its latter half, beginning at "Hodie," was used, also as a Magnificat antiphon.

## X. MOTETS

Also among the items in Strahov are a number of motets, that is to say, freely composed items intended for use either within the traditional liturgy at places where no sung item was prescribed officially (during the Canon of the Mass, at the conclusion of this service or of Office Hours, etc.) or at those places within newer services (stations within processions, devotional services at shrines, etc.) where the use of a sung item was prescribed but the text to be used, either optional or fixed, new or borrowed from the traditional liturgy, had associated with it no melody specifically intended for use with it only in that particular liturgical situation. The qualifying phrase "freely composed" therefore indicates that the composer either did not incorporate a premexistent chant into his setting or did so in some manner other than that normally used for plainsong settings because no premexistent chant was traditionally associated with the use of the text at that part of a service for which his motet was intended.

Over two dozen items in Strahov fall within the category established by this definition and these, in turn, may be subdivided into three groups on the basis of strictly musical characteristics. The first of these subdivisions embraces the tenor motets. The second group consists of settings of considerable length that stylistically are identical with the antiphon-motets discussed in the previous
chapter; they differ from these only in that their superius parts, in so far as can be determined, are freely composed rather than modernized versions of pre-existent chants. The third subdivision contains those settings that are written primarily in the style of the chanson. Many of these have rimed Office antiphons as their texts and often prove to be contrafacta, the original versions of which are, indeed, chansons. The dividing line between the second and third subdivisions is not as firmly drawn as is that which separates the first from the other two. The distinction, however, does serve a useful purpose even though there are some settings that cannot be placed unquestionably in one or the other of these two subdivisions.

Seven items in Strahov fall into the subdivision of tenor motets. Two of these, Nos. 103 and 141, are members of the two Mass-motet cycles and were discussed in Chapter V. The remaining five are Nos. 195, 0 speciosa facta est; No. 204, 0 intemerata; No. 213, Adest dies celebris--In diebus illis; No. 214, Gaude flore virginali; and No. 244, Speciosa facta est. AII open with a greatly delayed entry of the tenor part, which, in two instances at least, seems to contain pre-existent material. That which occurs in No. 204 is probably based on an Office antiphon in the first mode whereas the material used in No. 213 perhaps is derived from a tone used either for the singing of the Gospel homily in Matins or for the Gospel at Mass. The use of a tone is suggested both by the melodic contour of the material and by the textual incipit that accompanies it, "In diebus illis," a phrase normally used only to introduce a passage from one of the Evangelists. The tenors of the other three items seem to be freely-composed and these items are desig-
nated as tenor motets here not because the tenor uses pre-existent material but rather because of the general manner in which they are constructed.

Four of the settings are for Marian occasions. The texts of two of these, Nos. 195 and 244, both a 3, are based on the Song of Songs whereas that of No. 214, a 4, uses the first four of the seven verses of a poetic work by St. Thomas Becket in honor of the seven heavenly joys of Mary. ${ }^{1}$ Because the texts of the other two, Nos. 204 and 213, both of which are set a 4, have not been printed they are given here; the prima pars of No. 204 also appears in the Codex Speciálnik. No. 204

0 intemerata et in aeternum benedicta, singularis atque incomparabilis Dei genetrix, virgo Maria; gratissimum Dei templum, Spiritus Sancti sacrarium, janua regni caelorum, per quam post Deum vivit orbis terrarum;
inclina aures tuae pietatis indignis supplicationibus nostris et esto miseris peccatoribus, pia auxiliatrix. 0 Maria, audi nos, nam te filius nihil negans (sic), quae es caelis altior, stellis purior, terris latior, abysso profundior.

0 Maria, tu es virgo amictis sanctis sanctior; vitam praesta puram ut tecum semper laetemur.

No. 213
Adest dies celebris quo solutus nexu camis
Sanctus praesul Augustinus assumptus est cum angelis, ubi gaudet cum prophetis, laetatur cum apostolis, quorum plenus spiritu quae praedixerunt mystica, fecit nobis per via post quos secunda dispensandi verbi Dei perennis refulsit gratia.

In diebus tuis obsessa est civitas Hipponensis ab exercitu barbarorum, inter quae mala fuerunt Augustino lacrimae suae panes die ac nocte; atque sub haec cuncta ad extremam horam veniens obdormuit in pace. Amen.

In their general musical style the three items a 4 closely resemble the two motets of the Mass-motet cycles and the two that are a 3 are similar to the antiphon-motets discussed in the preceding chapter.

Fifteen other settings may be placed in the second subdivision since they exhibit all of the musical features of antiphon-motets but, presumably, are freely composed. All but one of these, No. 215, 0 sacrum convivium are Marian motets. No. 215, the text of which is that of the Magnificat antiphon of the Second Vespers of Corpus Christi, is bipartite and probably was intended for use during Communion at Mass. It is included in Appendix I as transcription 50.

Of the fourteen Marian motets, four are based on texts from the Song of Songs that also occur as Office antiphons. These are Nos. 190 and 203, both of which have the text Anima mea Iiguefacta est, and

Nos. 192 and 202, both of which are settings of Quam pulchra es. Three more, Nos. 168 170, are settings of the antiphon Regina caeli. The first two of these are attributed to Batty whereas the third is anonymous and uses the trope Alle Danine nate at the final alleluia. No. 212, Sancta Maria tu dulcedo; No. 220, Ornatam monilibus; and No. 222, Alma mater salvatoris, also have texts that served as Office antiphons.

The texts of the five remaining items of this subdivision, some of which also were used as Office antiphons, differ from the others in that they are all poetic or quasi-poetic texts of the late Middle Ages. ${ }^{2}$ Furthermore, the composers of all five of the settings of these texts are known. No. 200, Flos de sping, is by Pullois ${ }^{3}$ and No. 189 (=242), Oflorens rosa, is by Frye. ${ }^{4}$ The remaining three are all the work of Touront. One, No. 188, uses the same text as does No. 189 (=242), Oflorens rosa. Another, No. 163, is the famous 0 gloriosa regina, one of the most popular motets of the chird quarter of the fifteenth century. The third, No. 186, 0 generosa nata David, has as its topic the Annunciation; the text seems to be unique to Strahov.

No. 186
0 generosa nata David,
Qui te praedixere,
Beatum Pneumate inflavit;
Mane scivis verbum vere.
Laus laude digna Deus ave
Sumens illud ex pudico alvo
Quibusdam videtur grave
Virginali flore salvo.

The remaining nine motets may be placed in the third subdivision since they resemble chansons. Three, in fact, No. 199, Ave virgo Speciosa; No. 207, O pastor aeterne; and No. 221, Songratulamini mihi; are contrafacta of chansons by Barbingant, Pullois and Brolo, respectively. Two others, Nos. 201 and 241, Vox dilecti mei and Videte, videte, occur in other sources with a different sacred text. They, too, may be based on chansons but if so, these have not been identified. 5 It should be noted that No. 201 is a canonic piece, the thixd voice of which is to be derived from the superius part: "Fuga duorum temporum in dyapason et in diapente."

The other four motets are unica. One, No. 198, is another setting of Vox dilecti mei. Two have as their texts rimed Office antiphons. One of these, No. 187, o Iumen ecclesiae, seems originally to have served as a Magnificat antiphon for St. Gaudiosus but here the text has been changed slightly and transformed into a Marian item. 6 The text of the other, No. 208, Ave gema claritatis, is from a rimed Office in honor of St. Catherine. 7 The source of the text of the fourth one, No. 205, o Deus in quantis aviis, is unknown and the text itself is largely undecipherable. The setting of it, a 4, is somewhat unusual in that the tenor part consists of but a single pitch that is repeated throughout. The piece may well have some relationshjp with Busnois' motet Anthoni usque limina, one voice-part of which also consists of but a single repeated pitch, the same one as is used hcre. 8

One other item probably belongs in this category. This in No. 206, the text of which, instead of being underlaid, is given only at the conclusion of the contratenor part. The opening words of this
text, as well as much of the remainder of it, are undecipherable, however, because of the excessive use of abbreviations and the manner in which the scribe crowded it into an extremely limited amount of space.
$1_{\text {Analecta hymnica, }}$ XXXI, No. 189.
$2_{\text {Two of }}$ these items have different texts in other sources; see Chapter XII.

3 Printed in Pullois, Opera omnia, pp. 27-31.
${ }^{4}$ Printed in Walter Frye, Collected Works, ed. by Sylvia W. Kenney (n.p., 1960), pp. 14-16. The text appears in the Kuttenberg Graduale as an Office antiphon for Marian Vespers in Advent, fol. 6lr.
$5_{\text {See }}$ Chapter XII.
${ }^{6}$ Analecta hymnica, XIVa, p. 90.
7Ibid., XVIII, p. 103; and ibid., XXVI, p. 209 and p. 212.
$8_{\text {See Reose, Music in the Renaissance, p. } 109 .}$

## XI. CANTIIONES AND TROPES

Fifteen cantiones also appear in Strahov. Their texts, somewhat popular in style and often hardly more than doggerel, are loosely cast in a poetic meter, amploy simple rime schemes and often consist of several verses. The musical settings also tend to be somewhat popular in flavor, simple and unpretentious. They are, however, far superior to the texts and most of them have a certain sophistication that suggests they are the work of highly competent composers who, obviously, were able to cope with the problems of imitative writing. All of the cantiones are unica and only one, No. 234, is attributed to a composer, Johannes Touront.

The best-known cantio text found in Strahov is that of No. 159, Dies est laetitiae, for Christmastide. It appears here in a three-part setting that utilizes in the superius the monophonic melody to which the text traditionally was sung. ${ }^{1}$ No. 162, Jesus Christus, nostra salus, transcription 51, also is a setting of a well-known text for the feast of Corpus Christi. Widely used in Bohemia and paxts of Germany in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, it was written by John Hus and sung to a melody that is preserved in several Czech manuscripts and a few German ones, including the Hohenfurt manuscript of 1410, probably the oldest of the sources for it. ${ }^{2}$ The three-part setting in Strahov uses in the tenor a variant form of this melody. Significantly, the deviations from the monophonic original all occur in those
phrases that lie in an extremely high range--the range of the original is an octave and a fifth-wand without doubt were prompted by practical considerations since they all serve to reduce the range.

Five other cantiones are for Marian feasts and all seem to be freely composed. Sinse none of their texts has been published and all, in fact, seem to be preserved only in Strahov, they are given here in so far as they are legible.

No. 181
Magistralis Nymphula,
Maria beat̃a,
Tu virginum est stola
Virtutibus ornata;
Gaude, virgo, vernans rosa;
Ne sis nobis odiosa.

No. 182
Ave, virgo gloriosa,
Te petimus ne sis nobis odiose;
Aurem huc inclina de monte Sina,
Veniam propina, virgo serena.

No. 185
Imperatrix virgo gloriosa,
Pia mater dulcis Maria,
Advocata praecipua nostra,
Defende nos a morte perenna;

# Lux serena, refove flebiles, <br> Aegros sana, conforta debiles; <br> Fac nos semper mites et humiles, <br> Et in fine fortes et stabiles. 

No. 194
Imperatrix orphanorum,
Hostem aufer nebulorum,
Nosque audire sagaciter.
Tibi salve decantemus
Virginem quem . . . . .
Per . . antequam et post.
Salve, tutrix oppressorum,
Salve, dulcis spes reorm
. . . . . salve . . . . .
o columba speciosa,
Pulcherrima florens rosa,
Clarens . . . . . facie.
Tu virginis . . . . orum,
Sis protectrix animarum,
Semper . . . . . . . . .

No. 217
Nobis instat carminis odas
Laudibus harmonicis
humiliter symphonizare;

Nam hylariter choros<br>Laudibus angelicis<br>Usque sideribus ascendit. Virgo Maria, in hac solemnitate<br>Petimus velis nos exaudire.

Nos. 181, 182 and 185 are set for two imitative discantus parts, both texted, and a textless contrapunctus. The two discantus parts are the principal structural voices and have the same basic relationship to each other as have the superius and tenor in the more usual combination of discantus-tenormcontratenor, with first one and then the other voice functioning as a tenor as a result of the frequent voicemerossing. The contrapunctus moves below them in the manner of a contratenor bassus and the result often is non-quartal harmony. See, for example, No. 185, which appears in Appendix I as transcription 52.

No. 194 is also set for two imitative discantus parts, both texted, and a textless contrapunctus part, to which is added another textless part designated tenor. All four of the parts are notated with a $C$ clef on the second line of the staves and all move in essentially the same range. Here different pairs of voices serve as the principal structural parts in different phrases. Again, the harmony is non-quartal since each voice-part is so written that it forms correct two-part writing with any other voice.

No. 217 is set for the more usual combination of discantus, tenor and contratenor, contains no imitation and is not written in
the non-quartal harmonic style. It is somewhat unusual, however, in that its text is underlaid in both the discantus and tenor parts. Also, an optional text that may be sung to the same music is given at the end of the tenor part. This text, Stella caeli extirpavit, also serves as the text of a setting in Trent 87. No. 204 (11v-13r). Five other cantiones are for use at Christmastide and they, as the Marian cantiones, do not seem to make use of any pre-existent material. There texts are also unpublished.

No. 183
In natali Domini
Gaudent omnes angeli
Et cantant in jubilo:
Gloria uni Deo.
R. Virgo Deum genuit,

Virgo manens intacta.

No. 184
Insignis triumphator
Natus est de virgine pura.
R. Ortus in sideribus olympi melos

Cui resonet cantio haec et depramet.

No. 211
Natum infantulum collaudemus,
Odas laudis harmonice
Humiliter symphonizemus, Ejusque genitrici Pura mente congaudemus.

No. 216
Nestum jam collaudemus
Omnis vivaminis symphon . .
Jacentem in praesepio
Con . . temus omnia.
Velut sidus radio
Illustrata sidera
Qui regit aethera
Hunc genuit puerpera
Virgo Maria
In . . . . . . .

No. 218
Novalia instant cristicolis Odas laudis (i) harmonicas;

Vermantis Slibyllae . . floruit . . mystice praesiluit in virgine.

En virgo paruit Christum;
Quem genuit adoravit.

The first two of these, Nos. 183 and 184, are bipartite pieces. In each the first part is written for discantus, tenor and contratenor with fragmentary imitation between the discantus and tenor whereas the second, probably a refrain, is for two imitative discantus parts, both with text, and a textless contrapunctus. In style they closely resemble the Marian cantiones, as can be seen by comparing No. 183, transcription 53, with No. 181, transcription 52. The other three are for
the usual three voices, make little use of imitation and are primarily in a non-quartal harmonic style resembling that of the other two Christmas cantiones.

Two more cantiones, Nos. 234, Nova instant cantica, and No. 235, Nunc instat collaudare, are in honor of saints who were bishops. The first expressly names St. Nicholas whereas in the second, the text of which is incomplete, any name may be inserted at the designated place. No. 234 is attributed to Touront and appears in Appendix I as transcription 54. Both are typical of the genre. Again, because their texts are not known fran any other source they are given here. No. 234

Nova instant cantica,
Onnes laudes attolite,
Vestris sonis bylariter canite
In laudibus nostro praesuli Nicholao
Ut pusillum . . . . . . . . .
. . . . . . laude . . . . .

No. 235
Nunc instat collaudare
Odis laudibus harmonicae
Vivo sono resonare
N(omen) pio praesuli
(remainder of text lacking in the manuscript)

The remaining cantio is No. 239, Martinus nam pusillus, another setting of which is found in Trent Ms. 93, No. 1599 (16r). The setting
in Strahov is for two texted discantus parts and two textless lower ones designated tenor and contratenor, all four of which are imitative.

Three Benedicamus Domino tropes and one Alleluia trope also occur in Strahov. In its original version one of the Benedicamus tropes, Beati qui esuriunt, No. 237, consists of several stanzas, all with four lines. ${ }^{3}$ In the Strahov setting of this text, however, it has been molified so that the first two lines of the original first stanza serve as a refrain to the other verses, resulting in a form identical with that of a responsorial hymn. The setting does not seem to use any preexistent material although the original form of the text has its own melody. ${ }^{4}$ Its style is similar to that of same of the processional hymns such as Salve festa dies and Gloria laus and honor.

The two other settings of Benedicamus tropes, Nos. 129 and 297, both use the same text. It consists of two stanzas of three lines each or of three stanzas of two lines each; the rime scheme suggests one, the number of syllables per line the other.

> Procedentem spons $m$ de thalamo suo
> Prophetavit scriba cum calamo;
> Quem progressum divina gratia
> Stricta legat in omnis sapientia
> Cujus porta . . . . . . . summo;
> Ergo benedicamus Domino.

The two settings do not have a similar melodic contour and consequently it is probable that neither uses any premexistent material.

The remaining trope, No. 174, Alle Dei filius, seems to have enjoyed great popularity in the fifteenth century since settings of it occur in several sources of the period, including Trent Ms. 91, Berlin Ms. 40021 and the Glogau song book. All of these settings make use of the same pre-existent material, which in Strahov appears in the tenor with the inscription "Triumphat." This material has not yet been identified, however. Musically the setiing in Strahov is not a particularly distinguished one and contains many crudities, not all of which result from notational errors.

```
    \({ }^{1}\) Analecta hymnica, I. p. 194.
    \({ }^{2}\) Ibid., p. 192.
    \({ }^{3}\) Ibid., No. 13.
    \({ }^{4}\) Prague, Státní a Universitní Knihovna, Ms. XII.F. 14 (Jistebnitz Latin Cantionale), fol. 205r.
```


## XII. CONTRAFACTA

Ten of the settings in Strahov that have been identified in other sources appear there with a text different from the one they have in strahov. Two of these, No. 103, O gloriosa mater, and No. 141, Pater aeterne, are the motets that conclude the Hilf und gib rat and O rosa bella Mass cycles. No. 103 is found also in Trent Ms. 89 with two other texts, Salve regina and Gaude rosa speciosa, whereas No. 141 occurs in Milan Ms. 2269 (Iibrone I) with the text of the first antiphon of Vespers and Laudes of the feast of the Circumsion, January 1, O admirabile commercium. In the case of the first of these it is difficult to make any conjectures as to which of the texts might be the original because all three are Marian. Nevertheless, the presence of phrases such as "nos debiles derende," "precibus humilium humiliter intende," and "pro nobis ora filium et gratiam implora" in O gloriosa mater establish a closer relationship between this text and that of the tenor than is to be found between the tenor and either of the other texts, especially Salve regina. Pater aeterne, however, definitely seems more likely to be the original text of No. 141 than does O admirabile commercium. Not only is strahov a somewhat earlier manuscript than the Milanese one but Pater aeterne, with its request that benefits be granted through the merits of Mary, is more likely to have been used as the original text of a motet with a tenor derived from Orosa bella than one that speaks about the incarnation of Christ.

Johannes Touront's 0 gloriosa regina mundi, No. 163, appears in ten sources with this text but occurs in an eleventh, Bologna Ms. Q.16, with O gloriosa domina. There can be littile doubt that the original text was Ogloriosa regina mundi. Another Touront item, No. 182, 0 virgo gloriosa, anonymous in Strahov but attributed to him in the Speciálník codex, has in this latter manuscript the text 0 praeclare Jesu; it is impossible to say which text might be the original.

Walter Frye's 0 florens rosa, Nos. 189 and 242, appears in the Schedel song book with the same text but in Trent Ms. 90 as Ave regina caelorum. Sjlvia Kenney, in her study of the works of Frye, suggests that 0 florens rosa is the authentic text because it occurs in two sources but prudently adds that this is not conclusive edivence. ${ }^{l}$

Two more Strahov items that also appear in the Schedel song book but this time with different texts are No. 201, Vox dilecti mei, and No. 241, Videte, videte. There the music of No. 201 has the text Felix porta paradisi and that of No. 2410 intemerata castitatis. As for the first of these, it is impossible to determine which of the two texts, if either, might be the original, particularly since both are Marian. In regard to the second all that can be said is that the text of the Schedel song book is a Marian text that could have been used on a number of occasions whereas that of Strahov consists of verses 39 and 40 of the Canticle of Moses, Deuteronomy, chap. 32, and its liturgical usage is unknown.

For the three remaining items there is no question but that the texts found in Strahov are not the original ones because the music of a. 11 three is that of well-known secular works of the mid-fifteenth
century. No. 199, Ave virgo speciosa, is a contrafactum of Barbiagant's Der pfoben swancz; No. 207, 0 pastor aeterne, uses the music of the setting of De madame by Pullois; and No. 221, Congratulamini mihi, is actually Bartolomeo Brolo's setting of Entrepris suis.

The text used in Strahov with Der pfoben swancz is that of the Magnificat antiphon of Second Vespers fram a rimed Marian Office. ${ }^{2}$ The fact that this chanson occurs in Trent Ms. 89 with still another text, o quam clara testimonia, is indicative of the popularity it enjoyed. The text provided for the Pullois chanson seems to be unique. Instead of being underlaid it appears only at the end of the contratenor and, unfortunately, is partly undecipherable: "O pastor aeterre, O clemens et bone custos, qui dum . . . . preces attend . . voce lapsa de caelo praesuli . . dignum . . ostendisti turm famulum." The chanson to which this text was sung also must have been a favorite one of the period because there is another contrafactum of it in the Schedel song book, 0 beata Maria. Congratulamini mihy, used with the Brolo chanson, is another Marian text and was used both as an antiphon and as the respond of a responsory in the liturgy of the fifteenth century. Nevertheless, all three of these contrafacta probably were intended for use not in the official liturgy but as devotional antiphons during the course of one or another of the short services held before the various chapel altars in the church where Strahov was compiled.

As suggested above in Chapters $I$ and $X$, a number of other texted items in Strahov also may be contrafacta. It is even more probable that many of the textless pieces to be discussed in Chapter XIII are secular songs that originelly were destined to become sacred contra-
facta but did not because for some unknown reason their new texts were never entered. Consequently, one suspects but cannot prove that the secular chanson was frequently heard-with a sacred text, of course--in the churches of the fifteenth century. ${ }^{3}$
$I_{\text {Kenney, Walter Frye, p. } 79 .}$
2 Analecta hymnica, XLVa, p. 41.
$3^{3}$ ne wonders if the condemnation against the use of secular music in church issued by the Council of Florence at its twenty-first session, 1435, was intended to include contrafacta of chansons such as these or was directed only against the music of ministrels and the dance music of the lower classes. For the text of this condemnation, see above, p.114-15.

## XIII. TEXTLESS ITEMS

It has been possible to identify three of the textless items in Strahov, Nos. 23, 257 and 314, because they utilize a pre-existent chant. The text of one other, No. 233, has been identifled through a concordance. This item, attríbuted to Touront in Strahov, also occurs in the Specialnik Codex with the same attribution and there it has the cantio text Chorus iste. The reason why this text was not entered into Strahov is not known; perhaps the copy from which the Strahov scribe worked lacked it or perhaps he intended to enter, at a later date, another text more to his liking but failed to do so.

The remaining fourteen items are unica and consequently their texts remain unknown. Several features, however, suggest that they probably are chansons, the texts of which were not entered here because they were destined to be transformed into contrafacta through the addition of sacred texts at some future time. It is highly unlikeIy that they were intended for a purely instrumental performance. One of these items, No. 232, 2 2, is attributed to a "watlin frew," undoubtedly Walter Frye. This ballade is not included in Kenney's edition of the complete works of this composer but 2 transcription of it, as well as a facsimile of the Strahov folio on which it appears, is appended to the article on Strahov by Plamenac in the Journal of the American Musicological Society, XIII. In the same article, pages 104-107. Plamenac conclusively shows that "watlin frew"
must, indeed, be Walter Frye.
Another of the textless items, No. 236, a 3, is attributed to Touront. The remaining twelve, however, 211 a 3, are anonymous. These are Nos. 164, 210, 219 and 223-231. Three of these anonymous items, Nos. 219, 225 and 231, 2s well as No, 236, by Touront, are included among the transcriptions as Nos. $55-58$, respectively. These settings are stylistically representative examples of this group of textless items and, as in many others of the group, one often can deduce, from the manner in which they are written, what may have been their original poeticomusical form. No. 225, for example, perhaps was a virelai or rondeau whereas No. 236 undoubtedly was 2 ballade.

Seven non-liturgical items appear in Strahov. These include two in Iatin, three in German, one with an Italian incipit and one with a sirgle French word at the tenor part. One of the Latin items, No. 243, Viminibus cinge, also appears in the Glogau song book, which includes as well a four-part setting of the text of the other Latin item in Strahov, No. 180, Vinum bonum cum sapore. I The superius part of the Glogau setting of this text and that of the one preserved in Strahov are remarkably similar. This suggests that the text must have had associated with it a monophonic melody that served as the basis for the superius of both settings. This supposition is strengthened by the fact that the settings are very similar to those chat utilize a modermized plairsong in the uppermost voice. The three-part Strahov setting is included among the transcriptions as No. 59.

The three German items are No. 96, Kain (1) mier ein trost; No. 172, Also heilig ist der tag; and No. 196, Mevn hrcz freyden ist. These are the items that were discussed by Plamenac in the paper he delivered at the International Musicological Congress in Cologne, 1958, an abstract of which, accompanied by facsimiles of the Strahov folios on which the three items appear, occurs in the Bericht of this Congress.

Plamenac also published in conjunction with his article on Strahov in the Journal of the American Musicological Society, XIII,
a facsimile of the item that has the Italian incipit 0 rosa bella, No. 209. He did not, however, include one of No. 240, a scmewhat similar piece that has only the word "fortune" at the tenor part. As can be seen from the transcription of this item, No. 60 in Appendix $I_{\text {, }}$ the first few notes of all three parts are identical with those of a textless piece that appears in the Schedel song book, fols. $48 \mathrm{v}-49 \mathrm{r}$, with the superscription "fortune" and is attributed to Jo bodigham, obviously Johannes Bedingham. ${ }^{2}$ Undoubtedly, Strahov No. 240 is another setting of one of the several texts of the period that began with the word "fortune" or "fortuna." The piece abounds in crudities, almost all of which result from the unskillful manner in which the contratenor is written.

There is no immediately evident reason for the inclusion of these few non-liturgical items in the Strahov manuscript. One can only conjecture that they may have been particularly well-liked by scribes 1 and 2, who entered them, and that they may have played a small role in the social lives of the singers who used this late fifteenth-century codex of liturgical music.

[^2]
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## APPENDIX I: TRANSCRIPTIONS

The compositions that appear here in transcription aro representative of the various styles found in the Strahov manuscript. Ghonever possible, unica wore chosen for inclusion but in a few instances it was necessary to use items that are also preserved in other sources. In these cases any discrepancies between the sources are indicated in the critical notes, pages 466-481. It was also necessary to include a few pieces of dubious musical value as well as others that reveal a certain technical incompetence on the part of the composer. To have not done so would have resulted in a false picture. Because the individual items also serve to illustrate specific points discussed in the main body of this study they are arranged here not in the order in which they occur in the manuscript but according to that in which they are referred to in Chapters IV through XIV.

The ueual principles of transcription have been observed. The symbols that represent the value of the tactus in the original notation have been transcribed as a half-note, ligatures and coloration are indicated by the usual closed and open brackets and any changes in mensuration that occur during the course of a piece are signified by the presence of the new mensuration sign at the proper place above the staves of the transcription. Corrected and added clef and mensuration signs are in red.

The problem of musica ficta is an unusually difficult one in the Strahov manuscript because of the great variety of styles found there. The problem is further complicated by the fact that the scribes often seem to have omitted many of the accidentals expressiy called for by the composer, if one can judge from a comparison of Strahov items that are not unica with the versions of these that are found in other sources. The Missa O rosa bella, for example, appears in Strahov with no accidentals in the key signatare whereas in Modena Ms. 456 it has one flat in each part and in Trent Ms. 89 one flat in the three lower voices and two in the superius. Many other works in Strahov also seem to be lacking accidentals as a key signature and consequently these items, when presented in transcription, appear to be provided whth an excesaive number of editorial flats. Editorial aceidentals have, however, been added as sparingly as possible.

The orthography of the texts has been brought into conformity with that of modern Latin liturgical books and all abbreviations have been resolved. The text underlay occurring in the manuscript has been followed whenever possible. Frequently, however, it has been necessary to ignore the original, obviously erroneous disposition of the text and use another, one based on principles derived from those settings in which the text is disposed in a more logical manner. Missing words have been supplied by the editor and placed in brackets.

1. Salve sancta Parens (1) ..... 174
2. Scio cui credidi (5) ..... 177
3. Salve sancta Parens (7) ..... 179
4. Mihi autem (9) ..... 185
5. Statuit ei (10) ..... 287
6. Rorate caeli (16) ..... 191
7. Ecce advenit (20) ..... 195
8. Ecce advenit (21) ..... 197
9. Resurrexi (26) ..... 200
10. Resurrexi (27) ..... 204
11. Spiritus Domini (32) ..... 207
12. Scio cui credidi (39) ..... 210
13. Terribilis est (46) ..... 212
14. Nos autem (48) ..... 214
15. Alleluia. Yox exultationis (91) ..... 217
16. Victimae paschali (175) ..... 220
17. Alleluia. Pascha nostrum (176) ..... 225
18. Lauda Sion (179) ..... 230
19. Kyrie (51) ..... 240
20. Sanctue (85) ..... 244
21. Kyrie ex Missa Sine nomine; Standly (56) ..... 252
22. Gloria ex Misea Sine nomine; Standly (144) ..... 255
23. Credo ex Missa Sine nomine; Standly (147) ..... 261
24. Sanctus ex Missa Sine nomine; Standly (148) ..... 267
25. Agnus Dei ex Missa Sine nomine; Standly (149) ..... 274
26. Gloria ex Missa Meditatio cordis (87) ..... 277
27. Credo ex Missa Meditatio cordis (88) ..... 288
28. Sanctus ex Missa Meditatio cordis (89) ..... 300
29. Agnus Dei ex Missa Meditatio cordis (90) ..... 311
30. Gloria ex Missa Sine nomine (79) ..... 316
31. Credo ex Missa Sine nomine (80) ..... 323
32. Gloria ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (99) ..... 330

[^3]33. Credo ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (100) ..... 340
34. Sanctus ex Missa Hile und gib rat (101) ..... 355
35. Agnus Dei ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (102) ..... 364
36. O gioriosa mater ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (103) ..... 373
37. Kyrie ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (119). ..... 385
38. Gloria ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (120) ..... 390
39. Credo ex Misea Voni creator Spiritus (122) ..... 395
40. Sanctus ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (123). ..... 399
41. Agnus Dei ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (124) ..... 402
42. Kyrie (58) ..... 406
43. Lumen ad revelationen (117) ..... 412
44a. Lumen ad revelationem (121) ..... 413
44b. Lumen ad revelationem (121) ..... 414
45. Salve festa dies (246) ..... 415
46. Pange lingua (287) ..... 418
47a. A solis ortus cardine (266) ..... 420
47b. A solis ortus cardine (266) ..... 422
48. Magnificat (322); Cecus (=Touront?) ..... 425
49. Jube Domine: Consolamini (156) ..... 435
50. O sacrum convivium (215) ..... 441
51. Jesus Christus nostra salus (126) ..... 446
52. Imperatrix virgo gloriosa (185) ..... 448
53. In natali Domini (183) ..... 450
54. Nova instant cantica (234); Johannes. Touront ..... 452
55. Textless (219) ..... 454
56. Textless (225) ..... 456
57. Textless (231) ..... 458
58. Textless (236); Johannes Touront ..... 460
59. Bonum vinum (180) ..... 462
60. Fortune (240) ..... 464
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27. Credo ex Missa Meditatio cordis (88)
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## 1. Salve sancta Parens (1)

Source: Stv, ir

1. Semibreve in ms.
2. $B$ in ms.
3. $\underline{b}$ in $m s$.
4. Scio cul credidi (5)

Source: Str, 4 r

1. $\underline{f}$ in ms.
2. Two breves in ligature in ms.
3. b-e in ms.
4. c in ms.
5. a in ms.
6. The mensuration sign probably should be $\not \subset$, as for the antiphon.
7. Longa in ms.

## 3. Salve sancta Parens (7)

Source: Stv, $5 \mathrm{v}-6 \mathrm{r}$ and 5 r Tr88, 357v-358r

1. The designations contratenor primus and contratenor secundus are reversed in Tr88.
2. The correct clef sign appears in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
3. Minor color not used in Tr 88 .
4. A semibreve followed by a minima rest in Tr88.
5. Minor color not used in Tr88.
6. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
7. Flat lacking in Stv.
8. Minima rest in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
9. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
10. Lacking in Stv.
11. Semibreve and minima in Mr88.
12. Minor color not used in Tr88.
13. a in Stv.
14. Minor color not used in Tr88.
15. $c^{\prime}$ in Stv.
16. 


17. $e^{\prime}$ in Stv.
18. Flat lacking in Str.
19. Note and rest in reversed order in Tr88.
20. Two minimae in Tr88.
21.
22. Another semibreve on a follows this one in Stv.
23. Four minimae in Stv.
24. Semibreve and a minima rest in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
25. Ligature notated a third higher in both Stv and Tr88; later corrected in Tr88.
26. Dotted in Stv.
27. $c^{\prime}$ in Tr88.
28. $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}-\mathrm{b}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
29. Flat not in Stv.
30. $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ in Stv.
31. $B$ in Stv.
32. Minor color not used in Tr88.
33. The words "omnes tuum juvamen"' erroneously underlaid in the polyphonic portion of the psalm-tone in Stv.
34. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
35. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
36.

37. Minor color not used in Tr88.
38. Two minimae in Stv.
39. Minor color not used in Tr88.
40. Dotted minima and semiminima in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
41. Minor color not used in Tr88.
42. Minor color not used in Tr88.
43. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
44. Two minimae in Stv.
45. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
46. Supplied from Stv No. 21.
47. Dotted minima and semiminima in Stv.
48. Semibreve and a minima rest in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
49. Minima, dotted minima and semiminima in Stv.
50. Flat not in Stv.
51. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
52. Dotted semibreve B in Stv.
53. Lacking in Stv.
54. Minor color not used in Tr88.
55. $c^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
56. Breve with fermata and breve reat in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
57. Flat not in Stv.
58. Minor color not used in Tr 88.
59. f in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.

61. Minor color not used in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
62. Lacking in Tr88.
63.

4. Mihi autem (9)

Source: Stv, 7r

1. d in ms.
2. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. Dot lacking in ms.
4. The reciting notes are indicated by a single note in the shape of a maxima.
5. A fermata over this note in ms.

## 5. Statuit ei (10)

Source: Stv, 9y-1Or Tr90, 48v-49r Tr93, 70v-71r

1. The contratenor alius appears only in Stv. It was entered there by scribe 2 as an optional substitute for the original contratenor, which was entered by scribe 1 , as were the superius and tenor parts.
2. No ligature in Stv.
3. Longa corrected to breve in Stv.
4. Longa in Stv.
5. a in Stv.
6. In ligature with preceding three notes in Trgo and Tr93.
7. Erroneously dotted in Stv.
8. In ligature with preceding five notes in Mr90 and Tr93.
9. In ligature with preceding two notes in Trgo and Tr93.
10. $\underline{d}$ in Stv.
11. Erroneously dotted in Stv.
12. $f$ in Stv.
13. 


in Stv.
14. No ligature in Stv.
15. d in Stv and $\operatorname{Tr} 90$.
16. In ligature with the following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
17. Colored in Stv.
18. In ligature with the following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
19. Semibreve d' in Tr90 and Tr93.
20. The psalm verse "Memento, Domine, David; * et omnis mansuetudinis ejus" is given in Trgo in addition to "Misericordias."
21. e in all sources.
22. In ligature with preceding two notes in Trgo.
23. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90.
24. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
25. In ligature with following two notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
26. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
27. In ligature with preceding three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
28. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
29. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
30. In ligature with following two notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
31. Ligature cum opposita proprietate in Str.
32. Dot of semibreve $e^{\prime}$ and minima $d^{\prime}$ lacking in Stv.
6. Rorate caeli (16)

Source: Stv, 13v-14r

1. Intonation notated in ms, as if with tenor clef.
2. $c^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. $d^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ in ms.
4. c in ms .
5. gin ms.
6. $c^{\prime}$ in ms.
7. Semibreve in ms.
8. Dot lacking in ms.
9. $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in ms.
10. $a^{\prime}$ in ms .
11. One longa rest too many in ms.
12. Both notes of ligature longae in ms.
13. Dotted in ms.
14. Minimae in me.
15. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.

## 7. Ecce advenit (20)

Source: Stv, 18v-19r

1. An erroneous minima on $c^{\prime}$ occurs between this note and the semibreve on $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in the ms.
2. This psalm text traditionally reads "Deus, judicium tuum Regi da;" the word "tuum," however, missing here, often was not used during the fifteenth century.
3. This and the following note written as a dotted breve in the ms.

## 8. Ecce advenit (21)

Source: Stv, 19r

1. Dot lacking in ms.
2. d' in ms.
3. $b$ in ms.
4. Dotted in me.
5. $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in ms .
6. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.
7. Here there are four superfluous semibreves on $a-g-c^{\prime}-b$ in the $m s$.
8. $b$ in ms.
9. The remainder of the tenor part of this section notated a third too high in ms.
10. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
11. This note immediately followed by an erroneous semibreve rest in the ms.
12. $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in ms .
13. $\underline{b}$ in ms.
14. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
15. 


16. The remainder of the tenor part, highly corrupt, reads:

9. Resurrexi (26)

Source: Stv, 23v and 22r Tr90, 11v-12r $\operatorname{Tr} 93,20 \mathrm{v}-21 \mathrm{r}$

1. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
2. A ligature of six notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
3. Only the incipit appears in the tenor in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
4. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
5. A ligature of four notes in Tr90 and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
6. d' in Stv.
7. 
8. $e^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
9. Dotted minima and seminimima in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and Tr93.
10. g' in Stv.
11. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
12. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
13. These two notes entered twice in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
14. $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Stv.
15. A ligature of three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
16. No coloration in Tr90 and Tr93.
17. These six notes misaing in Stv and Tr90.
18. A ligature of three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and Tr93.
19. $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in Tr90 and Tr93.
20. Two semibreves in Tr90 and Tr93.
21. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
22. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
23. b in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
24. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
25. A Iigature of seven notes in Trgo and Tr93.
26. Minima $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$ instead of two $\overline{\text { semiminimae }} \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{c}^{\prime \prime}$.
27. d"I in Stv.
28. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
29. No coloration in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$; semiminima is a $g^{\prime}$ in Stv.
30. Rest lacking in Stv.
31. No coloration in Tr90 and Tr93.
32. Dot lacking in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
33. A longa in ligature with two preceding notes in Tr90 and Tr93.
34. The superius of the Psalm notated a third too low in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$. In Sty the Psalm and Doxology occur one opening earlier, on fol. 22r.
35. A breve on $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
36. Lacking in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
37. f' in Stv.
38. $\frac{\mathrm{b}}{}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
39. Lacking in Stv.
40. $e^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
41. $c^{\prime}$ in Stv.
42. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
43. Missing in $\operatorname{Tr} 90$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 93$.
44. Resurrexi (27)

Source: Stv, $24 r$ and $22 r$

1. Supplied from tenor of Stv No. 26.
2. The psalm occurs on 22 r ; no doxology is given.
3. The mensuration sign probably should be $\not \subset$.
4. Breve in ms.
5. g in ms.
6. Spiritus Domini (32)

Source: Stv, 30v-3lr

1. $f$ in ms.
2. $e^{\prime \prime}$ in ms.
3. g in ms .
4. $\frac{\square+C}{\square+C}$ in ms .
5. Reciting notes written as a single maxima in me.
6. $d^{\prime}$ in ms.
7. Scio cui credidi (39)

Source: Stv, 38v

1. The initial semibreve is preceded by two semibreve rests. These probably were intended to indicate that the initial semibreve note does not imperfect the following breve.
2. Semibreve in ms.
3. Dot lacking in ms.

4. Terribilis est (46)

Source: Stv, 44r

1. An erroneous semiminima d' occurs between the minima $d^{\prime}$ and semiminima $e^{\prime}$ in the ms.
2. $a^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. c in ms.
4. $c^{\prime}$ in ms .
5. 


6. Semibreve in ms.
14. Nos autem (48)

Source: Stv, 45v

1. d in ms .
2. Dotted in ms.
3. Alleluia. Vox exultationis (91)

Source: Stv, 92v-93r

1. $E$ in ms.
2. $d^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. $f^{\prime}$ in ms .
4. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ in ms.
6. $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}$ in ms .
7. $b-g$ in ms.
8. Victimae paschali (175)

Source: Stv, 192v-193r

1. $f$ in ms.
2. Verses 3, 6-7 and 9 supplied from a Czech source contemporary with Stv: Vienna, Nationalbibliothek Ms. 15501, fols. $159 \mathrm{r}-160 \mathrm{r}$.
3. d' in ms.
4. $B$ in ms.
5. $g$ in ms.
6. Two contratenor parts are provided for the Amen section, the other of which does not fit with the superius and tenor; it reads:

7. Alleluia. Pascha nostrum (176)

Source: Stv, 193v-194r

1. Intonation supplied from a Czech source contemporary with Stv: Vienna, Nationalbibliothek Ms. 15501, fols. $75 \mathrm{v}-76 \mathrm{r}$.
2. The rule similis ante similem semper perfecta est must be ignored and the first breve read as imperfect.
3. See n. 2.
4. Dot lacking in ms.
5. a in ms.
6. d'I in ms.
7. a in ms.
8. b in ms.
9. Lauda Sion (179)

Source: Stv, 195v-197r

1. The incipit for verse 7 erroneously given here.
2. This group of four notes erroneously notated twice.
3. The tenor parts for verses $11-12$ and 13-14 are given in reversed order.
4. a' in ms.
5. Semibreve in ms.
6. An erroneous minima d occurs between this and the following note in the ms.
7. The entire tenor part for verses 19-20 notated a third too high.
8. a in ms.
9. g in ms .
10. The entire tenor part for verses 23-24 notated a third too high.
11. gin ms.
12. Kyrie (51)

Source: Stv, 47r-48r
Remarks: This Kyrie presents unusual problems of text underlay. The use of material from a preexistent chant at the beginning of each of the three sections suggests that each section was intended to constitute a single invocation. The extended leneth of the sections, however, and the obvious abandonment of the chant material during the course of the sections, suggests that perhaps each section should contain three invocations. Since the manner in which each section is constructed offers no clue as to which of the two possibilities was intended, the exact textual underlay found in the $m s$. is reproduced here.

1. Originally minimae in ms. but later corrected to semibreves by erasure of stems.
2. g in ms.
3. minimae in ms.
4. semibreve in ms.
5. The superius of the Christe and Kyrie II notated at correct pitch; tenor and contratenor of these two sections notated a third too low, as were all parts of Kyrie I.
6. d minima and minima rest in ms.
7. 旦 in ms.
8. Sanctus (85)

Source: Stv, 82v-84r

1. Minima in ms.
2. $b$ in ms.
3. 


4. The mensuration sign 3 occurs here, erroneously, in both contratenor parts.
5. Semibreve rest in ms.
21. Kyrie ex Missa Sine nomine (56)

Source: Stv, 53r-53v

1. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.
2. Notated a step lower in ms.
3. Gloria ex Missa Sine nomine (144)

Source: Stv, 164v-165r and 164 r

1. In the ms. there is a minima on $g^{\prime}$ between this breve and the following semibreve.

2. bin mo.
3. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.
4. $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. a in ms.
6. $\frac{d}{}$ in ms.
7. f in ms .
8. Credo ex Missa Sine nomine (147)

Source: Stv, $167 \mathrm{v}-168 \mathrm{r}$

1. $g$ in ms.
2. $\frac{d^{\prime}}{}$ in ms.
3. Dotted, colored semibreve in ms.
4. Sanctus ex Missa Sine nomine (148)

Source: Stv, 168v-170r

1. $b^{\prime}-a^{\prime}$ in ms.
2. $\frac{e^{\prime} \text { in ms. }}{\text { 3. } b_{\text {in }} \mathrm{ms} \text {. }}$
3. minima on a in ms .
4. $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. Agnus Dei ex Missa Sine nomine (149)

Source: Stv, 170v-171r

1. The tenor part is preceded, erroneously, by the chant intonation of the Agnus Dei of Mass IV of the Graduale Romanum.
2. $c^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. $e^{\prime}$ corrected to $d^{\prime}$ in ms.
4. Colored semibreve in ms.
5. $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
6. Dot lacking in ms.
7. Dotted breve in mb.
8. Gloria ex Missa Meditatio cordis (87)

Source: Stv, 85v-87r

1. a in ms.
2. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. Breve rest in ms. in both tenor and contratenor secundus.
4. $\underline{b}$ in ms .
5. Breve rest in ms.
6. Credo ex Missa Meditatio cordis (88)

Source: Stv, 87v-89r

1. Semibreve in ms.
2. a in ms.
3. The mensuration sign for the tenor occurs here, in the midst of the rest signs.
4. Semibreve in ms.
5. Semiminimae in ms.
6. c in ms .
7. This fermata and the following one lacking in ms.
8. Longa in ms.
9. Sanctus ex Missa Meditatio cordis (89)

Source: Stv, 89v-91r

1. Erroneously colored in ms.
2. $f$ in ms.
3. A minima on $a^{\prime}$ here in ms.
4. Dotted in ms.
5. Semibreve in ms.
6. Semiminima changed to minima in ms.
7. Minima in ms.
8. Dotted breve in ms.
```
9. Breve in ms.
10. g'-g' in ms.
11. Fermata in superius and tenor lacking in ms.
29. Agnus Dei ex Missa Meditatio cordis (90)
Source: Stv, 91v-92r
1. d in ms .
2. Semibreve \(f\) in ligature with preceding e and minima \(g\) in ms.
3. e in ms.
4. Minima in ms.
5. White semibreve in ligature with following colored semibreve in ms.
6. Longa in ms.
7. This and the following fermata, as well as the two corresponding ones in the contratenor secundus, lacking in ms.
```

30. Gloria ex Missa Sine nomine (79)

Source: Stv, 75v-77r

1. $f^{\prime}$ in ms.
2. $b^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. $b^{\prime}$ in ms.
4. fin ms.
5. $\underline{\varepsilon}$ in ms .
6. Credo ex Missa Sine nomine (80)

Source: Stv, 77v-79r

1. One breve rest too many in ms.
2. Minima in ms.
3. Minima rest here in ms.
4. $b^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. d-d' in ms.
6. Dotted in ms.
7. Gloria ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (99)

Source: Stv, 105v-107r

1. Originally dotted minima and semiminima in ms.; semiminima later changed to minima.
2. $e^{\prime \prime}$ in $m s$.
3. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms.
4. g' in ms.
5. No further text given for this part.
6. a in ms.
7. Colored breve in mb.
8. g'-f' in ms.
9. $e^{\prime}$ in ms .
10. First two notes of ligature $e^{\prime}-d^{\prime}$ in ms.
11. Originally a semibreve in ms; later erroneously changed to a minim.
12. Second and third notes of ligature c' ${ }^{\prime \prime}-a^{\prime}$ in ms.
13. a in ms.
14. b-d' in ms.
15. g' in ms.
16. $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in ms .
17. $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in ms .
18. Credo ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (100)

Source: Stv, 107v-109r

1. $f^{\prime}$ in $m s$.
2. $g^{\prime}$ in ms.
3. Breve in ms.
4. $g$ in ms.
5. Minima in ms.
6. Notated a third higher in ms.
7. Longa rests in both tenor and contratenor secundus.
8. Semibreve rest in ms.
9. Dot Lacking in ms.
10. 


11. $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ in ms.
34. Sanctus ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (101)

Source: Stv, 109v-110v

1. e-f in ms.
2. $\frac{\square+\infty}{\square \rightarrow+}$ in ms.
3. These three notes entered twice.
4. Semibreve in ms.
5. Breve rest in ms.
6. If in ms .
7. Two semibreves on a in ms.
8. g' in mb.
9. No further text given in ms.
10. bi in ms.
11. An erroneous change of clef from the third to the fourth line in ms.
12. Correct clef restored
13. The remaining notes of this part notated a third too low in ms.

## 35. Agnus ex Missa Hilf und gib rat (102)

Source: Stv, llr-112r

1. Only the incipit "Agnus" is given in each of the three sections.
2. Longa in ms .
3. b-flat' in ms.
4. e in ms.
5. Dotted in ms.
6. A ligature cum opposita proprietate with the pitches gl-a'-b-flat' here in the ms .
7. e'l-fi' in ms.
8. These five notes all notated a second too high in ms.
9. b-a-g in ms.
10. $d^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ in ms.; preceding note originally ef but corrected in ms. to read f.
11. O gloriosa Mater (103)

Sources: Stv, 112v-114r Tr89, 354v-356r

Remarks: The text 0 gloriosa Mater occurs only in Stv. It has been chosen for use in the transcription in preference to either of the two texts found in Tr89, Salve Regina and Gaude rosa speciosa, since only in Stv does the motet appear in conjunction with the Ordinary items with which it forms a Mass-motet cycle.

1. Designated as contratenor secundus in Tr 89.
2. This fifth part not in Tr89.
3. Incorrect clef in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$; must be read a third higher than notated.
4. Incorrect clef in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$; must be read a third higher than notated.
5. a' in Stv.
6. Dotted minima on $d^{\prime}$ and semiminima on é in Tr89.
7. Semibreve in Tr89.
8. Semibreve in Tr89.
9. No ligature in Tr89.
10. No ligature in Tr89.
11. This and the following note in ligature in Tr89.
12. 



14. No ligature or coloration in Tr89.
15. Semibreve rest in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
16. Breve on $d$ and two semibreve rests in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
17. g' in Stv.
18. Semibreve in Stv.
19. Ionga in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
20. d in Tr89.
21. Dotted in Tr89.
22. No dot in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
23. All three notes in ligature notated a second too high in Stv; no ligature in Tr89, where there is an erroneous punctum divisionis between the d' and c'.
24. d' in Stv.
25. b minima, without $c^{\prime}$ semiminima, in Tr89.
26. $e^{\prime}$ minima, without $f^{\prime}$ semiminima, in Th89.
27. No ligature in Tr89.
28. $e^{\prime}$ semibreve, with no semiminima on $\overline{\mathbb{C}^{\top}}$,in Stv.
29. $f^{\prime}$ in Stv.
30. $e^{\prime}$ minima, without $f^{\prime}$ semiminima, in Stv.
31. Semibreve on $d^{\prime}$ and minima on $c^{\prime}$ in Tr 89.
32. Semibreve rest and semibreve on $g^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
33. a in Stv.
34. Longa on $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Tr89.
35. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
36. Dotted minima on $a^{\prime}$ and semiminima on $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 039$.
37. An erroneous semibreve rest follows this note in Tr 89.
38. Imperfect breve and semibreve in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
39. Minima in Stv.
40. Accidental not in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
41. Accidental not in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.

43. Minima in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
44. Semibreve on d' in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
45. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
46. Superfluous minima on a here in Stv.
47.

48. Two breve rests too many in Stv.
49. a in Tr89.
50. Dot lacking in Tr89.

52. Lacking in Tr89.

54. Minima rest in Stv.
55. Dotted semibreve $f^{\prime}$ and minima $g^{\prime}$ in Tr89.
56. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
57. Fusae in Stv.
58. Two semiminimae on $e^{\prime \prime}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}$ in Tr89.
59. Dot lacking in Tr89.
60.

61. One minima instead of two semiminimae on $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ in Tr89.
62.

63. Mensuration sign is C 2 in Stv and $\not \subset$ in Tr89. One flat appears as a "key signature" in the three lower parts in Tr89.
64. Two semibreves in Tr89.
65. Semiminima and minima, both on $e^{\prime \prime}$, in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
66.

67.

68. These three notes in ligature in Tr89.
69. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
70. Minima in Stv.

72. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
73. Semibreve rest in Stv.
74. Longa in Tr 89.
75. Longa in Tr89.
76. Maxima and breve in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
77. No ligature in 'Ir89.

79. First instead of second note of ligature dotted in Tr89.

81.

83. Longa-breve in Stv.
84. Erroneously dotted in Tr89.
 in Tr89.
86. Dotted semibreve dand minima e in Tr89.
87. Lacking in Tr89.
88. No ligature in Tr89.
89.

90.
e in Stv.
91. f' in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
92. Ligature of three notes followed by one of two notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
93.

94. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$.
95. The $g^{\prime}$ is a minima in Stv.
96. No ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 89$; b' erroneously dotted in Tr89.

37. Kyrie ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (119)

Source: Stv, 132r-133r
Remarks: The use of the trope Cunctipotens genitor is called for by the manuscript but there is no satisfactory way in which it can be underlaid to the music. Therefore only the brief textual indications found in the manuscript have been given in the transcription.

1. The voice-part designations for the tenor and contratenor of Kyrie I are erroneously interchanged in the ms.
2. Semibreve in ms.
3. Minima on $b^{\prime}$ between the $a^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ in the ms.
4. Dotted in ms.
5. Mensuration sign should be 0 .
6. Breve rest in ms.
7. emi in ms.
8. e in ms.
9. Gloria ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (120)

Source: Stv, 133v_135r

1. $f^{\prime}$ in ms .
2. d in ms.
3. e in ms.
4. $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. e in ms.
6. e in ms.
7. c in $\mathrm{ms}^{2}$
8. Longa with fermata in ms.
9. Credo ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (122)

Source: Stv, 135v

1. $e^{\prime}$ in ms.
2. Sanctus ex Missa Veni creator Spiritus (123)

Source: Stv, 136r-136v

1. Originally d in ms; erroneously changed to $\underline{e}$ there.
2. $c^{\prime}$ in ms .
3. $c$ in nis.
4. dinms.
5. $g$ in ms.
6. $f$ in ms .
7. din ms.
8. Agnus Dei ex Missa Veni creator

Source: Stv, 136v-138r

1. $g$ and following a in ligature in ms.
2. c in ms.
3. Originally $f$ in ms.; changed there to read e.
4. $c^{\prime}$ in ms.
5. $g$ in ms.
6. Breve in ms.
7. f in ms.
8. An erroneous semibreve $c^{\prime \prime}$ occurs immediately after this note in the ms.
9. Semibreve with fermata in all three parts in ms.
10. Kyrie (58)

Source: Stv, 54v-55r

1. Fusa in ms.
2. Superius inscription: Kyrie ultimum.
3. Fusa in ms.
4. Lumen ad revelationem (117)

Source: Stv, 1312

1. Erased in ms.
2. a in ms.

44a. Lumen ad revelationem (121)

Source: Stv, 134 r

1. Semibreve in ms.

44b. Lumen ad revelationem (121)
Source: Stv, 134 r

45. Salve festa dies (246)

Source: Stv, 255v

1. The superius has the ext used at Easter, the tenor that which is sung at Christmas.
2. Pange lingua (287)

Source: Stv, 275r

1. Only the incipit of verse 2, Nobis natus (sic), is given at the superius; no incipits occur in the other voices.
2. Fusa in ms.
3. Fusa in ms.


47a. A solis ortus cardine (266)
Source: Stv, 264v-265r Tr 88 , $334 \mathrm{v}-335 \mathrm{r}$

1. Designated simply as Contratenor in Tr88.
2. Mensuration sign lacking in both Stv and Tr88; clef sign lacking in Stv.
3. Mensuration sign lacking in Stv.
4. Mensuration sign lacking in Stv.
5. All of verses $2,4,6$ and part of 8 underlaid in superius of Stv; only the incipit of verse 1 given in each part in Tr88.
6. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
7. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
8. Ligature of only four notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
9. $g$ in Stv.
10. d in Stv.
11. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
12. The notes of this and the following ligature written in one ligature in Tr88.
13. No ligature in Stv.
14. The note immediately preceded by a sharp in Tr88.
15. Rest lacking in Stv.
16. No ligature in Stv.
17. Originally notated asg in Stv but later changed to $f$.
18. Ligature of only three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
19. 


20. Ligature of only three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
21. $f$ in Stv.
22.

23. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in Tr88.

47b. A solis ortus cardine (266)

Source: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stv, } 264 v-265 r \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 88,334 v-335 r\end{aligned}$

1. Designated as Contratenor Primus in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
2. Mensuration sign lacking in both Stv and Tr88; clef sign lacking in Stv.
3. Mensuration sign lacking in Stv.
4. Mensuration sign lacking in Stv.
5. Mensuration sign lacking in Stv.
6. All of versec $2,4,6$ and part of 8 underlaid in superius of Stv; only the incipit of verse 1 given in each part in Tr88.
7. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
8. Erroneously dotted in Tr88.
9. The notes of this and the following ligature written as a four-note ligature in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
10. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
11. No ligature in Stv.
12. Written as ligatures of three and of two notes in Tr88.

13. This note immediately preceded by a sharp in tr 88.
14. $\frac{1}{4}$ in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.
15. Rest lacking in Stv.
16. No ligature in Stv.
17. Sic in both Stv and Tr88.
18. Ligature of only three notes in $\operatorname{Tr} 88$.


19. Nagnificat (322)

Source: Stv, 294v-296r
Per, $125 v-130 \mathrm{r}$ and $133 \mathrm{v}-134 \mathrm{r}$; $; ~$
Cecus.

- Only textual incipits are given in Per.

2. Hiff in Stv.
3. Imperfect breve and semibreve in Per.
4. Not in Stv.
5. d.d in Per.
6. Only two semibreve rests in Stv.
7. d.d in Per.
8. Semiminima $f$ and minima e in Stv.
9. No coloration in Per.

10. e in Stv.
11. d. in Per.
12. Semiminima in Stv.

13. No coloration in Per.
14. No ligature in Per.

15. No coloration in Per.
16. No coloration in Per.
17. Minima rest and minima on a in Per.
18. No coloration in Per.
19. No coloration in Per.
20. No ligature in Per.
21. No coloration in Per.
22. No coloration in Stv.
23. No coloration in Per.
24. b in Stv.
25. b in Stv.
26. in Per.
27. 


35. No coloration in Per.
36. No coloration in Stv.

38. Flat not in Stv.
39.

40.

41. Flat not in Stv.
42. No coloration in Per.
43.
44. In Stv the music for this verse in mistakenly labelled dum at the superius and entered after rather than before the music for verse 8 .
45. A key signature of one flat in this part in Per.
46. 旦 in Stv.
47. Semibreve $e^{\prime}$ in Per.
48. No coloration in Per.
49. No coloration in Per.




118. No flat in Stv.
119. Minima in Per.
120. No coloration in Per.
121. No coloration in Per.
122. No coloration in Per.
123.

124. No coloration in Per.
125. No coloration in Per.
126. No coloration in Per.
127. No coloration in Per.
128. No coloration in Per.
129. No coloration in Per.
130. In Per the contratenor added by a later hand; it has the mensuration 02 and an incorrect number of rests at the beginning.
131. No ligature in Per.
132. No flat in Stv.
133. No ligature in Per.
134. f' in Stv.
135. No ligature in Per.
136. No ligature in Per.
137. No ligature in Per.
138. No ligatures in Per.
139. No flat in Stv; no ligature in Per.
140. $O \not \subset$ in superius and tenor of Per; no mensuration sign in contratenor in Stv.
141. No ligature in Per.
142. $£$ in Stv.
143. No ligature in Per.
145.

146.



## 58. Textless (236)

Source: Stv, 247v; Thaurant

1. Semibreve in ms.
2. g in ms .
3. Bonum vinum (180)

Source: Stv, 197v
60. Fortune (240)

Source: Stv, 250r

1. A breve rest in all three parts in the ms.
2. $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ in ms .

## Alphabetic Index of Text Incipits by Category

## Mass Propers

## Introit

Cibavit.... 35, 47
De ventre.....2, 3
Ecce advenit.....20, 21, 42 (4)
Etenim sederunt..... 38
Gaudeamus.... 15, 17, 44, 145, 146
In medio..... 41
Laetabitur justus..... 11
Mihi autem nimis....8, 9
Nos autem.... 48
Nunc scio vere.... 4, 40
Os justi.....13, 14
Protexisti me..... 29
Puer natus est..... 18 (4), 19
Resurrexi..... 23 (textless), 24, 25 (3, 4), 26, 27

Rorate caeli..... 16 (4)
Salve sancta Parens.....1, 6, 7 (4), 36
Sapientiam..... 12
Scio cui credidi.....5, 39
Spiritus Domini....30, 31, 32, 33, 34
Statuit..... 10
Suscepimus.....22, 37, 49
Terribilis est.....28, 43, 45, 46

Alleluia
Dies sanctificatus.... 92
Dulcis Mater..... 93
Pascha nostrum (V. 2: Epulemur)..... 176
Vox exultationis.... 91

Sequence
Iauda Sion..... 179
Victimae paschali.....175, 277

Offertory
Recordare Virgo (trope: Ab hac familia) 142 (Touront, 4)

Communion
Pascha nostrum..... 178

## Mass Ordinaries

## Ayrie

Kyrie.... 50, 51, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, $64,66,67,68,73$

Kyrie Ayo visto 10 mappa mundi..... 94 (Cornago)

Kyrie Fons bonitatis....52, 62, 65, 71 (4)
Kyrie Magne Deus....57, 63, 72 (4), 74
Kyrie 0 rosa bella.... 136 (4)
Kyrie Sine nomine.... 56 (Standly), 75 (Touront), 108

Kirie Summum. ... 70
Kyrie Veni creator Spiritus.... 119
Kyrie Virginitatis amator.... 53

## Gloria

Gloria.... 106
Gloria Ayo visto lo mappa mundi.....95 (Cornago)
Gloria F-fa-ut.... 97
Gloria Hilf und gib rat....99 (Philipi, 4)
Gloria Meditatio cordis. . . . 87 (4)
Gloria 0 rosa bella.....137 (4)

Gloria Rozel im gorten. .... 110 (4)
Gloria Sine nomine....76 (Touront), 79, 104, 133 (Pullois), 144 (Standly)

Gloria Spiritus ot alme.... 69
Gloria Veni creator Spiritus..... 120
Gloria Veterem hominem..... 128 (4)

## Credo

Credo F-fa-ut..... 98
Credo Hile und gib rat..... 100 (Philipi, 4)
Credo Meditatio cordis.... 88 (4)
Credo 0 rosa bella.... 138 (4)
Credo Rozel im gorten.... 112 (4)
Credo Sine ncmine.... 77 (Touront), 80, 105, 134 (Pullois), 147 (Standly)

Credo Veni creator Spiritus..... 122
Credo Veterem hominem..... 130 (4)

## Sanctus

Sanctus....85, 113, 118, 127, 154 (flemmik), 155 (flemmik)

Sanctus De madame.... 82 (Vincenet)
Sanctus Hilf und gib rat.....101 (Philipi, 4)
Sanctus Meditatio cordis.... 89 (4)
Sanctus O rosa bella..... 139 (4)
Sanctus Rozel im gorten.... 114 (4)
Sanctus Sig sald und heil.... 84
Sanctus Sine nomine.... 78 (Touront), 86, 107, 135 (Fullois), 148 (Standly)

Sanctus Veni creator Spiritus..... 123
Sanctus Veterem hominem.... 131 (4)

## Agnus Dei

Agnue Dei..... 115 (Philipl Francib), 151 Standly ?), 152 (flemmik)

Agnus Dei Hilf und gib rat.....l20 (Philipi, 4)
Agnus Dei Meditatio cordis.... 90 (4)
Agnus Dei 0 rosa bella..... 140 (4)
Agnus Dei Rozel in gorten..... 116 (4)
Agnus Dei Sine nomine.... 81 (Touront), 109, 149 (Standly), 150 (Pullois, 2)

Agnue Dei Veni creator Spiritus.... 124
Agnus Dei Veterem hominem.... 132 (4)

## Office Hymn

A solis ortus cardine.....266, 269, 270
Assunt festa jubilea..... 253
Ave Katherina..... 289
Ave maris stella.... 311, 312, 315
Beata quoque agmina Soe Christe redemptor omnium / Conserva

Beatus auctor saeculi See A solis ortus cardine

Christe redemptor omnium / Conserva..... 305
Confessor Dei lucidus..... 254
Conscendit jubilans See Festum nunc celebre
Costi regis nata See Ave Katherina
Cui luns sol et omnia See Quem terra pontus aethera

Cujus magnifica See Gaude visceribus
Cujus sacrata viscera See Assunt festa jubilea

Deus tuorum militum..... 307
Dies venit victoriae.... 263
Emerge dulcis filia See 0 sancta mundi Domina

Exsultet caelum laudibus.....294 (Ct.II: Dufay), 308, 313

Festum nunc celebre....275, 276, 277, 279
Gaude visceribus..... 300
Haec Deum caeli See Quod chorus vatum
Hi sunt quos retinens See Sanctorum meritis
Hic nempe mundi gaudia See Deus tuorum militum

Iste confessor.... 303
Jesu corona Virginum / Quem..... 301
Nam ipsius suffragia See Confessor Dei lucidus

Nobis natus nobis datus See Pange lingua gloriosi

| Non ex virili semine See Veni redemptor gentium | Ascendo ad patrem.... 153 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Ave gemma claritatib.... 208 |
| Nova veniens e caelo See Urbs beata Jerusslem | Ave Maria..... 215 (2) |
| Nuntius celso See Ut quaeant laxis | Ave virgo gloriosa....182 (Touront) |
| 0 lux beata Mrinitas.... 285, 310 | Ave virgo speciosa.... 199 (Barbingant, 4) |
| O sancta mundi Domina.....255, 256, 257 (textless) | Beati qui esuriunt.... 237 |
|  | Bonum vinum.... 180 |
| Pange lingua gloriosi.....287, 288 (Touront) 290, 291, 292, 293; also see 274 | Chorus iste.... 233 (textless, Touront) |
| Quem terra pontus aethera....259, 260, 261, 262, 316 | Congratulamini mihi.... 221 (Brolo) |
|  | Da pacem. . . 252 |
| Qui dum perfide moritus See Dies venit victorize | Dies est laetitiae.... 159 |
| Qui paraclitus diceris See Veni creator Spiritus | Domine Deus noster.... 238 |
|  | Flos de spina.... 200 (Pullois, 4) |
| Qui pascis inter lilia See Jesu corona virginum / Quem | Gaude flore virginali..... 214 (4) |
| Qui pius prudens See Iste confessor | ```Gloria laus et honor....l25 (2), 295, 299, 320 (2)``` |
| Qui scandens superos See Festum nunc celebre | Haec est dies....161 |
| Quod chorus vatum.... 264 |  |
| meritis.... | Imperatrix orphanorum.... 194 (4) |
|  | Imperatrix virgo gloriosa.... 185 |
| Sumens illud Ave Sae Ave maris stella | In natali Domini.... 183 |
| Te mane laudem carmine See 0 Iux beata Trinitas | Insignis triumphator..... 184 |
| Tu tuo laetos See Vita sanctorum | Israel es tu rex See Gloria laus et honor |
| Orbs beata Jerusalem.... 304 | Jesus Christus nostra salus..... 126 |
| Ot quaeant laxis....296, 298 | Jube Domine: Consolamini..... 156 |
| ```Veni creator Spiritus.... 274, 278, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 286, 306``` | Jube Domine: Primo tempore..... 158 |
|  | Jube Domine: Ut Christum natum.... 157 |
|  | Lumen ad revelationem.....ll7 (4), 121 ( 3,4 ) |
| Vita sanctorum....271, 272, 273 |  |
|  | Magistralis nimphula....l8l |
| Vos saecli justi judices See Exsultet caelum laudibus | Magnificat.....317, 318, 319 (4), 320 (4), 321 (4), 322 (Cecus), 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329 (4), 330 |
| Miscollaneous Latin Iiturgical Items | Maria mater gratiae.... 250 (2) |
| Adest dies celebric...In diebus illis.... 213 (4) | Martinus nam pusillus.... 239 (4) |
| Alle Dei Filius--Triumphat.... 174 | Natum lam collaudemus.... 216 |
| Alma mater salvatoris.... 222 | īatum infantulum collaudamus.... 211 |
| Alma redemptoris.... 197 | Nobis instat carminis.... 217 |
| Anima mea liquefacta est....190, 203 | Nova instant cantica.... 234 (Touront) |

Novalia instant cristicolis.....218
Nunc instant cantica..... 235
O Deus in quantia aviis..... 205 (4)
0 florens rosa.... 188 (Touront), 189 (Frye), 242 (Frye)

O generosa nata David..... 186 (Touront)
O gloriosa mater--Hilf und gib rat..... 103 (5)
O gloriosa regina mundi..... 163 (Touront)
0 intemerata et in aeternum.... 204 (4)

- lumen ecclesiae.... 187

0 pastor aeterne.... 207 (Pullois)
O pater aeterne....141 (4)
0 sacrum convivium..... 213
0 sacrum mysterium..... 162
O sapientia..... 160
O speciosa facta es.....195; z1so see 244
Ornatam monilibus.... 220
Procedentem sponsuin. ....129, 297
Quall pulchra e8.....192, 202
Regina caeli..... 168 (四tty), 169 (Batty), 170, 171 (Phi), 249 (trope: Alle Domine nate)

Salve festa dies....83, 143, 165 (fragment), 167 (4), 173, 246, 248 (4)

Salve regina..... 193

Sancta Maria tu dulcedo..... 212
Specios facta es....244; also see 195
Surge amica mea..... 191
Videte videte.... 241
Vidi aquam.....166, 245
Viminibus cinge.... 243
Vox dilecti mei.....198, 201 (4?)

|  | Vernacular Texts |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Also heilig ist der tag.... 172 |
|  | Fortune.... 240 |
|  | Kain mier ein trost....96 |
|  | Meyn hrcz freyden ist.... 196 |
|  | O rosa bella.... 209 |
|  | Illegible and Textless Items |
|  | Illegible.... 206 |
|  | Textless..... 23 ( $=$ Resurrexi), 111 (1), 164, 210, 219, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232 (Frye, 2), 233 (Chorus iste, Touront), 236 (Touront), 257 ( $=0$ sancta mundi Domina), 314 (=Sanctorum meritis) |

Index of Composers

Batty.....168, 169 (4)
Barbingant.... 199 (4)
Brolo, Bartolomeo.... 221
Cecus ( $=$ Touront? ) .... 322
Cornago, Johannes.....94, 95
Dufay, Guillaume.....294 (Ct.II only, 4)
Flemik..... 152 (4), 154 (4), 155 (4)
Frye, Walter.....189, 232 (2), 242

Philipi, Philipi Francis, Phi.... 99 (4), 100 (4), 101 (4), 102 (4), 103 (5), 115, 171

Pullois, Johannes....133, 134, 135, 150 (2), 200 (4), 207

Standly.....56, 144, 147, 148, 149, 151 ( 3 )
Touront, Johannes....75, 76, 77, 78, 81, $142(4), 163,182,186,188,233,234$, $236,288(4), 322$ ( $=$ Cecus? )

Vincenet, Johannes..... 82

|  | Manuecripts |
| :---: | :---: |
| B. 80 | Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Archivio di San Pietro, Ms. B. 80. |
| Bux | Munich, Bayerische Staetsbibliothek, Cim. $352^{\text {b }}$ (Mus. Ms. 3725); Buxheim organ book. |
| Cas | Rome, Biblioteca Casanatense, Cod. 2856. |
| CS15 | Vatican City, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Cappella Sistina, Cod. 15. |
| Esc | E1. Escorial, Biblioteca del Monasterio, Ms. IV.a. 24. |
| FMagll2bis | Florence, Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale, Cod. Magliab. XIX, 112bia. |
| FPan | Florence, Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale, Cod. Panciat. 27. |
| Fran | Hradec Králové, Museum, Ms. II.A.6; Johannes Franus Cantionale. |
| Glog | Berlin, Öffentliche Wissenschaftliche Bibliothek, Mus. Ms. 40098 (2.98); Glogau song book. |
| Mi2269 | Milan, Fabbrica del Duomo, Cod. 2269 (Librone I). |
| Mod456 | Modena, Biblioteca Estense, Cod. Lat. 456. |
| Mu3154 | Munich, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Mus. Ms. 31.54. |
| $0 \times 215$ | Oxiora, Dodieian Library, Canonici Misc. 213. |
| P676 | Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Dép. de la Musique, Réserve Vm6 676. |
| Per | Perugia, Biblioteca Comunale, Cod. 431 (G.20). |
| Pix | Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, fonds frangais 15123; Ms. Pixérécourt. |
| Prag | Státni a Universitni Knihovna, Ms. VI C 20 a. |
| Q 16 | Bologna, Biblioteca del Conservatorio (Liceo musicale), Cod. Q 16. |
| Ricc2 | Florence, Biblioteca Riccardiana, Cod. 2356. |
| Sched | Munich, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Cim. $315^{\text {a }}$ (Mus. Ms. 3232) ; Schedel song book. |
| Sev | Seville, Biblioteca Colombina, Cod. 5-I-43. |
| Spec | Hradec Králové, Museum, Ms. II.A.7; Codex Speciálník. |
| Tr87-91 | Trent, Castello del Buon Consiglio, Mss. 87-91. |
| Tr93 | Trent, Biblioteca Capitolare, Ms. 93. |
| Ver757 | Verona, Biblioteca Capitolare, Cod. DCCLVII. |

## Modern Publicetions

AH

| DIOe | Depkmaler der Tonkunst in Osterreich. Vols. 1-115- 1894-1966- |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frye | Walter Frye. Collected Works. Edited by Sylvia W. Kenney. Corpus Mensurabilis Musicae, 19. 1960. |
| GR | Graduale Romanum. Tournai, 1945. |
| Kirsch | Kirsch, Winfried. Die Quellen der mehrstimmigen Magnificat- und Te Deum-Vertonungen bis zur Mitte des 16. Jahrhunderts. Tutzing, 1966. |
| MBE | Melnicki, Margareta. Das einstinmige Kyrie des lateinischen Mittelalters. Forschungsbeitrage zur Musikwissenschaft, Band I. Regensburg, 1954. |
| MM | ```Stäblein, Bruno, ed. Monumenta Monodica Medii Aevi. Vol. I: Hymnen (I). Kassel, 1956.``` |
| MPL I-II | Feininger, Laurence, ed. Monumenta polyphonica Liturgicae Sanctae Ecclesiae Romanae. Series I: Ordinarium Missee. II. Rome, 1947. |
| MPL II-I | Feininger, Laurence, ed. Monumenta polyphonica Liturgicae Sanctae Ecclesiae Romanae. Series II: Proprium Missae. I. Rome, 1947. |
| PlamB | Plamenac, Dragan. "Browsing Through a Little-Known Manuscript." Journal of the American Musicological Society, XIII (1960), 102-11. |
| PlamG | "German Polyphonic Lieder of the 15* Century in a Little-Known Manubcript." Bericht uber den siebenten internationalen musikwissenschaftlichen Kongress, Köln, 1958. (Kassel, 1959), pp. 214-15. |
| PM | Processionale Monasticum. Solesmes, 1893. |
| Pallois | Johannis Pullois Opera Omnia. Edited by Petrus Gülke. Corpus Mensurabilis Musicae, |
| RH | Chevalier, Ulysse. Repertorium Hymnologicum. 4 vols. Louvain, 1897. |
| Ringmann | Ringmann, Heribert, and Joseph Klapper, ed. Das Glogauer Liederbuch. 2 vols. Das Frbe deutscher Musik, Bände 4 und 8. Kassel, 1954. |
| Snow | Snow, Robert J. "The Mass-motet Cycle: A Mid-Fifteenth-Century Experiment." Musicological Esbays in Honor of Dragan Plamenac. Edited by Gustave Reese and Robert J. Snow. Pittsburgh, 1968. |
| Sparks | Sparks, Edgar H. Cantus Firmus in Mass and Motet. 1420 1520. Berkely and Los Angeles, 1963. |
| TH | Thannabaur, Peter Josef. Das einstimmige Sanctus der römischem Messe in der Handschriftlichen Überlieferung des 21. bis 16. Jahrhunderts. Erlanger Arbeiten $2 u r$ Musikwissenschaft, Band I. Munich, 1962. |
| Wallner | Wallner, Bertha Antonia, ed. Das Buxheimer Orgelbuch. 3 vols. Das Erbe deutscher Musik, Bände 37-39. Kassel, 1958-59. |


|  |  | Abbreviations |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ant. | Antiphon | Frag. | Fragment |
| Bened. | Benedicamus Domino | Int. | Introit |
| Cerem. | Ceremonial | Magn. | Magnificat |
| Com. | Communion | Proc. | Processional |


|  | INCIPITS <br> 1. Anonymous <br> Ir (1) <br> (C) ontratenor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\bar{\infty}} \end{aligned}$ |  | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
|  |  | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR (75) B.K.V. <br> No verse or doxology |
|  | 2. Anonymous $18-2 r \quad(2-3)$ | Int. | =Stv, 2v-3r (3) | Cf. and text: GR 523 <br> St. John the Baptist <br> No doxology <br> A corrupt version of the following entry |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{D} \\ & \frac{D}{3} \\ & \bar{W} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 3. Anonymous $2 \nabla-3 r \quad(4-5)$ <br> (c)ontratenor | Int. | =Stv, $18-2 \mathrm{r}$ (2) | Cf. and text: GR 523 St. John the Baptist No doxology |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Anonymous $3 v-4 r \quad(6-7)$ <br> (C) ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 532 <br> Sts. Peter and Paul <br> No doxology |
| 5. Anonymous <br> 4 r (7) <br> (C) ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 417 <br> St. Paul <br> No doxology |
| 6. Anonymous $4 \nabla-5 r \quad(8-9)$ <br> (S) alve <br> Sancta parens <br> (T)enor Sancta <br> (c)ontratenor Sancta | Int. | Tr89, 185v-186r (634) | Cf. and text: $G R$ (75) <br> B.M.V. <br> Verse: Sentiant omnes tuum juvamen * <br> Quicumque celebrant tuam comemoraEronem. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Anonymous <br> $5 \mathrm{r}-6 \mathrm{r}, 5 \mathrm{r}$ (10-11, 9) | Int. | Tr 88 , 357v-358r (469) | Cf. and text: $G R$ (75) B.M.V. <br> Verse: As in No. 6 Doxology on $5 \mathbf{r}$ |
| 8. Anonymous <br> 60 (12) | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 88,128 v-129 r(275) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 90,35 v-36 r(821) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,53 v-54 r(1632) \end{aligned}$ | Cf. and text: GR 392 <br> Apostiles <br> No verse or doxology <br> Publ.: MPL II-I, 31-32. |
| 9. Anonymous <br> 7 r (13) <br> (M)ichi autem ni- wis <br> Honorati <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 392 Apostles No doxology |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. Anonymous <br> 13r (25) <br> (C)ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 582 <br> Assumption <br> No verse or doxology |
| 16. Anonymous <br> 138-14r (26-27) <br> (C)ontratenor primus <br> (C)ontratenor secundus | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 21 <br> Fourth Sundoy of Advent, Marian votive Masses from First Sunday of Advent to Feast of Presentation; frequently used on all Sundays of Advent in fifteenth century. <br> No verse or doxology |
| 14v-15r (28-29) : Ruled, no music |  |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Anonymous $15 v-16 r \quad(30-31)$ <br> (C) ontratenor | Int. | $=\text { Stv, } 166 v-167 r(146)$ <br> Mu3154, liv; a 2, integer valor, tempue perfectum | Cf. and text: GR 582 <br> Acsumption <br> Verse: Excaltata es ancta dei genitrix, Super choros angelorum ad celestia regna. <br> No doxology |
| 18. Anonymous <br> 16v-17r (32-33) | Int. | Tr88, 266-267r (405) | Cf. and text: $G R 33$ Third Mass of Christmas No verse or doxology |
| 19. Anonymous <br> 17v (34) <br> (P) uer Natus est nobis <br> (T) enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 33 Third Mass of Christmas No doxology |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18r (35): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 20. Anonyrous <br> 28v-19r (36-37) <br> (E)cce <br> advenit <br> (C)ontratenor huius <br> (T)enor advenit | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 57 <br> Epiphany <br> No doxology |
| 21. Anonymous <br> 19r (37) <br> (C)ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 57 Epiphany |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. Anonymous 19v-20r (38-39) <br> (c)ontratenor Sequitur | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 432 Purification |
| 23. Anonymous $20 \nabla-21 r \quad(40-42)$ <br> (c)ontratenor p. | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 240 Easter <br> No verse |
| 24. Anonymous $21 \nabla-22 r \quad(42-43)$ <br> (C)ontratenor sequitur | Int. |  | Cf. and text: Gr 240 Easter <br> No doxology |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. Anonymous $22 \mathrm{r}-23 \mathrm{r} \quad(44-45)$ | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 240 <br> Easter <br> Verse is a 4 <br> Inscription at conclusion of contratenor of verse: Sicut erat ffaux |
| 26. Anonymous <br> 230 (46) <br> (R)esurrexi <br> (C) ontratenor sequitur | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tr } 90,11 v-12 \mathrm{r}(794) \\ & \text { Tr } 93,20 v-21 r(1603) \end{aligned}$ | Cf. and text: GR 240 <br> Easter <br> Verse and doxology, a 2 , on 22r, staves 4-7. |
| 27. Anonymous <br> 24 (47) <br> (C)ontratenor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 240 <br> Easter <br> Verse on 22r, btaves 3, 8 and 9. No doxology |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 248 (48): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 28. Anonymous <br> 25r (49) <br> (T)erribilis eat <br> Lacus iste <br> (T)enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 90,34 v-35 \mathrm{r}(820) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 90,58 \mathrm{r}(847) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,51 v-52 \mathrm{r}(1631) \end{aligned}$ | Cf. and text: GR (71) Dedication of a church No doxology |
| 25v-26r (50-51): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 29. Anonymous <br> 26v-27r (52-53) | Int. | $\operatorname{Tr} 88,155 \mathrm{v}-156 \mathrm{r}(301)$ | Cf. and text: GR (15) Martyr, Paachaltide |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32. Anonymous $30 \mathrm{v}-31 \mathrm{r} \quad(60-61)$ | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 292 <br> Pentecost <br> Verse: Confirma hoc deus quad operatus es in nobis A templo sancto tuo quod est in Jerusalem. No doxology |
| 33. Anonymous $32 v-32 r \quad(62-63)$ <br> (C)ontratenor domini | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 292 <br> Pentecost <br> Verse: As in No. 32 |
| 34. Anonymous $32 v-33 r \quad(64-65)$ <br> (C)ontratenor Replevit | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 292 <br> Pentecost <br> Verse: As in No. 32 <br> No doxology <br> A partially erased textual incipit, Benedicta sit Sancta trinitas, is Iegible beneath the words Spiritus Domini. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33v-34r (66-67): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 35. Anonymous <br> 34v-35r (68-69) | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 90,30 v-31 r(815) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,47 v-48 \mathrm{r} \end{aligned}(1626) .$ | Cf. and text: GR 313 <br> Corpus Christi <br> Tenor and contratenor parts must be read an octave lower than notated. |
| 35v-36r (70-71): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 36. Anonymous <br> 36v (72) | Int. | $=$ Str, 40 v (42), a 4, Ecce advenit. <br> Tr89, 242v-243r (674), a 4; tempus imperfectum diminutum. $\text { Tr91, 215v-216r (1337), a } 4$ | Cf. and text: GR (75) <br> Verse: As in No. 6 <br> No doxology <br> B.M.V. <br> The four-part version in the Trent manuscripts seems to be the original form and Stv42 a contrafactum. <br> Publ.: Sparks, p. 192, a 4, beginning only; see No. 42. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37. Anonynous <br> 37 r (73) | Int. |  | G1. and text: GR 432 <br> Purification <br> No verse or doxology |
| 38. Anonymous $37 v-38 r \quad(74-75)$ <br> (E)tonim se-de- runt Principes <br> (T)enor | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 36 <br> St. Stephen <br> No verse or doxology |
|  | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 417 <br> St. Paul <br> No verat or doxology; verse given here belongs with No. 40. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40. Anonymous <br> 39r(77) <br> (C) ontratenor <br> Vere | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 532 <br> Sts. Peter and Paul <br> Verse, erroneously given with No. 39: <br> Et Petrus * Ad se reversus dixit. <br> No doxology <br> The presence of the word "Vere" at the superius and contratenor is an error because the polyphonic portion begins at "Quia." |
| 41. Anonymous $39 v-40 r(78-79)$ | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR (38) <br> Doctor <br> No verse or doxology |
| 42. Anonymous <br> 40v (80) <br> (C)ontratenor altus <br> (c)ontratenor Bassus | Int. | $=$ Stv, 36v, (36), a 3, Salve sancta Parens. <br> Tr89, 242v-243r (674), Salve sancta Parens; tempus imperfectum diminutum. $\text { Tr } 91,215 v-216 \mathrm{r} \text { (1337), Salve }$ sancta Parens. | Cf. and text: GR 57 <br> Epiphany <br> No verse or doxology <br> A contrafactum of the four-part version in the Trent manuscripts. <br> Publ.: see No. 36. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43. Anonymous $40 v-41 r \quad(80-81)$ <br> (T)erribilis est <br> Locus iste <br> (T)enor <br> (c)ontratenor primus | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR (71) Dedication of a church No doxology |
| 41v-42r (82-83): Blank |  |  |  |
| 44. Anonymous $42 v-43 r \quad(84-85)$ <br> (T)enor Gaudeamus | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 582 <br> Virgin (not Assumption) <br> No doxology |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR (71) Dedication of a church No doxology |
|  | Int。 |  | Cf. and text: GR (71) Dedication of a church No verse or doxology |
| 47. Anonymous <br> $44 v-45 r \quad(88-89)$ <br> (c)ibavit <br> (C)iba- vit e - 08 ex a-di- pe <br> (C)ontratenor <br> Cibavit | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 313 Corpus Christi |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. |  | Cf. and text: $\operatorname{GR} 490$ Holy Cross <br> No doxology |
| 49. Anonymous <br> 46r (91a) De- us mi - sericor - di- am | Int. |  | Cf. and text: GR 432 Purification <br> No verse or doxology |
|  | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 126 |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51. Anonymous $47 \mathrm{r}-48 \mathrm{r} \quad(92-94)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 39 ( $=$ GR 1, $\mathbf{4 *}^{*}$ |
| 52. Anonymous $48 v-49 r \quad(95-96)$ <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie | Tr89, 211v-212r (649) | Cf.: MEL 48 ( $=$ GR II, 8*) <br> Trope: AR, 47, 5; the text of a second trope, Sacerdos summe, $\mathrm{AH}, 47$, 101, is given at the conclusion of the tenor. <br> Publ.: Sparks, p. 174; beginning only. |
| 53. Anonymous $49 v-50 r \quad(97-98)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Kyrie | Fran, 26v-27r; black notation, integer valor. <br> Spec, pp. 428-429; black notation, integer valor; Fons bonitatis and Virginitatis amator. | Cf.: MEL 48 ( $=$ GR II, 8*) Trope: AH, 47, 9 |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50v-5lr (99-100): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 54. Anonymous <br> 51v-52r (101.-102) <br> (C)ontratenor primi kyrie | Kyrie |  | $\text { Cf.: MEL } 18 \text { (=GR IV, 15*) }$ <br> a 3 only; the part designated contratenor secundus primi kyrie is the contra tenor part of an alternate setting of the first Kyrie. |
| 55. Anonymous <br> 52v (103) <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 171 ( $=$ GR IX, 32*) |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56. Standly $53 r-53 \nabla \quad(104-105)$ <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie |  | Probably constitutes a cycle with nos. 144 (Gloria), 147 (Gredo), 148 (Sanctus) and 149 (Agnus), also by Standily. |
| 57. Anonymous $53 v-54 r \quad(105-106)$ <br> (K)yrie magne deus <br> (T)enor Kyrie <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie primi | Kyrie |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MEL } 78 \text { ( }=G R \text { V, 18*) } \\ & \text { Trope: AH, } 47,99 \end{aligned}$ |
| 58. Anonymous <br> 54v-55r (107-109) <br> (C) ontratenor Kyrie | Kyrie |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59. Anonymous <br> 56r (110) <br> (C) ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie | Mu3154, 13 r Tr90, 74v (868) | Cf.: MEL 39 (=GR I, 4*) |
| 60. Anonymous <br> 560 (111) <br> (K)yrie <br> (T)enor kyrie | Kyrie |  | Cf. : MEL 111 |
| 61. Anonymous <br> 57 r (112) <br> (T)enor kyrie | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 39 (=aR I, 4*) |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62. Anonymous <br> 57v-58r (113-114) <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MEL } 48 \text { ( }=\text { GR II, } 8^{*} \text { ) } \\ & \text { Trope: AH, } 47,5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 63. Anonymous <br> 58v-59r (115-116) <br> (C)ontratenor kyrie | Kyrie |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MEL } 78 \text { ( }=G R \mathrm{~V}, 1^{*} \text { ) } \\ & \text { Trope: AB, } 47,99 \end{aligned}$ |
| 64. Anonymous <br> 59v (117) <br> (K)yrie | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEEL 18 (=ar IV, 15*) |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65. Anonymous $59 v-60 r \quad(117-118)$ <br> (K)yrie fons bonitatis | Kyrie |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MEL } 48 \text { ( }=\text { GR II, } 8^{*} \text { ) } \\ & \text { Trope: AH, } 47,5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Kyrie | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Stv, } 64 v(70) \\ & \text { Tr } 90,94 v-95 r(893) \end{aligned}$ | Cf.: MEL 18 ( $=$ GR IV, 15*) <br> The Kyrie ultimum found in Stv70 and $\operatorname{Tr} 90^{\text {does }}$ not occur here. |
|  | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 18 (=GR IV, 15*) |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71. Anonymous <br> 64v-66r (127-130) | Kyrie |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MEL } 48 \text { ( }=\text { GR II, } 8 * \text { ) } \\ & \text { Trope: AH, } 47,5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 72. Anonymous $66 \mathrm{v}-67 \mathrm{r}(131-132)$ | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 78 ( $=$ GR V, 18*) Trope: AH, 47, 99 |
| 73. Anonymous <br> 67v (133) <br> Contratenor Kyrie | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 18 (=GR IV, 15*) |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74. Anonymous $67 \mathrm{v}-68 \mathrm{r}(133-134)$ | Kyrie |  | Cf.: MEL 78 (=GR V, 18*) <br> Trope: AH, 47, 99 <br> Kyrie $I$ is to be repeated after the Christe as Kyrie II; this is indicated by the double text in the Kyrie section. |
| 75. Tauranth $68^{\text {bis }_{r-6}} 69 r(136-138)$ <br> Contratenor | Kyrie |  | Composer's name inscribed at the beginning of the superius. <br> Forms a complete Ordinary cycle wich Nos. 76-78 and 81. |
| 76. Anonymous ( $=$ Tauranth) <br> $69 \mathrm{v}-71 \mathrm{r}$ (139-142) | Gloria | Tr89, 13v-15r (516) | Forms a complete Ordinary cycle with Nos. 75, 77, 78 and 81. |




| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83. Anonymous <br> 81r (162) | Proc. <br> Hymn | =Stv, 191r (173) | Cf.: MM, I, 1008 <br> Text: AH, 50, p. 79if. <br> Holy Saturday--Easter <br> Refrain only; superius probably must be sung an octave higher than notated. |
| 84. Anonymous $81 v-82 r(163-164)$ | Sanctus | Tr91, 221v-223r (1341); a 4 | Cf.: Sig sald und heil. See Sched, 122v-123r; also see Glog, 134--146-150 (119), a quodlibet in the tenor of which is quoted the beginning of this song. |
| 85. Anonymous <br> 82v-84r (165-168) <br> (S)anctus <br> (T)enor Sanctus | Sanctus |  | Cf.: THAN 32var, p. 118 (variant of GR XVII, 56*) |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89. Anonymous <br> 89v-91r (179-182) | Sanctus |  | See 87 |
| 90. Anonymous | Agnus |  | See 87 |
| 91. Anonymous <br> 92v-93r (185-186) <br> Verse: Vox exultationis <br> (C)ontratenor | Alleluia |  | Cf. and text: Vienna, Nationalbibliothek, Ms. 15501, fol. 113 r . <br> No longer used; formerly for both all Saints and Corpus Christi. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92. Anonymous $93 v-94 r(187-188)$ <br> Verse: Dies sanctificatus <br> (C)ontratenor Alleluia | Alleluia |  | Cf. and text: GR, 34 Third Mass of Christmas |
| 93. Anonymous <br> 94v-95r (189-190) <br> Verse: Dulcis mater <br> (c)ontratenor alleluia | Alleluia | Tr88, 339r (454) | Cf. and text: contrafactum of Dulce lignum, GR 491. <br> No longer used; formerly for B. M. V. Publ.: MPL II-I, 192-93. |
| 94. Anonymous (=Cornago) $95 v-96 r(191-192)$ <br> (C)ontratenor Kyrie | Kyrie | Tr88, 276v-277r (411); Frater Johannes de Cornago | Complete Ordinary cycle preserved in Tr88. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95. Anonymous (=Cornago) <br> $96 \mathrm{v}-98 \mathrm{r}$ (193-196) <br> (E) $t$ in terra pax <br> (T)enor <br> (c)ontratenor Et in terra | Gloria | Tr88, 277v-279r (412) | See No. 94. |
| 98v-99r (197-198): Ruled, no music |  |  | These and the following four or five pages probably were originally intended to contain the Credo, Sanctus and Agnus Dei of the Cornago Mass, the Kyrie and Gloria of which appear on the preceding six pages. |
| 96. Anonymous $99 v-100 r(199-200)$ <br> (c)ontratenor | Lied | 1 | Facsimile: Plamg, Pl. 1. |
| 100v-101r (201-202): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99. Philipi <br> 105v-107r (211-214) <br> (C) ontratenor <br> (C)ontratenor secundus | Gloria |  | Cf.: Hilf und gib rat, a German song, the beginning of which is quoted in a quodlibet in the Glogau song book, No. 119 of Ringmann, Inventory. <br> Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 100102; also see No. 103. |
| 100. Anonymous (=Philipi) $107 v-109 r(215-218)$ | Credo |  | $\text { See No. } 99 .$ |
| ```101. Anonymous (=Philipi) 109v-110v (219-221)``` (S)anctus <br> (T)enor | Sanctus |  | See No. 99. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104. Anonymous $114 \mathrm{v}-115 \mathrm{r}(229-230)$ <br> (c)ontratenor | Gloria | Tr 89 , 163v-164v (613) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 108, 105, 107 and 109. |
| 105. Anonymous <br> 115v-116r (231-232) <br> (C)ontratenor patrem | Credo | Spec, pp. 168-170 | Designated Patrem ewosslycke in original index of Spec. <br> See No. 104 |
| 106. Anonymous $116 v-117 \mathrm{r}(233-234)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Gloria |  | The contratenor is incomplete. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107. Anonymous $117 \mathrm{v}-118 \mathrm{r}\left(235-235^{\mathrm{bis}}\right)$ <br> (S) anctus <br> (T)enor Sanctus | Sanctus |  | The contratenor secundus terminates at the entry of the tenor. <br> See No. 104. |
| 108. Anonymous <br> 118v (236) <br> (C)ontratenor Kyrie | Kyrie | Tr89, 162v-163r (612) | See No. 104. |
| 109. Anonymous <br> 119r (237) <br> Contratenor | Agnus |  | The tenor material common to this and the other movements of the cycle, Nos. 108, 104, 105 and 107, is preceded here by free material. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119v-121r (238-241): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 110. Anonymous | Gloria | Tr88, 295v-297r (423) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 112, 114 and 116. <br> Tenor inscription: Officium Rozel im gortn andersch franszosel. <br> Sections notated in incorrect order. |
| 111. Anonymous $123 r \text { (245) }$ | Textless Contra: tenor |  |  |
| 112. Anonymous: | Credo | Tr88, 297v-299r (424) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 110, 114 and 216. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113. Anonymous <br> 127r (252) | Sanctus |  | Cf.: tH 32var, p. 118 (variant of GR XVII, 56*). |
|  | Sanctus | Tr88, 299v-301r (425) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 110, 112 and 116. |
| 115. Philipi Francis <br> 130r (258) <br> Agnus dei | Agnus |  | Cf.: GR XVII, 57* <br> The composer's name is inscribed at the beginning of what here is the third invocation but which should probably be the first. <br> Probably paired uith Sanctug No. 118. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116. Anonymous $130 \mathrm{v}-131 \mathrm{r}(259-260)$ | Agnus | Tr88, 302v-304r (427) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 110, 112 and 114. <br> Only the third invocation appears in Stv |
| 117. Anonymous <br> 131r (260) | Cerem. Antiphon |  | Cf. and text: GR, 428. Purification <br> No verse |
| 118. Anonymous <br> 131v (261) <br> Sanctus | Sanctus |  | Cf.: TH 32var, p. 118 (variant of GR XVII, 56*). <br> Only the incipit of the chant tenor notated. <br> Incipits of the superius and tenor of Agnus Dei No. 115 occur at the conclusion of the Sanctus, suggesting that these two items were paired. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119. Anonymous $132 r-133 r(262-264)$ | Kyrie |  | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 120 and 122-124. <br> Cf.: Veni creator Spiritus, either in its original monophonic form (MM, I, 17) or in an unidentified polyphonic setting; the latter seems more probable. <br> Tenor and contratenor designations interchanged. |
| 120. Anonymous <br> $133 \mathrm{v}-135 \mathrm{r}$ (265-268) | Gloria |  | Forms and Ordinary cycle with Nos. 119 and 122-124. |
| 121. Anonymous <br> 134r (266) <br> (L)umen ad revelationem <br> (C)ontratenor altus <br> (C)Ontratenor ad placitum bassus | Cerem. Antiphon |  | Cf. and text: GR, 428. <br> Purification <br> No verse <br> The contratenor bassus is corrupt at the final cadence, making it uncertain as to whether it was intended as an optional fourth part or an alternative for the contratenor altus; the former seems more probable. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122. Anonymous <br> 135v (269) | Credo |  | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 119, 120 and 123. <br> Incomplete; two (?) folios removed before foliation entered. |
| 123. Anonymous <br> 136r-136v (270-271) | Sanctus |  | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 119, 120, 122 and 124. <br> Beginning missing. |
| 124. Anonymous <br> 136v-138r (271-274) <br> Contratenor Agnus primum | Agnus $1$ |  | Forme an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 119, 120, 122 and 123. <br> Sections out of order. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128. Anonymous <br> 140v-142r (279-282) | Gloria | Tr88, 1v-3r (199) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 130132. <br> Cf.: Antiphon formerly used on the Octave of the Epiphany. <br> Publ.: MPL I-II, No. 2, 7-11. |
| 129. Anonymous <br> 142r (282) <br> (P)rocedentem sponsum <br> (T)enor <br> (c) ontratenor | Bened. Trope | - | Textual incipit only here; text given in full in Stv, 279r (297). |
| 130. Anonymous <br> 142v-144r (283-286) <br> (C)ontratenor primus <br> (c)ontratenor secundus visibilium | Credo | Tr88, 3v-5r (200) | See No. 128. <br> Publ.: MPL I-II, No. 2, 12-17. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sanctus | Tr88, 5v-7r (201) | See No. 128. <br> Publ.: MPL I-II, No. 2, 17-23. |
|  | Agnus | Tr88, 7v-9r (202) | See No. 128. <br> Publ.: MPL I-II, No. 2, 23-28. |
| 133. pilois $147 v-148 r(293-295)$ <br> (C)ontratenor $E t$ in terra | Gloria | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 87,168 \mathrm{v}-170 \mathrm{r}(154) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 90,105 \mathrm{v}-108 \mathrm{r}(899) \text {; pylois } \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,135 \mathrm{v}-138 \mathrm{r}(1709) \end{aligned}$ | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 134, 135 and 150. <br> Composer's name inscribed at tenor. <br> Publ.: Pullois, pp. 3-8. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134. Anonymous (=pilois) <br> 148v-150r (295-298) <br> ( P )atrem omnipotentem <br> (T)enor patrem <br> (C)ontratenor patre申 | Credo | Ir87, 170v-172r (155); variant, notated a third higher. <br> Tr90, 177v-179v (937); Pyloys <br> Tr90, 225v-227r (957); incomplete; variant, notated a third higher. <br> Tr93, 223v-225v (1759); Pylois; contratenor incomplete; variant, notated a third higher Tr93, 245v-247v (1767); Pylloys | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 133, 135 and 150. <br> Publ.: Pullois, pp. 8-13; also see pp. 19-23. |
| 135. Anonymous (=pilois) <br> 150v-151r (299-300) <br> (s)anctus <br> (T)enor Sanctus <br> (C)ontratenor Sanctus | Sanctus | Prag, $98 \mathrm{v}-100 \mathrm{r}$; Benedictus notated in white notation, as in Stv, with all other sections in black notation. <br> Spec, pp. 436-439; Pillois (in index); notated as in Prag. <br> Tr87, 172v-173r (156) <br> Tr90, 24lv-242v (965) <br> Tr93, 311v-312v (1794) | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 133, 134 and 150. <br> Publ.: Pullois, pp. 13-16. |
| 151v (301): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136. Anonymous $152 r-153 r(302-304)$ | Kyrie | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mod456, 104v-106r (VIIII) } \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 89,330 \mathrm{v}-332 \mathrm{r}(715) \end{aligned}$ | Cf.: Dunstable's 0 rosa bella <br> Publ.: DTOe, 22, 28-33 |
| 137. Anonymous <br> 153v-155r (305-308) <br> (E)t in terra pax <br> (T) enor <br> (c)ontratenor primus <br> (c)ontratenor secundus Et in terre | Gloria | Mod456, 106v-108r (VIIII) Tr89, 332v-334r (716) | Cf.: Dunstable's 0 rosa bella <br> Inscription at contratenor secundus: <br> O rosa bella. <br> Publ.: DTOe, 22, 34-42 |
|  | Credo | Mod456, 108v-112r (VIIII) Tr 89 , 334v-336r (717) | Cf.: Dunstable's 0 rosa bella Publ.: DTOe, 22, $43-55$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139. Anonymous <br> 157v-159r (313-316) <br> (S) anctus <br> (T)enor Sanctus <br> (c)ontratenor primus <br> (c) ontratenor secundus | Sanctus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mod456, 112v-114r (VIIII) } \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 89,336 \mathrm{v}-338 \mathrm{r}(718) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: Dunstable's } 0 \text { rosa bella } \\ & \text { Publ.: DIOe, 22, } 56-63 \end{aligned}$ |
| 140. Anonymous <br> 159v-160r (317-318) <br> (A)gnus <br> (T)enor agnus primum | Agnus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mod456, 114v-117r (VIIII) } \\ & \text { Tr } 89,338 v-339 r(719) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: Dunstable's } 0 \text { rosa bella } \\ & \text { Publ.: DTOe, } 22,64-69 \end{aligned}$ |
| 142. Anonymous <br> 160v-161r (319-320) | Motet | Mi2269 (I), 123v-124r; ㅇ admirabile comertium. | Constitutes together with Nos. 136140 a Mass-motet cycle. <br> Publ.: Snow |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145. Anonymous <br> 165v-166r (329-330) | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 90,54 v-55 r(843) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,82 v-83 r(1656) \end{aligned}$ | Cf. and text: GR, 582 <br> Verse: Eructavit cor meum, * Dico ego opera mea regi. <br> Virgin |
| 146. Anonymous <br> $166 \mathrm{v}-167 \mathrm{r}$ (331-332) | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & =S t v, 15 v-16 r \text { (17) } \\ & \text { Mu3154, 11v; a } 2 \text {, integer } \\ & \text { valor, tempus perfectum. } \end{aligned}$ | CP. and text: GR, 582 <br> Verse: Exaltata es sancta dei genitrix, <br> * Super choros angelorum ad celestia <br> regna. <br> No doxology <br> Assumption (August 15) |
| 147. Anonymous (=Standly) <br> 167v-168v (333-335) | Credo |  | Forms an Ordinary cycle with Nos. 56, 144 and 148-149. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148. Anonymous (=Standly) <br> 168v-170r (335-338) <br> (C)ontratenor Sanctus | Sanctüs | : | Constitutes together with Nos. 56, 144, 147 and 149 a complete Ordinary. |
| 149. Standly $170 v-171 r(339-340)$ <br> (A)gnus <br> (T)enor agnus <br> (C)ontratenor agnus primum | Agnus |  | Tenor erroneously preceded by the chant intonation of Agnus Dei IV, GR, 17*. <br> Constitutes together with Nos. 56, 144, 147 and 148 a complete Ordinary. |
| 150. piloys <br> 171r (340) <br> (A) gnus secundum <br> (T)enor duum | Agnus | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Tr} 87,173 \mathrm{v}-174 \mathrm{r}(157) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 90,243 \mathrm{r}-244 \mathrm{r}(966) \\ & \operatorname{Tr} 93,313 \mathrm{r}-314 \mathrm{r}(1795) ; \text { Pylois } \end{aligned}$ at Agnus secundum. | Composer's name inscribe at superius. <br> Agnus secundum, a 2, only. <br> Publ.: Pullois, pp. 16-18. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154. flemmik $172 v-174 r(343-346)$ <br> (C)ontratenor primus sanctus <br> (c)ontratenor secundus sanctus | Sanctus | Spec, pp. 272-275; Flemmik (in index). Tr88, 260v-263r (402) | Cf.: TH 49 (GR IV, 17*) <br> Composer's name inscribed at tenor. <br> Designated as Sanctus Kliczhowo in index of Spec. |
| 155. flemmik $174 \mathrm{v}-175 \mathrm{r}(347-348)$ <br> (C)ontratenor primus sanctus (C)ontratenor secundus Sanctus | Sanctus | Spec, pp. 180-182; Flemmik (in index). <br> Tr89, 8Iv-82v (551) | Composer's name inscribed at tenor. Designated as Sanctus Srotýrzsské in Spec, top of p. 180 . |
| 175v (349): Ruled, no music |  | . | - |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156. Anonymous <br> 176r-177r (350-352) | Lectio |  | Cf.: ? Perhaps a lectio tone. <br> Text: Secand reading, first nocturn, Matins of Christmas. <br> Superscription: <br> Secundum |
| 157. Anonymous $177 \mathrm{v}-178 \mathrm{r}(353-354)$ <br> (C)ontratenor Jube | Lectio |  | Cf.: ? Perhaps a lectio tone. <br> Text: First reading, first nocturn, Matins of Christmas. |
| 158. Anonymous <br> 178v-179r (355-356) <br> Primo tempore <br> (C)ontratenor Jube | Lectio |  | Cf.: ? Perhaps a lectio tone. <br> Text: Third reading, first nocturn, Matins of ${ }^{\text {Christmas }}$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159. Anonymous <br> 179v (357) <br> (C) ontratenor | Cantio |  | Cf. and text: AH, 50, p. 194 Christmas |
| 160. Anonymous <br> 180r (358) <br> (0) sapiencia <br> (T)enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Magn. Antiphon |  | Gif. and text: AR, 205 <br> One of the great "O" antiphons used with the Magnificat on the days immediately preceding Christmas. |
| 161. Anonymous $180 v-181 r(359-360)$ <br> (C)ontratenor huius | Ant. Motet |  | Cf. and text: Ringmann, II, 76. <br> Christmas and Annunciation; no longer in use. <br> Stv setting perhaps intended for use as a Magnificat antiphon. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162. Anonymous $\text { 181v-182r }(361-362)$ <br> (0) Sacrum <br> (T)enor 0 sacrum <br> (C)ontratenor | Magn. Antiphon |  | Cf. and text: AR, 448 <br> Second Vespers of Corpus Christi |
| 163. Anonymous (=Touront) $182 v-183 r(363-364)$ <br> (0) Gloriosa <br> regina (T)enor (O gloriosa) regina <br> (C) ontratenor | Ant. Motet | Cas, 63v-65r; Jo. touront. <br> FP, $53 \mathrm{v}-54 \mathrm{r}$ <br> P676, 32v-33r <br> Per, 58v-59r; Cecus <br> Pix, 3v-4r <br> Q 16, 141v-142r (123); ㅇ <br> gloriosa domina. <br> Ricc2, 23v-24r (19) <br> $\mathrm{Sev}, 88 \mathrm{v}-89 \mathrm{r}$ (106) <br> Tr91, 178v (1298) <br> Ver, 18v-19r; textless. | Both superius and tenor texted. <br> B.M.V. <br> Publ.: DrOe, 14-15, 219-20; Meisterwerke mittelalterliche Musik, ed. H. Besseler, I. Kassel, 1950; A. J. H. Vincent, "Note sur la modaifte' du chant ecclésiastique," Revue archéologique, XIV, 1857. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164. Anonymous <br> 183r (364) <br> (C)ontratenor | Textless |  |  |
| 183v (365): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 165. Anonymous <br> 184r (366) | Frag. of Proc. Hymn |  | Conclusion of superius part only; the remainder of this part (and the other parts?) probably were on the verso of the immediately preceding folio, one of two or more that were torn out before the foliation was entered. <br> Canonic inscription: pausa unius temporis habebis secundum discantum super Salve dies. |
| 166. Anonymous | Cerem. Antiphon |  | Cf. and text: GR, $2^{*}$ <br> Two settings of the second half of the Psalm, the second setting a later addition. <br> No doxology <br> Before Sunday Mass in Paschaltide |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167. Anonymous <br> .185v (369) <br> (S)alve festa dies <br> (T)ehor Salve festa <br> (C)ontratenor Salve festa dies | Proc. Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 1008 <br> Text: AH, 50, p. 79ff. <br> Refrain a 3, verses a 4 <br> Holy Saturday--Easter |
| 168. Batty $186 \mathrm{v}-187 \mathrm{r}, 186 \mathrm{r}(371-372,370)$ <br> (R)egina ce <br> 1i <br> ( $T$ ) enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Ant. - <br> Motet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AR, } 56 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 169. Batty <br> 187v-189r (373-376) <br> (R)egina <br> (T) entor | Ant. Motet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AR, } 56 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170. Anonymous $189 \mathrm{v}-190 \mathrm{r}(377-378)$ <br> (c)ontratenor | Ant. - <br> Motet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AR, } 56 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Ant. - <br> Motet |  | Cf. and text: Neumarkt Cantionale of 1474 (Wroclav University Library, Diocesan Archives Ms. 58); printed in Ringmann, II, 77. <br> B.M.V. |
| 172. Anonymous <br> 191r (380) <br> (C)ontratenor | Lied |  | Facsimile: PlamG, Pl. 3. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 175. Anonymous $192 \mathrm{v}-193 \mathrm{r}(383-384)$ <br> (C)ontratenor victine | Sequence | Tr93, 216v-217r (1753) | Cf. and text: GR, 242. Easter |
| 176. Anonymous $193 v-194 r(385-386)$ <br> Verses: Pascha, Epulemur <br> (C)ontratenor alleluia | Allelui= |  | Cf. and text: GR, 242 (verse 1 only; verse 2 no longer used). <br> Easter |
| 177. Anonymous <br> 194v-195r (387-388) <br> (A) gnus redemit oves <br> (T) enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Sequence | Glog, 1.04--106--111 (91) | Cf. and text: GR, 242 Alternatim setting Easter |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178. Anonymous <br> 195r (388) <br> (C)ontratenor | Communion |  | Cf. and text: GR, 243 Easter |
| 179. Anonymous $195 v-197 r(389-392)$ <br> (Q)uantum potes <br> (T)enor lauda <br> (C)ontratenor lauda sion | Sequence |  | Cf. and text: $G R, 315$ Corpus Christi |
| 180. Anonymous <br> 197v (393) <br> (C)ontratenor | Sequence Parody |  | Text: Adolph Franz, Die Messe im deutschen Mittelalter, p. 756; the Sequence of a parody Mass celebrating the joys to be found in good wine. <br> A four-part setting in Glog has a similar superius, suggesting that a specific monophonic melody was commonly associated with this text. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181. Anonymous <br> 198r (394) | Cantio |  | Both upper parts texted. B.M.V. |
| 182. Anonymous ( $=$ Touront) <br> 198v (395) | Cantio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spec, p. 183; } 0 \text { preclare } \\ & \text { Ihesu; Tauront (at top of } \\ & \text { page). } \end{aligned}$ | Both upper parts texted. B.M:V. |
| 183. Anonymous I99r (396) | Cantio |  | The refrain is for two discantus parts, both texted, and a textless contrapunctus. <br> Christmas |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201v-202r (401-402): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |
| 187. Anonymous <br> $202 \mathrm{v}-203 \mathrm{r}(403-404)$ | Rimed Magn. Antiphon |  | Text: AH, 45a, p. 90 (with slight differences). <br> B.M.V. |
| 188. Thauranth <br> 203v-204r (405-406) <br> Contratenor huius | Ant.Motet | Tr88, 301v-302r (426) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AH, } 50,246 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \\ & \text { Publ.: DTDe, 14-15, 217-19. } \end{aligned}$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 189. Anonymous ( $w$ Walter Frye) <br> 204v-205r (407-408) | Ant.- <br> Motet | ```=Stv, 251v-252r (242) Sched, 98v-99r; Frey Tr90, 372v-373r (1087); Ave regina celorum.``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AH, 50, } 246 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \\ & \text { Publ.: Frye, pp. 14-16. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 190. Anonymous <br> $205 v-206 r(409-410)$ | Ant.- <br> Motet |  | Text: Song of Solomon, $V, 6-8$. B.M.V. |
|  | Ant. - <br> Motet |  | Cf. and text: Neumarkt Cantionale of 1474 (Wroclav University Iibrary, Diocesan Archives Ms. 58), fol. 139r. Text originally from Song of Solomon, II, 13-14. <br> B.M.V. |





| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201. Anonymous <br> 230r (438) <br> Fuga ducrum teinporum in dyapason et in diapente | Chanson Motet | Sched, 67v-68r; Felix porta paradisi. | Text: Song of Solomon, V, 2; II, 6. B.M.V. |
| 202. Anonymous $220 v-22 \operatorname{lr}(439-440)$ <br> Contratenor | Ant. Motet |  | ```Text: Song of Solomon, VII, 6, 7, 11, 12. B.M.V.``` |
| 203. Anonymous $221 v-222 r(441-442)$ <br> Contratenor anima | Ant. - <br> Motet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: Song of Solomon, } V, 6-8 . \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 204. Anonymous $222 v-224 r(443-446)$ | Notet | Spec, pp. 410-411; prima pars only. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B.M.V. } \\ & \text { Secunda pars: } 0 \text { maria audi nos. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 205. Anonymous <br> 224v (447) | Motet |  |  |
| 206. Anonymous <br> 225r (448) <br> Illegible text <br> Contratenor | Chanson Motet |  | The text, entered at the conclusion of the contratenor, is illegible. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207. Anonymous (=Pilois) <br> 225v (449) <br> (T)enor <br> O pastor eterne <br> (C) ontratenor | Contra- <br> factum; <br> Chanson <br> Motet | Esc, 75v-76r; De madame <br> Sched, 90v-91r; 0 beata maria, with De madame inscribed at top of page. <br> Tr90, 301v (1017); De madame. <br> Bux, lv: Damadame. | Text, entered at conclusion of contratenor. <br> Publ.: DIVe, -2, 98-99; Pullois, pp. $46-47$ and p. 47 (Bux); Wallner, I, 2 (Bux). |
| 208. Anonymous <br> 226 (450) <br> (A) ve gemma claritatis <br> (T)enor <br> Avs gemma claritatis <br> (C) ontratenor | Rimed Magn. Antiphon Chanson Motet |  | Text: See AH, 18, p. 103; 26, p. 209; 452, 28; 46, 195. <br> Text entered at conclusion of contratenor and underlaid in superius. <br> St. Catherine |
| 209. Anonymous $226 v-227 r(451-452)$ <br> (0) Rosa bella <br> (T) enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Chanson |  | Textual incipit only <br> Facsimile: PlamB, Pl. 3. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210. Anonymous <br> 227r (452) <br> (C) ontratenor <br> (T)enor | Textless |  | Facsimile: PlamB, Pl. 3. |
| 2l1. Anonymous <br> 227v (453) <br> (C)ontratenor | Cantio |  | Christmas |
| 228r (454): Ruled, no music |  |  |  |


| InCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 212. Anonymous $228 v-229 r(455-456)$ <br> Contratenor | Ant. Motet |  | B.M.V. |
| 213. Anonymous $229 \mathrm{v}-231 r(457-460)$ <br> (C)ontratenor adest dies <br> (C) ontratenor secundus | Motet |  | Cf.: $?$ Perhaps a Gospel tone. <br> Secunda pars: In diebus tuis--Inter quos mala <br> Superius and contratenor primue both texted. <br> St. Augustine |
| 214. Anonymous $231 v-233 r(461-464)$ | Motet | ${ }^{\cdot}$ | Text: AH, 31, 189; De VII Gaudiis <br> caelestibus B.M.V., by Thomas a Becket, verses 1-5. <br> Secunda pars: Gaude splendens vas virtutum <br> Superius and contratenor primus both texted. B.M.V. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215. Anonymous $233 v-235 r(465-468)$ | Ant.- <br> Motet |  | Text: AR, 448 <br> Secunda pars: Mens impletur gratia <br> Corpus Christi |
| 216. Anonymous $235 v-236 r(469-470)$ | Cantio |  | Christmas |
| 217. Anonymous $236 v-237 r(471-472)$ | Cantio | , | Superius and tenor both texted. <br> Second text at conclusion of tenor: <br> Stella caeli extirpavit <br> B.M.V. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218. Anonymous $237 v-238 \mathrm{r}(473-474)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Cantio |  | Christmas |
| 219. Anonymous $238 v(475)$ <br> (T)enor | Textless |  |  |
| 220. Anonymous <br> 239r (476) <br> (O)Rnatam monilibus <br> (T)enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Ant. Motet |  | Probably a contrafactum because the tenor and contratenor each have a repeat sign approximately one-third of the way througn the piece, suggesting that the original was in Bar form. B.M.V. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221. Anonymous (=Bartolomeo Brolo) $239 v-240 r(477-478)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Contrafactum; <br> Chanson Motet | Glog, 116--122--125 (102); textless, entitled Der Entreris. <br> $0 \times 213$, 39v; Bartolomeus Brolo; Entrepris suis. <br> Q 16, $94 \mathrm{v}-95 \mathrm{r}$; Enterpris suis. Sched, 14v-154; Entre prison, incipit only. <br> Bux, 59v; Entrepris. | B.M.V. <br> Publ.: Ringmann, I, 80-81; Wallner, II, 144 (Bux). |
| 222. Anonymous <br> 240v (479) <br> (A)Ima mater <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Ant.- <br> Motet |  | B.M.V. |
| 223. Anonymous <br> $24 \mathrm{Ir}(480)$ <br> (T)enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Textless |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Textless | i |  |
| 225. Anonymous <br> 242r (482) <br> (T) enor | Textless |  |  |
| $242 v(483)$ <br> (C)ontratenor <br> (T)enor | Text'ess |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Textless |  |  |
| 228. Anonymous $243 v(485)$ <br> (C)ontratenor <br> (T)enor | Textless |  |  |
| 229. Anonymous <br> $244 r(486)$ <br> (C)ontratenor <br> (T) enor | Textless |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230. Anonymous <br> 244v (487) <br> (C)ontratenor <br> (T)enor | Textless |  |  |
|  | Textless |  |  |
| (T)enor | Textless |  | Facsimile: PlamB, Pl. 1 <br> Publ.: PlamB, p. 109 |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 236. Thaurant <br> 247v (493) <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Textless |  |  |
| 237. Anonymous <br> 248r (494) <br> (C) ontratenor | Bened. Trope | - | Text: AH, 1, 13. In Stv the text is cast in the form of a reaponsorial hymn. |
| 238. Anonymous <br> 248v-249r (495-496) <br> (D) omi <br> ne <br> de <br> us (C)ontratenor | Oratio; <br> Motet | . | Both the superius and the tenor fully texted. <br> St. Martin |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 242. Anonymous (=Frye) <br> 251v-252r (501-502) | Ant.- <br> Motet | ```=Stv, 204v-205r (189) Sched, 98v-99r; Frey Tr90, 372v-373r (1087); Ave regina celorum.``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Text: AH, } 50,246 \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \\ & \text { Publ.: Frye, pp. } 14-16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 243. Anonymous $252 v-253 r(503-504)$ <br> (V) Iminibus cinge tristantem |  | Glog, 156--172--174 (142) | Notated a fifth higher in Glog. In both sources the tenor is written in a quasi "Musica Enchiriadis" notation, in addition to which, in Strahov, it is written in normal mensural notation. <br> Publ.: Ringmann, II, 16-17 |
| 244. Anonymous <br> 253v-254r (505-506) | Motet |  | Text: Based on the Song of Solomon. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 248. Anonymous <br> 256v (511) <br> (C) ontratenor primus <br> (C) ontratenor secundus | Proc. Hymn | $=S t v, 163 \mathrm{v}$ (143) ; a 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MM, I, } 1008 \\ & \text { Text: AH, } 50, \text { p. } 79 \mathrm{ff.} \\ & \text { Refrain only } \\ & \text { Holy Saturday-Easter } \end{aligned}$ |
| 249. Anonymous <br> 257r-257v (512-513) | Ant. Motet |  | ```Cf, and text: AR, 56 B.M.V. Final alleluia troped: Alle domine nate.``` |
|  |  |  | Text: firgt line of verse 2 of the hymn Memento, salutis Auctor; see also MM, I, 万2. <br> B.M.V. <br> See Remarks, No. 252. |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251. Anonymous <br> 257v (513) |  |  | Cf. and text: GR, 564; beginning only. B.M.V. <br> Perhaps intended for use with No. 250 as a polyphonic versicle and response in Marian Vespers or as part of a suffragium or devotional service. |
| 252. Anonymous <br> 258r (514) | Votive Antiphon |  |  |
| 253. Anonymous <br> 258v (515) | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: ? <br> Text: Assunt festa jubilea; AH, 48, 402; $2,4,6$ and 8 underlaid in full. <br> Superscription: de visitacione (July 2) |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 254. Anonymous <br> 259r(516) | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 752 Text: Confessor Dei lucidus; AH, 52, 329; 2, 4,6, and }8\mathrm{ underlaid in full. Tenor inscription: de S. procopio (July 8).``` |
|  | Office Hymn |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: } M M, I, 551 \\ & \text { Text: } \frac{0 \text { sancta mundi Domina; } A H, 51,}{\text { and } 4 \text { underlaid in fül. }} \\ & \text { Nativity of B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 551 <br> Text: 0 sancta mundi Domina; AH, 5l, 122; 2 and 4 underlaid in full. <br> Nativity of B.M.V. |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260. Anonymous <br> 261v (521) | Office Hymn | Tr93, 377v (1854) | Cf.: MM, I, 16 <br> Text: Quem terra, pontus, aethera; <br> $\mathrm{AH}, 50,72 ; 2$ underlaid in full. <br> B.M.V. |
| 261. Anonymous <br> 26.1v-262r (521-522) | Office Hymn |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MM, I, } 16 \\ & \text { Text: Quem terra, pontus, aethera; } \\ & \text { AH, } 50, \frac{\text { Q2; } 2 \text { underlaid in full. }}{} \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 262. Anonymous <br> 262r (522) <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn | Tr93, 378r (1855) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MM, I, } 16 \\ & \text { Text: } \\ & \text { AH, } 50, \frac{\text { Quem terra, pontus, aethera; }}{72 ; 2 \text { underlaid in full. }} \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 263. Anonymous $262 v-263 r(523-524)$ | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: ? <br> Text: AH, 52, 382; two settings intended for alternatim performance; 1 underlaid in full in first setting, 2 underlaid in full in second. <br> St. Wenceslaus (September 28) |
| 264. Anonymous <br> 263v (525) <br> (T)enor <br> Quod chorus vatum <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | $\text { Cf.: MM, I, } 1013$ <br> Text: Quod chorus vatum; AH, 50, 155; refrain not used; original textual incipit erased and portion of 1 entered at conclusion of contratenor. <br> Purification |
| 265. Anonymous <br> 264r (526) | Office Hymn | Tr88, 229v-230r (372) | Cf.: MM, I, 503 <br> Text: AH, 50, 8; 1 underlaid in full. <br> Christmas |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 266. Anonymous <br> $264 \mathrm{v}-265 \mathrm{r}$ (527-528) | Office Hymn | $\operatorname{Tr} 88$, 334v-335r (450) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: MM, I, } 53 \\ & \text { Text: A solis ortus cardine; AH, } 50 \text {, } \\ & 53 ; 2, \frac{1}{4 \text { and } 6 \text { underlaid in full, }} \\ & \text { doxology partially. } \\ & \text { Christmas } \end{aligned}$ |
| 267. Anonymous <br> 265r (528) <br> (c)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 503 Text: Veni redemptor gentium; AH, 50, 8; 2, }6\mathrm{ and 4 underlaid in full. Christmas``` |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Hymn. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mu3154, } 12 \mathrm{r} \\ & \text { Tr93, } 379 \mathrm{v} \text { (1858) } \end{aligned}$ | ```Cf.: MM, I, 503 Text: Veni redemptor gentium; AH, 50, 8; incipit of 2. Christmas``` |
|  | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 53 <br> Text: AH, 50, 53; incipit of 1. <br> Christmas |
|  | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 53 <br> Text: AH, 50, 53; incipit of 1. <br> Christmas |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 266v-267r (531-532): Ruled, no music | - |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Office } \\ \text { Hymn } \end{gathered}$ | Tr93, 382v (1864) | $\text { Cf.: MM, I, } 423$ <br> Text: AH, 51, 85; first line of 1 underlaid. <br> Easter |
| 272. Anonymous <br> 267v-268r (533-534) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { Hymm } \end{aligned}$ |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 423 Text: AH, 51, 85; incipit of I Easter``` |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275. Anonymous $269 \mathrm{v}-270 \mathrm{r}(537-538)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 512 <br> Text: Festum nunc celebre; AR, 50 , 143; incipit of 3 . <br> Ascension |
| 276. Anonymous <br> 270r (538) <br> Contratenor | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 512 <br> Text: Festum nunc celebre; AH, 50, 143; 3 and 5 underlaid in full. <br> Ascension |
| 277. Anonymous <br> 270v (539) | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 512 <br> Text: Festum nunc celebre; AH, 50, 243; incipit of 1. <br> Ascension |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278. Anonymous <br> 271.r (540) <br> (C) ontratenor primus <br> (C) ontratenor secundus | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 17 Text: AH, 50, 144; 1 underlaid in full. Pentecost``` |
| 279. Anonymous <br> 271v-272r (541-542) | Office Hymn | Tr 88, 232v-233r (375) | Cf.: MM, I, 512 <br> Text: Festum nunc celebre; AH, 50, 143; 2 underlaid in full. <br> Ascension |
| 280. Anonymous <br> 272r (542) <br> (C)ontrapunctus Bassus | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 17 <br> Text: Veni creator Spiritus; AH, 50 , 144; incipit of 2. <br> Pentecost |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 283. Anonymous <br> 273v (545) | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: ? Text: AH, 50, 144; incipit of 1. Pentecost``` |
| 284. Anonymous $273 v-274 r(545-546)$ <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn | Tr93, 381r (1861) | ```Cf.: ? Text: AH, 50, 144; incipit of 1. Pentecost``` |
| 285. Anonymous <br> 274r (546) <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn | Tr88, 229r (371) | Cf.: MM, I, 22 <br> Text: AH, 5i, 40; 1 underlaid in full. <br> Trinity Sunday |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289. Anonymous <br> 276r (550) <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hyms | Tr89, Ir (508); Ave maris stella and Ave Katharing Tr90, 344r (1054); Ave maris stella | Cf.: MM, I, 67 <br> Text: Ave Katherina; AH, 52, 248; <br> 2, 4 and 6 underlaid in full. <br> St. Catherine (November 25) <br> Publ.: DTOe, 53, 79; Ave maris stella. |
| 290. Anonymous <br> 276v (551) <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { Stv, } 269 \mathrm{r} \\ & \text { Tr90, } 334 \mathrm{r}(1045) \end{aligned}$ | ```Cf.: MM, I, 56 Text: Pange lingua gloriosi; AH, 50, 386; no text underlaid in superius, incipit of l in tenor. Corpus Christi Publ.: DTOe, 53, 87.``` |
| 291. Anonymous <br> 276v-277r (551-552) <br> ( P )ange lingwa gloriosi <br> (T)enor huius <br> (c)ontratenor huius | Office Hymn | Tr93, 38Iv (1862) | ```Cf.: MM, I, 56 Text: AH, 50, 386; incipit of 1. Corpus Christi``` |


| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Pi} \\ & \vdots \\ & \sum \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | - |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\stackrel{y y}{3}}{\mathbf{E}}$ | INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
|  | 292. Anonymous <br> 277r (552) <br> (P)ange lingwa <br> (T)enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 56 Text: AH, 50, 386; incipit of 1. Corpus Christi``` |
|  | 293. Anonymous <br> 277v (553) | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 56 Text: AH, 50, 386; 1 underlaid in full. Corpus Christi Facsimile: PlamB, Pl. 2.``` |
|  |  | Office Hymn | $\cdots$ | ```Cf.: imM, I, 414 Text:; AH, 51, 108; 1 underlaid in full Apostles Facsimile: PlamB, P1. 2. Publ.: PlamB, p. 1lO.``` |



| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 297. Anonymous <br> 279r (556) <br> (P)Rocedentem sponsum <br> (T) enor <br> (chontratenor | Bened. Trope |  | Textual incipit only underlaid in superius; remainder of text entered (but not underlaid) at the beginning of the tenor and contratenor parts. |
| 298. Anonymous <br> 279v (557) <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn | - | Cf.: MM, I, 72 <br> Text: Ut quaeant laxis; AH, 50, 96; first two lines of 2 underlaid. <br> St. John the Baptist (June 24) |
| 299. Anonymous <br> $279 \mathrm{v}, 280 \mathrm{r}(557,558)$ <br> (G)loria <br> laus <br> et honor <br> tibi sit <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Proc. Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 1011a <br> Text: $\mathrm{AH}, 50$, 117; verse, a 2.2, on fol. 280r. <br> Palm Sunday |




| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 306. Anonymous <br> 281v (561) <br> (Q)ui paraclitus diceris <br> (C)ontratenor <br> (T)enor planus | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 17 Text: Veni creator Spiritus; AH, 50, 114; incipit of 2. Pentecost``` |
| (D)eus tuorum militum <br> (T)enor <br> (c) ontratenor | Office Hymn | Glog, 187--210--208 (166) | Cf.: MM, I, 52 <br> Text: AH, 51, 114a; incipit of 1. One Martyr |
| 308. Anonymous <br> 282v (563) <br> (E)xultet celum laudibus <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 414 Text: AH, 51, 108; incipit of 1. Apostles``` |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 309. Anonymous <br> 282v-283r (563-564) <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 518 Text: AH, 50, 153; incipit of 1. Many Martyrs``` |
| 310. Anonymous <br> 283r (564) <br> (0) Iux beata trinitas <br> (T) enor <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | Cf.: MM, I, 22 <br> Text: AH, 51, 40; incipit of 1. <br> Trinity Sunday |
| 311. Anonymous <br> 283v (565) <br> (A) ve maris stella <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 67 Text: AH, 51, 123; incipit of 1. B.M.V.``` |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312. Anonymous $283 v-284 r(565-566)$ <br> (A) ve maris <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn | Tr90, 364r (1077) | ```Cf.: MM, I, 67 Text: AH, 51, 123; incipit of 1. B.M.V. Publ.: DTOe, 53, 81``` |
| 313. Anonymous <br> 284r (566) <br> (V)os secli iudices <br> (T) enor <br> (c)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 414 Text: Exsultet coelum laudibus; AH, 51, 108; incipit of 2. Apostles``` |
| 314. Anonymous <br> 2:34v (567) <br> (T)enor <br> (C) ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | ```Cf.: MM, I, 5l8 Textless; probably Sanctorum meritis; AH, 50, 153. Many martyrs``` |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 315. Anonymous $285 \mathrm{r}(568)$ | Office Hymn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FMag112tis, 9v-10r } \\ & \text { Tr88, 236v (379) } \end{aligned}$ | ```Cf. MM, I, }6 Text: =Ave maris stella; AH, 51, 123; incipit of 1, with maria, erroneously, instead of maris. B.M.V. Publ.: DTOe, 78-79.``` |
| 316. Anonymous $285 v-286 r(569-570)$ <br> (C)ontratenor | Office Hymn |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cf.: } M M, I, 16 \\ & \text { Text: } \\ & \text { AH, } 50, \frac{\text { Quem terra, pontus, aethera; }}{72 ; 2 \text { underlaid in full }} \\ & \text { except for final word. } \\ & \text { B.M.V. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 286v (571): Blank |  |  |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 317. Anonymous <br> 287r-289r (572-576) | Canticle | $\operatorname{Tr} 89,2 l v-25 r(521)$ | ```Tone IV Superscription: quarti toni =Kirsch 243``` |  |
| 318. Anonymous <br> 289v-290r (577-578) <br> Contratenor Et exultavit | Canticle | Tr88, 227v-228r (369) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tone VI } \\ & =\text { Kirsch } 303 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 319. Anonymous <br> 290v-292r (579-582) | Canticle | $T r 89,143 v-145 r(598)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tone VI } \\ & =\text { Kirsch } 295 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 320. Anonymous $292 v-293 r(583-584)$ | Canticle | Tr88, 243v-245r (389) | Tone VIII =Kirsch 365 |
| 321. Anonymous $293 v-294 r(585-586)$ | Canticle |  | ```Tone IV The superius inscription, octavi toni, is erroneous. =Kirsch 236``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 322. Anonymous (=Cecus) } \\ & 294 \mathrm{v}-296 \mathrm{v}(587-591) \end{aligned}$ <br> Contratenor Et exultavit | Canticle | ```Per, 125v-130r, 133v-134r; Cecus``` | Tone VI <br> Verses out of order in Stv; 2, 4 and 8 occur on $294 \mathrm{v}-295 \mathrm{r} ; 6,30$ and 12 on 295v-296r; 6 originally designated as a 2, with the third part, the contratenor, entered later, after the contratenor of 12. <br> $=$ Kirsch 668 |


| INCIPITS | TYPE | CONCORDANCE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 323. Anonymous $296 v-298 v(591-595)$ | Canticle |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tone VI } \\ & \text { =Kirsch } 299 \end{aligned}$ |
| 324. Anonymous $298 v-299 r(595-596)$ <br> Contratenor Fecit | Canticle | Tr89, 173v-175r (619) | Tone VIII <br> Incomplete because the original outer folding of the final fascicle, which now begins with fol. 299, is missing; it undoubtedly wes lost before the ms. was bound. Also, 12 probably was never copied; logically, it should have apneared on $299 v$ since 10 concludes at the bottom of 299 r . <br> =Kirsch 382 |
| 325. Anonymous <br> 299v-300r (597-598) <br> Contratenor Et exultavit | Canticle | . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tone VI } \\ & =\text { Kirsch } 300 \end{aligned}$ |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline INCIPITS \& TYPE \& CONCORDANCE \& REMARKS \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
326. Anonymous
\[
300 \mathrm{v}-302 \mathrm{r}(599-602)
\] \\
(C) ontratenor
\end{tabular} \& Canticle \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \operatorname{Tr} 90,373 v-374 v(1088) \\
\& \operatorname{Tr} 93,8 v-9 v(1594)
\end{aligned}
\] \& Tone II, transposed (or Tone VIII, with variant termination on a.) =Kirsch 194 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
327. Anonymous
\[
302 v-303 r(603-604)
\] \\
(C)ontratenor Et exultavit
\end{tabular} \& Canticle \& Tr90, 370r-370v (1084) \& ```
Tone VI
=Kirsch 448, 309; Stv and Tr90
erroneously listed there as different
settings.
``` \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
328. Anonymous
\[
303 r-304 r(604-606)
\] \\
(E)T exul \\
tavit \\
(T)enor \\
(C) ontratenor
\end{tabular} \& Canticle \& ?

. \& Tone uncertain because at least two of the three clef signs are erroneous. The clef of the tenor part seems to be the one most likely to be correct; if so, the setting probably uses Tone V.I. =Kirsch 449 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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